

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

The Plebeian Antidote to Hectoring Hegemons!

The Useful Idiot's Guide To Pandemic 2020

Easter Sunday, April 12, 2020

Zahir Ebrahim

[Project Humanbeingsfirst.org](http://ProjectHumanbeingsfirst.org)

The Useful Idiot's Guide To Pandemic 2020

Copyright Notice

Copyright © 2020 by Zahir Ebrahim.

All rights reserved.

Words: 91460 | Pages: 270 | 8.5 x 11.0 | Easter Sunday, April 12, 2020

Published by Project Humanbeingsfirst™

The Plebeian Antidote to Hectoring Hegemons

Copyright © Zahir Ebrahim. Full permission to copy, repost, and reprint, in its entirety, unmodified and unedited, for any purpose, in any reproduction medium, granted, provided the PDF Source URL and this copyright notice are also reproduced verbatim as part of this license, and not doing so may be subject to copyright license violation infringement claims pursuant to remedies noted at <http://www.copyright.gov/title17/92chap5.html>. All figures, images, quotations, and excerpts are used without permission based on non-profit "fair-use" for personal education and research use only in the greater public interest consistent with the understanding of laws noted at <http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/17/107.html>. Partial replication or dissemination of this book with any page omitted is an infringement. Any use beyond "fair-use" requires written consent from all copyright holders. The Ebook edition may not be sold. **Ebook edition is for Gratis Distribution Only.** Ebook edition may be printed for non-profit personal use and gratis give-away. All web links are restored through Internet Archive's Wayback Machine. Full copyright notice and disclaimers at <https://web.archive.org/web/20200312/http://humanbeingsfirst.org/#Copyright> , <https://web.archive.org/web/20200325/http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/#Legal-N-Things>.

PDF With Images Generated on Sunday, April 19, 2020 12:00 PM

270 1699 91460 563134 2 63 0 7571 Rev 0

Beware, that man has written a book!

Burn it down.

Shut him down.

He is dangerous...

Other works by this Author

- [Book Pakistan Decapitation Papers 5th Edition 2019](#)
- [Book Poor Man's Guide to Modernity 9th Edition 2015](#)
- [Book Hijacking the Holy Qur'an and Islam 2nd Edition 2015](#)
- [Book Prisoners of the Cave 2003 7th Anniversary Edition Ch9-Abridged 2010](#)
- [Pamphlet What does the Holy Qur'an Say Vol. I Thus Spake Holy Qur'an – On Schisms 2015](#)
- [Pamphlet Undoing the Theft of Palestine 2015](#)
- [Pamphlet Open Guidance to Imran Khan Reimagining Pakistan Project ReGenesis 2018 - 2019](#)
- [Primer on Global Warming For Intelligent People: The Global Warming Psyops That People Don't Get 2019](#)
- [Pamphlet Secular Humanism: Bane of Civilizations October 2019](#)
- [Pamphlet The White Man's Burden 2007 - 2018](#)
- [Report Epilogue: 10th Anniversary of Benazir Bhutto's Assassination - Pakistan on the chopping block in 2018?](#)
- [Pamphlet Jerusalem: Reality vs Impracticality – The Protection Racket of the Jewish State 2007 – 2018](#)
- [Pamphlet The invisible House of Rothschild 2012](#)
- [Report Whistleblowing Masterpiece of Plagiarism in Pakistan with System-wide Collusion - Case Study in National Loss of Ethics 2011 - 2018](#)

The Powers That Be shut down Project Humanbeingsfirst websites hosted on Google Blogger on the morning of March 26, 2020, removing all websites and documents without intimation. The email account humanbeingsfirst @gmail.com is also no longer accessible as of that date.

Internet Archive.org's Wayback Machine has a copy

- [A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document: Table of Contents](#)
- [A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document: PDF-Index](#)
- [Sites Project Humanbeingsfirst PDF-Index](#)
- [Project Humanbeingsfirst: Table of Contents](#)
- [Faith - To Inspire And To Indoctrinate: Table of Contents](#)
- [On Islam: Table of Contents](#)
- [Pakistan-Politico: The Divine Destiny of Pakistan: Table of Contents](#)
- [Prisoners of the Cave: Table of Contents](#)

The menace must be believable

“The existence of an accepted external menace, then, is essential to social cohesiveness as well as to the acceptance of political authority. The menace must be believable, it must be of a magnitude consistent with the complexity of the society threatened, and it must appear, at least, to affect the entire society.”

The Common enemy of humanity is Man

“The Common enemy of humanity is Man: In searching for a common enemy against whom we can unite, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine and the like, would fit the bill. In their totality and their interactions these phenomena do constitute a common threat which must be confronted by everyone together. But in designating these dangers as the enemy, we fall into the trap, which we have already warned readers about, namely mistaking symptoms for causes. All these dangers are caused by human intervention in natural processes, and it is only through changed attitudes and behavior that they can be overcome. The real enemy then is humanity itself.”

Biological and chemical tampering of man

“Life seems to lack cohesion as environment rapidly alters and human beings become increasingly manipulable and malleable. Everything seems more transitory and temporary: external reality more fluid than solid, the human being more synthetic than authentic. Even our senses perceive an entirely novel "reality"—one of our own making but nevertheless, in terms of our sensations, quite "real." More important, there is already widespread concern about the possibility of biological and chemical tampering with what has until now been considered the immutable essence of man. Human conduct, some argue, can be predetermined and subjected to deliberate control. Man is increasingly acquiring the capacity to determine the sex of his children, to affect through drugs the extent of their intelligence, and to modify and control their personalities. Speaking of a future at most only decades away, an experimenter in intelligence control asserted, "I foresee the time when we shall have the means and therefore, inevitably, the temptation to manipulate the behaviour and intellectual functioning of all the people through environmental and biochemical manipulation of the brain.””

This page is deliberately blank

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

The Plebeian Antidote to Hectoring Hegemons!

TOC

Table of Contents

	Table of Contents	i
Preface	Introduction: How I am dealing with coronavirus 2020 under lockdown	iii
I	What's the truth about modern medicine?	1
II	Global Warming / Climate Change has Become a New Religion – What's it all About?	63
III	Coronavirus foresight by United States Federal Agency, the CDC, in mid November 2019	115
IV	Walk Down 2009 Memory Lane: Vaccines Portent 2020? Swine Flu: The Ultimate Revolution in the Making	119
V	BIOWEAPON or All Natural Zoonotic? Why I Believe This is a Bioweapon: Alice in Wonderland Dissected	127
VI	Learning From 2009 Swine Flu For What's Coming In 2020 Coronavirus Pandemic In The Making	141
VII	Swine Flu: That Great Debate of 2009 – A Portent Template for Pandemic 2020 Debate	163
VIII	Population control, US bio-weapons research, McNamara, Rockefeller, and AIDS	181

IX	Response to Financial Times Gideon Rachman's 'And now for a world government'	195
X	NB: On Global Warming – Concern for Environment is a 'Hegelian Mind Fck'!?	201
XI	Reflections on the Coming of Imam Mahdi and Jewish Messiah to Save Us – Divine Reality or Controlling Human Myths Transcending Civilizations?	237
About	About the author	245
	Backcover	270

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

The Plebeian Antidote to Hectoring Hegemons!

Preface

Introduction: How I am dealing with coronavirus

2020 under lockdown

Coronavirus 2019, or Covid-19, as it is relabeled by WHO, is man-made contagion to control mankind in the year 2020 and onwards. It is a bioweapons lab fabrication. By who, or which bioweapons lab, is TBD. And it is also not an accidental release due to mishandling, or other protocol violations of a contagion of this lethality, known as BSL-4. Its basis is in Zoonosis, as the genetic source is shared with certain animals, principally bats, known to be the carriers of coronaviruses. Its virulence and targeted lethality, however, betrays an engineered contagion crafted with genetic engineering in a BSL-4 laboratory of which there are many worldwide. United States reportedly funds many of these contagion research labs that are located in strategic areas overseas where the *untermensch* lives are considered cheap, including labs in [Wuhan China](#) and [Tbilisi Georgia](#), just to name two. But the engineered contagion is claimed to be naturally occurring due to mutation.

Genetic mutation is a convenient smoking-gun explanation for bioweapons when its deployment is covert, illegal, to be kept secretive, and variously blamed upon plausible explanations such as: a) Zoonosis; b) upon mankind's poor treatment of animals (some people eat anything); c) upon mankind's despoiling the earth's environment (over pollution and over industrialization that has increased carbon emissions and reduced life-sustaining symbiosis of the planet giving rise to all sorts of contagions); etceteras, all of which, it is argued by establishment experts, has led to such viruses exploding upon the earth's surface with greater frequency and lethality. If mankind did not reduce the earth's population and its heady living, and did not adopt a more sustainable lifestyle as per the internationally agreed upon United Nations Agenda 21 for Sustainable Development in the twenty-first century, these experts say, it will spell the end of all mankind.

That public relations veneer of half-truths under the science of virology and science of environment, able to scare the living daylight out of any normal human being when told that he is confronted with a microbial global enemy that none can see, run or hide from, conceals a most lethally cunning agenda just beneath its shallow surface.

Behind that science of virology and science of environment that spreads fear and global panic, is the discipline of social science, specifically, social engineering, which is hybrid of mass social psychology, sociology, behavior control, political theory, political science, and construction of world order, a one-world order. The science of virology that underwrites the contagion that we are faced with today, and which has been declared a Pandemic by WHO, cannot be understood without acutely comprehending the social science that underwrites the science of virology as well as the science of medicine and its regulation and funding.

For instance, [CDC's advice to physicians](#) that [increase-counting](#) of coronavirus deaths when patients have not even been tested, [announced-preplanning](#) and [prediction of surprise outbreak](#), continuous fear-mongering for years through dire predictions that would naturally enable [WHO's pre-meditated Global Vaccine Action Plan 2010 to guide discovery, development and delivery of lifesaving vaccines in conjunction with UNICEF, the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases \(NIAID\) and the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation](#), etcetera, etcetera, simply cannot be understood without some ma'arif, i.e., wherewithal, of the cunning of statecraft and how it makes the public mind.

Good people, be they the common man or the science specialist, simply cannot comprehend the political science behind the Big Lie. Dictionary: a false statement of outrageous magnitude employed as a propaganda measure in the belief that a lesser falsehood would not be credible.

Here is how Adolf Hitler explained the Big Lie while describing how to construct the Third Reich:

“... All this was inspired by the principle--which is quite true in itself--that in the big lie there is always a certain force of credibility; because the broad masses of a nation are always more easily corrupted in the deeper strata of their emotional nature than consciously or voluntarily; **and thus in the primitive simplicity of their minds they more readily fall victims to the big lie than the small lie**, since they themselves often tell small lies in little matters but would be ashamed to resort to large-scale falsehoods. It would never come into their heads to fabricate colossal untruths, and they would not believe that others could have the impudence to distort the truth so infamously. Even though the facts which prove this to be so may be brought clearly to their minds, they will still doubt and waver and will continue to think that there may be

some other explanation. For the grossly impudent lie always leaves traces behind it, even after it has been nailed down, a fact which is known to all expert liars in this world and to all who conspire together in the art of lying. These people know only too well how to use falsehood for the basest purposes.” --- Adolf Hitler (1889–1945), *Mein Kampf*, Vol. I, Ch. X, *Why The Second Reich Collapsed*, tr. James Murphy, <http://gutenberg.net.au/ebooks02/0200601.txt>

Most hard scientists, including obviously the medical scientists who work on virology and infectious diseases, and those who became physicians and surgeons, scientists and technologists, to serve humanity, or to make money, or just to bask in the glory of the *Technetronic Era*, are often rather *innocent of knowledge* when it comes to Machiavellian statecraft. These narrow-gauged specialists or broad superficial generalists are poorly read in the plethora of social science literature, especially social engineering, and cannot bring themselves to believe that such macro social evil as diabolically releasing deadly contagions among civilian populations backed by their adept perception management through psychological warfare operations, can actually exist in a civilized world.

Don't we now drive cars instead of riding on camels and horses? Didn't we outgrow barbarianism and mud houses? Don't we live in high-tech cities and have the magic of the internet that has brought the world closer, making it a global village? Haven't we transcended the myopia of religions and adopted secular humanism, or at least the liberal ideologies where there is liberty, equality, fraternity, motherhood and apple pie? Ignoring the odd ball wars against the Islamo-terrorists which are necessary to protect our lifestyle and our civilization, we are, after all, Harvard, MIT, Caltech, tech-savvy Ivy Leaguers, aren't we? How can Machiavellian macro social evil exist among our rulers who come from our own social classes?

Wasn't Bill Gates once one of us --- a techie? He is even magnanimously donating free vaccines to the third world from the trillions he made as the founder of Microsoft, and isn't that the altruistic template of good citizenship for all us is --- how can such macro social evil of population reduction and full spectrum control of what's left of mankind be attributed to his charitable foundation just because he says “he loves vaccines” and is giving them away for free to people who can't even eat a full meal a day? That's surely better than telling the impoverished to eat cake if they can't afford bread! (Ever hear of the Trojan horse, or the proverb: Beware of Greeks bearing gifts?)

Politicians and salesmen are self-serving liars, obviously, and we know they are lying when their lips move, but not the scholarly elders and distinguished men and women of science, surely?

Such macro social evil of world order and all that it entails that conspiracy nut-jobs delight in to sell

their names, does not and cannot exist except in dystopic novels and political philosophers' dreams.

I have news for you useful idiots of empire: it does!

I don't care if one studied at Harvard, Caltech, or MIT or Stanford, or is millionaire or billionaire. My own children are trained at the first two, and my wife and myself are MIT and I am also Stanford trained. Mine is a high-tech science-savvy family. That does not make one any more moral or wise or even courageous than the common man beholden to his stomach. It only makes one more enabled to be more easily co-opted in self-interest. My late father was only sixth grade pass (as he used to say to us to encourage us to study as that being the only upward path available to the middle classes worldwide). He had to quit school and was put to work since age 12 to support my grandparents' family in British India during World War II. My grandfather was also not highly educated (he could read and write and do his book-keeping) and my grandmother was illiterate but loving and kind. My octogenarian mother was the most educated new member in the in-laws with a two-year BA in English literature from the Indian education system designed by Lord Macaulay to create a generation of English enabled clerks and serfs to serve British imperial interests. She introduced me to the British Council Library in Lahore in my sixth grade summer vacation. I was already an avid reader (instead of studying school books) and she helped me take that passion to the next level so early in life. But I acquired my moral and ethical leanings from my father and grandfather. They had so little, and yet their lives outshines my family's by a mile. Their output / input ratio is greater than ours. In their survival mode they showed the values to live by. The takeaway from that inheritance is the choice between do well and do good that we are all forced to make. Can't have both. And that choice determines if we shall be useful idiots, mercenaries, or live in truth regardless. It is a choice to be made by rich and poor alike, by Ivy League preps and slumlords alike.

Most of us who are privileged enough to have parents and families who enabled us to get this far, are today drowning in the surfeit of good life with eyes wide shut. We live in spiritual darkness despite our thin veneer of ritual piety and full mosques, temples and churches. Since I have seen the elite of the techno-elites among my peers, co-workers, and social class, I can safely say that most of us are, as I coined it in Urdu, *likkha-parrha jahils*. I.e., educated morons who largely function as economic widgets chasing their American Dream; who *United We Stand* with absurdities lest that dream gets shattered by the state's security apparatus; and more often than not, whose conscience is not despoiled being *Summun Bukmun Umyun*, hear no evil, see no evil, speak no evil. The best minds of mankind are reduced to intellectual slavery by adapting themselves to the *Fable of the Bees* --- worker bees forced into life-long servitude to the queen to manufacture honey that few of them may harvest for themselves, and all of which is plundered by the hungry bears lurking outside far more easily than fools are deprived of their savings in pyramid scams. In this case, crumbs of dry

honeycombs do fall off every now and then from the bears' tables to keep the American Dream of the slavish classes alive. Bernard de Mandeville hath noted in his *Fable of the Bees* at the onset of the industrial revolution in early 18th century: “*The economic well-being of the nation depends on the presence of a large number of men who are content to labor hard all day long.*” See [The Fable of the Bees and the Seduction of Science and Technology Corrupting the Intellect and the Soul](#).

These so called elite classes running the economic and intellectual engines of society by necessity of chasing their American Dream, see only the top 10 percent of the visible iceberg above the surface that trades on Wall Street and is gleaned vicariously from establishment messaging machines such as years of public schooling, books, movies, talk-shows, news media, social media chats, water-cooler conversations, etc. They refuse to even accept the fact that there is a 90 percent that lies just underneath the surface that supports what's visible, and all it takes is a modicum of forensic acuity to witness the bears agendas in plain-sight hidden just beneath the surface. Often not hidden at all, as the primates even announce their own rampaging presence to those who have the ability to read, to see, to understand. Anyone is invited to join in for it isn't a zero sum game.

But forensic acuity due to years of what has mostly become *trade-schooling*, easily escapes these uber learned scholars and intellectuals and technicians and other slavish classes graduating from America's and Westerndom's finest universities and its military-industrial complexes --- we shall forego the East for the moment due to her nations being largely subservient to the West, and in any case, the vast majority of their brown and yellow curds are indoctrinated into Westerndom's core axioms anyway.

They – all who front for rulers behind the scenes as their useful idiots (that's when they are *innocent of knowledge*), and as their bitches (that's when they are in the know and are witting accomplices for the tiny scraps of worldly heaven that falls off the oligarchic tables) – demand hard evidence of Machiavellian orchestrations which always come wrapped in big lies and plausible deniability, and with crafty red herrings and erudite misdirections aplenty, as if statecraft is an Inspector Jacques Clouseau's Pink Panther movie in which the master-criminal arrogantly leaves a white glove behind as the calling card. Any unraveling by fearless detectives of the unspeakable 90 percent grotesque reality that underwrites the masses' descent into manufactured dystopia as a consequence of the 10 percent visible fear-mongering and terrorization of the public mind, is labeled “conspiracy theory”. See [Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory](#).

More heavily invested one is in one's own success, harder and harder it is to see the 90 percent of the iceberg. The evidence of this is writ large not just in the recent history of the *banality of evil* witnessed in the makers of the Third Reich, but in the current affairs of the Fourth now in the making

as well. Only in defeat, or ex post facto, will the hidden in plain-sight iceberg become suddenly visible to all and sundry circus clowns now denying its existence, and also to the innocent techno-savants of empire who are mostly content chasing their narrow American Dreams which change easily to any landscape... they once had the German Dream for *Lebensraum*, and today many have moved on to the Zionist Dream for *Eretz Yisrael* with Tel Aviv and occupied Jerusalem fast replacing the once dominant Western capitals as the new loci of world domination.

Through my two decades of Justice Activism as part of Project Humanbeingsfirst unraveling the Big Lies manufactured by the most able-minded circus clowns of empire across its military-industrial-academe-news-thinktank complexes, all of whom openly collude to make the public mind as the machinery of the *Mighty Wurlitzer* (no conspiracy here, just a statement of fact of demœcratie demonic statecraft whose imperative is primacy and hegemony, See [Report on The Mighty Wurlitzer - Architecture of Modern Propaganda](#)), I have discovered that the mass naiveté of the public is best captured in the following depiction of child-like innocence, unadulterated by the reality of primacy:



Caption Perspective: Oh what a difference even a slight shift can make!
(Image courtesy of Desiree L. Rover's Presentation on Vaccinations, August 1, 2009)

How does that perspective change work vis a vis this pandemic crisis? How does this new contagion crisis that has so easily locked down the entire planet, play in the larger scheme of things?

In a nutshell, it is best captured in these few sentences from the back cover of the 9th Edition of my

book: [*The Poor Man's Guide To Modernity – Oligarchic Primacy For World Government*](#), 2015:

The myriad crises which afflict humanity today, from the Global War on Terror to Global Financial Crisis to Global Pandemic, and a few more to come including Global Food Shortage to UFO-Alien Invasion, are only the successive Hegelian mind-fcks, ahem, the “acts” and “deeds” of making current affairs “look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality,”.

Each new ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ creates the raison d’être for more global laws which incrementally erode more national sovereignty. The response to each new crisis brings the nations of the world one baby-step closer towards the Global Governance of the entire planet. A one-world government if you will.

The devilish modus operandi is to deliberately fabricate global problems, or their illusions, and offer only those predetermined solutions which result in bigger government, incrementally leading to a single one-world global police-state.

“Create conditions so frightful at home and abroad that the abandonment of personal liberties and national sovereignty will appear as a reasonable price for a return to domestic tranquility and world peace.”

Pandemic 2020 is to bring the world multiple steps closer to one-world dystopia. Ex post facto, it will all be made to appear as happenstance and natural outcome of global crises --- mankind, or what's left of it, having no other choice but to live in a global police state for the their own protection.

The worldwide lockdown we are living in today as I write this Introduction on this Easter Sunday 2020, even the best intellectuals and sophisticated individuals among us feel justified that it is for our own protection. It is a social experiment to see how far would the public be willing to give up their freedoms and obey draconian measures without too much public disturbance when it is couched in terms of public safety. It is also yet another macro social exercise in obedience training, just as taking shoes off at the world's airports has Pavlovianly trained virtually the entire world's public to do so without a murmur at just the sight of X-ray scanning machines. See [Body-scan Alert - Not Suffering Indignities at Airports](#).

This book is compilation of my analysis on pandemic and modern medicine to demonstrate how it plays into world order right alongside global warming and climate change theology with which it shares the endgame. This is how I am coping with the Coronavirus 2020 under lockdown --- still

trying to educate anyone who is able to read, despite Doktor Google having removed all my websites and writings of the past two decades from its Blogger service without any explanation. I hope this book, my two bit of public service, recomposed from Internet Archive's Wayback Machine, will help you, dear adventurous reader, to cope with this new crisis by making you better informed.

As for how I am dealing with protecting myself from the vile contagion (under the presumption that it is a real bioweapon and not merely psyops exercise in demand creation for vaccines):

- I take 2000 mg of vitamin C once or twice a day. I give my mom who is an octogenarian only one doze of 2000 mg in the morning.
- I cook with turmeric powder mixed in with other sub-continental spices that naturally boost the immune system.
- I also drink a lot of herbal tea every day throughout the day (60+ oz) with the following natural antioxidants / anti-inflammatory spices that help build up the immune system:
 - ◆ cloves (one or two per serving of tea),
 - ◆ green cardamoms (eight to ten with shell removed in each serving of tea),
 - ◆ concentrate oil of oregano (two drops with a dropper per serving of tea),
 - ◆ dalchini (small piece of cinnamon stick of sub-continental variety, flat thin ones),
 - ◆ fresh ginger (several thin slices per serving of tea whenever I remember),
 - ◆ fresh mint leaves (many leaves per serving of tea when available),
 - ◆ sweetened with Manuka honey from New Zealand (medicinal grade UMF 10+) or organic raw honey (from Costco)
- and try to eat organic fresh fruits and vegetables whenever these are available (lately we have been eating lentils and rice concoctions etc. due to lockdown).

I have read a great deal of medical literature on the ability of the human body to heal itself under the right set of nutritional and emotional / psychological states that release hormones and other biochemical agents of healing into the body. A good layman's read in this regards is Norman Cousins's *Anatomy of an Illness: As Perceived by the Patient*. Nobel laureate in Chemistry for 1954, Dr. Linus Pauling's life's work on intravenously mega dozing with Vitamin C for healing all sorts of fatal diseases is much disliked by the big-pharma based medical science for a reason. The first essay in this book, my report on modern medicine titled: *What's the truth about modern medicine*,

contains more examples of nutrition-based natural remedies pursued by quite mainstream medical professionals that have overcome the healing limits of big-pharma's for-profit drug protocols which have very potent iatrogenic side effects.

This latest compendium of essays on medical and climate pretexts for constructing world order is my last. Vaccines for coronavirus Covid-19 plaguing mankind at this moment, when most nations on earth have some level of lockdown with *stay at home* orders, shall soon become the medical establishment's next big sales pitch. The fear-mongering coupled to the deadly man-made contagion would have been a most successful marketing exercise in history in global demand creation for vaccines. Vaccination will not be voluntary either, but mandatory, and sanctioned by law. You make up your own damn mind after reading this book whether you wish to take that vaccine and enter the brave new world that it shall herald, or, resist taking it and end up belonging to the new undesirable-untouchable underworld class without the digital vaccination certificate built into your body. [Colorado counties](#) have chosen to [resist mandatory vaccinations](#).

Either way, the world order that shall enslave mankind beckons --- unless the public worldwide awakens to its dangers now and resists it forcefully --- it is virtually already a fait accompli. The sheep are of course never known to resist the butcher's knife nor protest the habit of mutton eating.

May God Almighty help us!

InshaAllah!

That laconic Islamic prayer which means God Willing, hides a well-spring of theology of hope. It is obviously a non sequitur if one does not believe in God meddling in human affairs once He has Completed and Perfected His Guidance to mankind and categorically averred in His Scripture, the Holy Qur'an, that now it is up to man to be thankful or unthankful, to follow the Divine Guidance or to Ignore it, and the path taken by mankind, its societies and civilizations, shall automatically lead to its own logical destination (culmination) as the Sunan-e-illahi (i.e., Divine Law, natural law of cause and effect); but all shall be called to account individually on the Day of Reckoning for one's deeds of commissions as well as omissions, in the company of the leaders / imams / dogmas one followed.

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

in lockdown in California on Easter Sunday, April 12, 2020

This page is deliberately blank

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

The Plebeian Antidote to Hectoring Hegemons!

Chapter I

What's the truth about modern medicine?

This comprehensive report looks forensically at the world of modern medicine from an alternate perspective. And oh what a difference even a slight shift can make!

First Published Saturday, November 19, 2011 | Last updated Thursday, March 28, 2019

Extended Abstract

What really is the real truth regarding modern medicine? Is it pro-life ("Primum non nocere": "First, do no harm"), or is it pro-population reduction? If it is the latter, then how comes whenever I go to the doctor when I am ill I usually come back healthy – I should be dead by now if they were really trying to kill me! But if they are really trying to heal me, and improved public health and safety is really the principal prime directive, then why is the big-pharma business model actually dependent on the public's illness? Why are drugs, and especially vaccines, rushed to market with poor testing for safety and efficacy? Why has big-pharma been given immunity from lawsuit for adverse effects of their concoctions? Why are the normal consumer protections removed from big-pharma? And under this environment of enforced compliance and weak to zero consumer protection, why are mandatory vaccinations instituted with legal force under the threat of denial of privileges and services

What's the truth about modern medicine?

including imprisonment as punishment for non-compliance? It is as if being an enslaved populace with no liberty to choose being the best form of healthcare! Sort of like saying that the safest place is a prison! Is that really in the public interest --- to enslave it for its own good? How much more Orwellian can the Newspeak get before the public finally learns to love its own servitude? Furthermore, even ignoring the aforesaid dystopic reality of social control, if they are really trying to heal me, and improved public health and safety is really the principal prime directive, then why do they preclude funding the research, development and testing of other non big-pharma healthcare modalities such as alternate medicine and not-for-profit medicine based on what is freely available in nature, just as they do big-pharma medicines and allopathic treatments? Why are physicians and hospitals not trained in these alternate modalities of healthcare as part of the overall public health policy? Is private big-pharma's monopoly control over the national and international healthcare parameters worldwide, and Rockefeller's restructuring of America's medical schools and teaching hospitals to cater to big-pharma, just good old fashioned monopoly capitalism like the one the private Federal Reserve Bank of the United States enjoys in issuing this nation's money? Both evidently enjoy the same clique of ownership controllers, the Western financial oligarchy rooted in the Rockefeller cabal in the United States and the Rothschild cabal in Europe. Well, if such private monopoly control is okay for the financial oligarchy controlling a nation's money supply (sic!), why is it not okay for the same oligarchy controlling the nation's health supply? David Rockefeller indeed took great pains in stating his altruistic preference at the UN Ambassador's dinner speech: "It was pointed out at the conference that growth is most efficiently managed by the private sector, but regulation of the process by national governments and international bodies is also needed. And once again, United Nations can certainly be among the catalysts and coordinators of this process." Well, the oligarchic cabal conveniently controls the most pertinent private sector, big-pharma, and also international bodies like the UN. The land for the present location of the United Nations headquarters in Manhattan was donated by the House of Rockefeller. The original UNO headquarters was proposed to be in the City of San Francisco on the West Coast of United States, but the pivots of power, the Eastern Establishment, thought it better to move control of international bodies then just being setup under the new Pax Americana, closer to their own base operation, just like their Council on Foreign Relations, New York City. Is there anything insidiously diabolical or nefarious about privatized and unelected nexus of public policy-making by a handful of elite, rubber stamped by the elected representatives to give it a veneer of legitimacy and to maintain the pretenses of

What's the truth about modern medicine?

“democracy”, and the policies foisted upon the world's public through the United Nations' supra national bodies such as the WHO which today controls the entire globe's population by way of international treaties and protocols? The answer must be in the affirmative! That indeed a small group of men regardless of how benevolent, deciding the future of mankind is scientific dictatorship in the making. Thus it is imperative to learn whether or not that's indeed the case in reality. How can one distinguish between modern medicine's apparent faux pas amidst its seemingly well-intentioned attempts to heal in the short term, vs. well-documented but arguably circumstantial evidence of long term conspiracies to exterminate for eugenics and population reduction by creating wily pretexts through Hegelian Dialectics, acquire full spectrum control of life itself which was once deemed beyond tampering, and make profits for big-pharma which controls all aspects of modern medicine today? Well, this is how: perspective shift! A gestalt shift not based on whimsical mistrust, but on overcoming confirmation and data availability bias. That's just science. By not ignoring unpleasant and inconvenient data, by not ignoring unfavorable conclusions, and by acquiring eyes that understand primacy which often does not leave its footprints behind – unless it is the bones stripped of flesh.



Caption Perspective: Oh what a difference even a slight shift can make! (Image courtesy of Desiree L. Rover's Presentation on Vaccinations, August 1, 2009)

What's the truth about modern medicine?

|

We already know what the perspective is from the eyes of innocence. It is best captured in the momentous words of international banker and chairman emeritus of Chase Manhattan Bank, David Rockefeller himself: ***“Improved public health, has caused the world's infant mortality rate to decline by 60 percent over the last 40 years. In the same period, the world's average life expectancy has increased from 46 years in 1950s to 63 years today. This is a development which as individuals we can only applaud.”*** (see speech below)

To examine the perspective from the eyes of a predator however, perhaps the best place to begin is with my exclamation on learning the following:

“Bed-bugs cause smallpox! I had no idea. In Pakistan, as children, like all of our generation in most developing nations, we were inoculated against smallpox and I remember being taught that it was spread by human contact!”

--- Zahir Ebrahim's incredulous response to the sleuthing by [Twelfth Bough](#) on their discovering the remarkable [research of Dr. Charles A. R. Campbell, M. D.](#) and the following revealing talk by medical research journalist Desiree L. Rover.

[Désirée Röver I: Vaccinations, Weapons of Mass Destruction - State of the Planet Conference, August 1, 2009](#)

[\[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fa2TcARACrg\]](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fa2TcARACrg)



What's the truth about modern medicine?



What's the truth about modern medicine?

Caption: Some slides from Desiree Rover's presentation

[Désirée Röver II: "Vaccinations - the unknown story" at the Open Mind Conference 2012](#)

[<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dCDbup1eo8Q>]

Now let's watch David Rockefeller, whose grandfathers were the key architects of modern Western medicine, express his grave concerns for overpopulation.

[David Rockefeller speaks about population control at the UN Ambassadors' Dinner](#)

[<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=C1qUcScwnn8>]



“Ironically however, the very innovations that are making possible dramatic improvements in human well-being are also creating new problems which raise the spectre of an alarming and possibly catastrophic disaster to the biosphere we live in. And herein lies the dilemma that we all face. Let me illustrate. Improved public health, has caused the world's infant mortality rate to decline by 60 percent over the last 40 years. In the same period, the world's average life expectancy has increased from 46 years in 1950s to 63 years today. This is a development which as individuals we can only applaud. However the result of these positive measures is that the world population that has risen during the same short period of time geometrically to almost 6 billion people, and can exceed easily 8 billion by the year 2020. The negative impact of population growth on all of our planetary eco-systems is becoming appallingly evident. The rapid growing exploitation of the world's supply of energy and water is a matter of deep concern. And the toxic by products of widespread industrialization and increased atmospheric pollution to dangerous levels. Unless nations will agree to work together

What's the truth about modern medicine?

*to tackle these cross-border challenges posed by population growth over consumption of resources and environmental degradation, prospects for a decent life on our planet will be threatened. **The recent UN meeting in Cairo is appropriately focussed on one of these key issues, population growth.** But the controversies which have erupted at the conference illustrate the problem of coming to grips with issues that are deeply divisive and which have a profound moral dimension. The United Nations can and should play an essential role in helping the world find a satisfactory way of stabilizing the world population and stimulating economic development in a manner that is sensitive to religious and moral considerations. Economic growth is of course an inevitable corollary of a growing population, and is essential to improved standards of living. But without careful coordination, unrestrained economic growth poses further threats to our environment. **This was a major subject of discussion at the conference in Rio de Janeiro on the environment two years ago. The focus then was on sustainable growth, and global development.** [Agenda 21] It was pointed out at the conference that growth is most efficiently managed by the private sector, but regulation of the process by national governments and international bodies is also needed. **And once again, United Nations can certainly be among the catalysts and coordinators of this process.**" --- David Rockefeller, Annual UN Ambassadors' Dinner Sep 14, 1994*

Why is the chairman emeritus of Chase Manhattan Bank, David Rockefeller, a private banker, so concerned about the earth's population? Who elected or appointed him to be so concerned? His enormous wealth? Indeed. And this concern of the private wealthy international bankers was most frankly articulated by Robert Strange McNamara, the former Secretary of Defence under presidents Kennedy and Johnson (1961 to 1968) who presided over the decimation of Vietnam, Laos and Cambodia, in 1970 as the new head of the World Bank upon leaving government service:

***"... [Population growth is] the gravest issue that the world faces over the years ahead. ... It is not a world that any of us would want to live in. Is such a world inevitable? It is not sure but there are two possible ways by which a world of 10 billion people can be averted. Either the current birth rates must come down more quickly or the current death rates must go up. There is no other way."** --- Robert McNamara, Speech to International bankers as Head of the World Bank, October 2, 1970, cited in [Population control, US bio-weapons research, McNamara, Rockefeller, and AIDS](#)*

What's the truth about modern medicine?

Why are these private unelected international bankers and war-mongers trying to reduce the world's population? Is there a political theory and social architecture behind all this? Well, if the Georgia Guidestones are to be believed, the answer is evidently yes. Etched in 18 feet tall granite stone monument in Elbert County, Georgia, USA, are the new Ten Commandments for a new world, written in English, Spanish, Swahili, Hindi, Hebrew, Arabic, Chinese, and Russian:

1. Maintain humanity under 500,000,000 in perpetual balance with nature.
2. Guide reproduction wisely — improving fitness and diversity.
3. Unite humanity with a living new language.
4. Rule passion — faith — tradition — and all things with tempered reason.
5. Protect people and nations with fair laws and just courts.
6. Let all nations rule internally resolving external disputes in a world court.
7. Avoid petty laws and useless officials.
8. Balance personal rights with social duties.
9. Prize truth — beauty — love — seeking harmony with the infinite.
10. Be not a cancer on the earth — Leave room for nature — Leave room for nature.

Let's ask some probing questions here. How is Commandment number 1 on the Georgia Guidestones linked with:

1. the vaccination regimen of modern medicine given that the same international bankers who architected modern medicine, and who architected the modern private central banks which loan money on interest to Western governments as their national debt, are also the loudest in their expression of concern for overpopulation, for the degradation of the environment, and are the real powers behind the United Nations Agenda 21 which was signed in Rio in 1992 by almost all member states of the United Nations? (see [United Nations Agenda 21, condensed version, 10 minutes dummies' version](#), [The Move to Depopulate the Planet By Stephanie R. Pasco](#), [Codex Alimentarius & Nutricide by Dr. Rima Laibow](#), [Agenda 21 for Dummies](#)) ;
2. the military grade bioweapons research and development of the most contagious viral

What's the truth about modern medicine?

pathogens along with their dispersal systems that can wipe out the entire human race? (see ['Anthrax isn't scary at all compared to this': Man-made flu virus with potential to wipe out many millions if it ever escaped is created in research lab](#), November 28, 2011)

Is the House of Rockefeller paradigm modern medicine, alongside the obviously deadly biowarfare contagion coming from both the military's and big-pharma's bioweapons research labs, out to implement what Robert Strange McNamara had so monumentally stated, and which only reminds one of Hellenic gods of antiquity playing their mortifying games on earth: ***“Either the current birth rates must come down more quickly or the current death rates must go up. There is no other way.”?***

Between the manmade diseases and its concocted poisonous antidotes, the Commandment number 1 on the Georgia Guidestones could be realized in some sophisticated bioweapon warfare protocol unsuspectingly unleashed on human populations without much compunction if some entities really meant to reduce the world's population to those levels, couldn't it?

The “oops” plan is one of the continuous marvels of information age where entire nations can be decimated with more Depleted Uranium laced tonnage of munitions than were dropped on Vietnam, their tabula rasa bombed to smithereens under carefully crafted mantras as pretext for *imperial mobilization*, and only the marvelous “oops” is sufficient for the *hectoring hegemons* to absolve themselves of the *white man's burden*.

Eustace Mullins' 1988 underground classic [Murder By Injection](#) spoke to the same conspiracy by the Rockefeller cabal controlled medical profession – to ultimately vaccinate people to death against viruses and to blame it on natural causes; in the interim to vaccinate people into poor-health from the moment of birth and make them dependent on big-pharma's palliative concoctions for the rest of their life, palliatives which treat symptoms and complications from treatments for lifetime. Man made viruses and pandemics can sure come in handy for that purpose of population reduction. The [Swine Flu Pandemic of 2009](#) and the pretext to [microchip](#) the population came to underscore the same [conclusions](#). It is not just “vaccination” by itself, which may or may not be effective treatment for specific disease with specific vaccine, and/or have harmful *iatrogenicity* (and there is surely something awry in this healthcare paradigm when a baby before it is one year old is administered 50 different vaccines and with increasing legal authority worldwide which makes refusing vaccine a “crime”. See for example: [Balochistan govt to make refusal against polio vaccine 'a crime'](#), Dawn

What's the truth about modern medicine?

News, June 13, 2015; [Impending Mandatory Vaccinations Will Affect The Health, Jobs of Canadians](#), preventdisease.com, September 23, 2009; [Wake Up, America: Forced vaccinations, quarantine camps, health care interrogations and mandatory "decontaminations"](#), naturalnews.com, August 28, 2009.

The larger and more pressing concern is the calculated and premeditated infection with deadly biowarfare contagion developed in research laboratories and injected with vaccines into human bodies under the deceit of healthcare (often in forced vaccination programs) – *biological-bullet* vaccine weapons that directly provoke the disease and its epidemic dispersion; vaccines as combination weapons (vaccines that become a weapon when combined in the same person to trigger the immune system but not weapons by themselves) that induce the body's immune system to fight and kill its own healthy cells and tissues – *auto-suicide* binary vaccine weapons; vaccines which affect only certain types of people with specific DNA or specific biological or immunological characteristics for selective genocide in the same or offspring generation – *targeted-genocide* binary weapons; etceteras. It is in this context that vaccines may come to rival the proverbial *Deathstar* (from Star Wars, a single weapon of mass destruction at planetary scale). The killing modalities with vaccines are only limited by the mad scientists' collective imagination, and of course by the state of science. A good fictional narrative of the capabilities which are presented as entertaining science fiction today can be seen in the prescient Hollywood fable Bourne series, fourth episode, titled "Bourne Legacy", and also in the movie "V for Vendetta". The fact that big-pharma leader Baxter released real live Bird Flu Virus in their flu vaccine is of course only an accident, of *life imitating art*, as Baxter International claimed (see [Bloomberg: Baxter Sent Bird Flu Virus to European Labs by Error](#), February 24, 2009, and [Vaccines as Biological Weapons? Live Avian Flu Virus Placed in Baxter Vaccine Materials Sent to 18 Countries](#), March 03, 2009). Obvious questions like the following ones were raised even by mainstream narrators looking at the fishbowl with childlike simplicity. LifeGen.de, the German special interest online-magazine which claims to have 90,000 subscribers, in its editorial of February 26, 2009, pondered:

*"There is no excuse. According to the scientific network PROMED, Baxter International Inc. in Austria "unintentionally contaminated samples with the bird flu virus that were used in laboratories in 3 neighbouring countries, raising concern about the potential spread of the deadly disease". Austria, Germany, Slovenia [Slovenia] and the Czech Republic – these are the countries in which labs were hit with dangerous viruses. **Not by bioterrorist commandos, but by Baxter. In other words: One of the major global pharmaceutical players seems to have lost control over a virus which is considered by many virologists to be one of the***

What's the truth about modern medicine?

components leading some day to a new pandemic. Was it H5N1, or the even more risky H3N2? And what about the BSL3-Standards Baxter is operating when handling the viruses? What happened? And who failed?” -- LifeGen.de, [Baxter's H5N1 as global flu pandemic threat](#)

We see this theme repeating itself by their own admission! Had the “accident” succeeded in wiping out a large population of mankind, at worst, it would have been deemed a tragic “accident”! At best, only a natural pandemic. In either presentation of this public relations, the agenda of population reduction being achieved with no one to blame! The big-pharma has already been given legal immunity against any wrong-doings for their vaccines in the guise of rushing pandemic-fighting solutions rapidly to market. Even this “accident” had no punitive and legal repercussions for Baxter International as such! They are exempt even if their vaccines kill people! It is only an ex post facto “oops”! And that is precisely both the legal and the public relations plan – right before your very eyes!

The “oops” plan is one of the continuous marvels of information age where entire nations can be decimated with more Depleted Uranium laced tonnage of munitions than were dropped on Vietnam, their tabula rasa bombed to smithereens under carefully crafted mantras as pretext for *imperial mobilization*, and only the marvelous “oops” is sufficient for the *hectoring hegemons* to absolve themselves of the *white man's burden*. The Iraq Study Group of 2005 commissioned by president George W. Bush to “investigate” the “intelligence failure” on Iraq, set an incontrovertible trend to be repeated on all matters of primacy before the criminally idiotic public sufficiently dumbed down to permit the fait accompli in the first place. Afterwards – “oops” works, if even necessary: ***“We conclude that the intelligence community was dead wrong in almost all of its prewar judgments about Iraq's weapons of mass destruction. This was a major intelligence failure,”!***

Even admitting that it was Machiavellianly orchestrated, ex post facto is acceptable because it cannot undo what's already been wrought (see [Pentagon's Message Machine](#), New York Times, April 20, 2008). A senior White House advisor had shamelessly explained the modern methods of primacy and its predatory imperatives to the New York Times correspondent in 2004, flush with the hubris of being untouchable: ***“We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality -- judiciously, as you will -- we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do.”!*** (Ron Suskind, New York Times, [Oct. 17, 2004](#)). The same modus operandi is at work in this yet another *create a problem and offer its solution* scheming of pandemic-vaccinations.


What's the truth about modern medicine?

What this Baxter “accident” fortuitously betrayed to the world was the method's existence to infect the world population with deadly viruses through vaccines! And had it not been serendipitously caught by a diligent practitioner outside Baxter before administering it to humans, leading up to the forced vaccinations of civilian populations worldwide, shows that all the concerns which have been discussed above are not only practicable, but perhaps already in “clinical trials”! The legalized backing from global laws on vaccinations through the WHO is already in place to force public vaccination under the pretext of fighting the pandemic. Even the definition of what is a “pandemic” has extraordinarily been revised to facilitate its easy declaration: ***“WHO has revised its definition of pandemic flu in response to current experience with A/H1N1.”*** [reported BMJ](#) in September 2009 (How should we plan for pandemics? By Peter Doshi).

Despite all these disclosures, presenting its baby face of wonderment to the world, the Council on Foreign Relations in New York held a [Pandemic Influenza Conference](#) on October 16, 2009, where it complained about the anemic uptake in public vaccinations. One scholar from the audience lamented the public's resistance to being vaccinated against the swine flu during this stage-6 pandemic declaration in these words: ***“[anti-vaccine movement] Crazy people who think the vaccine will kill you”***. The panelist thought up a clever public relations plan to increase the vaccine uptake (only half-jokingly I am sure): ***“Having said that, does it work to mandate [vaccination]? I think what would work better would be to say that there was a shortage and people tend to buy more of something that's in demand. (Laughter.) We saw that -- there was one season where, really, people lined up all night to get a flu shot.”*** (see [The Swine Flu Chronicles 2009: Why to say ‘No’ to the Swine Flu Vaccine](#))

Fortuitously for mankind, the 2009 Swine Flu Pandemic “clinical trial” actually was defeated by circumstances which could not be controlled by the vaccination committees peddling the pandemic despite all their advanced preparations. Even the DER SPIEGEL staff could only conclude in their best innocence after their exhaustive study which appeared in their March 12, 2010 issue: ***“No one at the WHO, RKI or PEI should feel proud of themselves. These organizations have gambled away precious confidence. When the next pandemic arrives, who will believe their assessments?”*** (see [The Swine Flu Postscript: 'Reconstruction of a Mass Hysteria – The Swine Flu Panic of 2009'](#)).

What's the truth about modern medicine?



Caption Reconstruction of a Mass Hysteria – The Swine Flu Panic of 2009 (image source courtesy of [DER SPIEGEL staff](#))

Is all this alternate perspective just hyper-imagination? On the surface it seems far fetched doesn't it? What monstrous entity would be so heinous as to want to play god, and even not fear not getting away with it? Who has that kind of global power? Again – without a gestalt shift in perspective, the feline's instincts for predation cannot be understood by the baby staring at the beauty of creation!

But what really is the real truth regarding modern medicine?

Is it pro-life (“Primum non nocere”: “First, do no harm”), or is it pro-population reduction?

If it is the latter, then how comes whenever I go to the doctor when I am ill I usually come back healthy – I should be dead by now if they were really trying to kill me!

But if they are really trying to heal me, and improved public health and safety is really the principal *prime directive*, then why is the big-pharma business model actually dependent on the public's

What's the truth about modern medicine?

illness?

Why are drugs, and especially vaccines, rushed to market with poor testing for safety and efficacy?

Why has big-pharma been given immunity from lawsuit for adverse effects of their concoctions?

Why are the normal consumer protections removed from big-pharma?

And under this environment of enforced compliance and weak to zero consumer protection, why are mandatory vaccinations instituted with legal force under the threat of denial of privileges and services including imprisonment as punishment for non-compliance?

It is as if being an enslaved populace with no liberty to choose being the best form of healthcare! Sort of like saying that the safest place is a prison! Is that really in the public interest --- to enslave it for its own good?

How much more Orwellian can the Newspeak get before the public finally learns to love its own servitude?

Furthermore, even ignoring the aforesaid dystopic reality of social control, if they are really trying to heal me, and improved public health and safety is really the principal *prime directive*, then why do they preclude funding the research, development and testing of other non big-pharma healthcare modalities such as alternate medicine and not-for-profit medicine based on what is freely available in nature, just as they do big-pharma medicines and allopathic treatments? Why are physicians and hospitals not trained in these alternate modalities of healthcare as part of the overall public health policy?

Is private big-pharma's monopoly control over the national and international healthcare parameters worldwide, and Rockefeller's restructuring of America's medical schools and teaching hospitals to cater to big-pharma, just good old fashioned monopoly capitalism like the one the private Federal Reserve Bank of the United States enjoys in issuing this nation's money? Both evidently enjoy the same clique of ownership controllers, the Western financial oligarchy rooted in the Rockefeller cabal in the United States and the Rothschild cabal in Europe (see [Rockefeller Medicine Men – Medicine and Capitalism in America by E. Richard Brown](#), 1979, and [Secrets of the Federal Reserve – The London Connection](#), 1952, 1993).

Well, if such private monopoly control is okay for the financial oligarchy controlling a nation's money supply (sic!), why is it not okay for the same oligarchy controlling the nation's health supply? David

What's the truth about modern medicine?


Rockefeller indeed took great pains in stating his altruistic preference at the UN Ambassador's dinner speech: ***"It was pointed out at the conference that growth is most efficiently managed by the private sector, but regulation of the process by national governments and international bodies is also needed. And once again, United Nations can certainly be among the catalysts and coordinators of this process."*** Well, the oligarchic cabal conveniently controls the most pertinent private sector, big-pharma, and also international bodies like the UN. The land for the present location of the United Nations headquarters in Manhattan was donated by the House of Rockefeller. The original UNO headquarters was proposed to be in the City of San Francisco on the West Coast of United States, but the pivots of power, the Eastern Establishment (see [Carroll Quigley's The Anglo-American Establishment](#), 1981, and [Antony Sutton's America's Secret Establishment - An Introduction to the Order of Skull & Bones](#), 1983), thought it better to move control of international bodies then just being setup under the new Pax Americana, closer to their own base operation, just like their Council on Foreign Relations, New York City.

Is there anything insidiously diabolical or nefarious about privatized and unelected nexus of public policy-making by a handful of elite, rubber stamped by the elected representatives to give it a veneer of legitimacy and to maintain the pretenses of "democracy", and the policies foisted upon the world's public through the United Nations' supra national bodies such as the WHO which today controls the entire globe's population by way of international treaties and protocols? The answer must be in the affirmative! That indeed a small group of men regardless of how benevolent, deciding the future of mankind is scientific dictatorship in the making. Thus it is imperative to learn whether or not that's indeed the case in reality.

How can one distinguish between modern medicine's apparent faux pas amidst its seemingly well-intentioned attempts to heal in the short term (see *iatrogenic* death rate in [Death By Medicine](#) and big-pharma's [Chemotherapy 'could cause brain damage' in breast cancer patients](#)), vs. well-documented but arguably circumstantial evidence of long term conspiracies to exterminate for eugenics and population reduction by creating wily pretexts through Hegelian Dialectics, acquire full spectrum control of life itself which was once deemed beyond tampering, and make profits for big-pharma which controls all aspects of modern medicine today?

Well, this is how: perspective shift! A *gestalt shift* not based on whimsical mistrust, but on overcoming *confirmation and data availability bias*. That's just science. By not ignoring unpleasant and inconvenient data, by not ignoring unfavorable conclusions, and by acquiring eyes that understand primacy which often does not leave its footprints behind – unless it is the bones stripped of flesh.

What's the truth about modern medicine?



Caption: A *gestalt shift* in perspective is required to understand this question of *iatrogenicity* vs. *humanicide* (slide Desiree Rover's presentation)

Or, is the unspeakable fact of the matter really this: (a) that modern medicine, as part of [modern science and technology](#), is yet another tortuous link in the [conspiracy by the oligarchy](#) to scientifically control [life itself](#) in the dystopic [one world government](#) which has been in [open-planning](#) for a [very long time](#); (b) that modern medicine is generally a healing craft in the short-term under the for-profit monopoly control of the financial oligarchy which funds and oversees modern medicine via its ownership of the big-pharma and its various administering, legislating, regulating, licensing, and fund granting organizations like the AMA, NIH, CDC, FDA, NSF, FAO, WHO; and (c) that in the longer term the agenda is clearly to scientifically control human reproduction, world population, and all human life – in a global scientific dictatorship!

Far fetched? No normal person can easily accept (a) or (c) because ***“the individual is handicapped by coming face to face with a conspiracy so monstrous he cannot believe it exists.”*** In fact, that perceptive understanding of psychology naturally leads to the construction of the “big lie” for effective propaganda warfare: ***“All this was inspired by the principle--which is quite true in itself--that in the big lie there is always a certain force of credibility; because the broad masses of a nation are always more easily corrupted in the deeper strata of their emotional nature than consciously or voluntarily; and thus in the primitive simplicity of their minds they more readily fall victims to the big lie than the small lie,”***. (J. Edgar Hoover and Adolf Hitler in Mein Kampf, respectively)

Can one imagine such a dystopian universal controller in a scientific dictatorship which would want to ***“standardize the population, to iron-out inconvenient human differences, to create so to say mass produced models of human beings arranged in some kind of a scientific caste***


What's the truth about modern medicine?

system ... to get people actually to love their servitude”?

Here is one depicted in Aldous Huxley's 1931 fable [Brave New World](#). And that preceding quoted description is also Aldous Huxley's own from his [1962 speech at UC Berkeley](#), titled *The Ultimate Revolution*. Listen to that 40 minute most revealing speech before going for *Brave New World* – it is almost as if Huxley is reading off of some blueprint of the future:

[The Ultimate Revolution](#)

[http://archive.org/download/AldousHuxley-TheUltimateRevolution/AldousHuxley-TheUltimateRevolution_64kb.m3u]



[Brave New World](#)

[Watch movie](#)

[<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hn5yUgci5Zg>]

[Listen to Brave New World audio CBS Theater of The Mind With Aldous Huxley](#)

[<https://archive.org/details/CbsTheaterOfTheMindWithAldousHuxley>]

Well, one of the major themes of the fable *Brave New World*, the frightening depiction of dehumanization of human beings in a scientific dictatorship, is birth control, separation of sexuality from reproduction, and sexualizing children beginning at a very young age (see [Parents upset over crotchless panties sold in kid's store](#), [cached](#), watch [video](#)). Signs are all around us wherever Westerndom's cultural influences pervade, for setting the stage for a new world in which sex plays no part in reproduction but is encouraged for recreation and bodily needs without the traditional bounds of marriage. It is of course the only method by which to achieve the controlled flat birth-rate consistent with Commandment number 1 of the Georgia Guidestones quoted above while permitting unlimited recreational sex.

The psychological (for each individual), and sociological (for the society), conditioning necessary for such asexual reproduction must begin in childhood, just as is depicted in Aldous Huxley's fable.

What's the truth about modern medicine?

Elements of the fable are now visibly beginning to match with our empirical reality where even pre-adolescent elementary school kids are exposed to it in pop culture – that early introduction being the prime Trojan Horse for infiltrating and subverting conservative mores and customs. Subversion of tradition is necessary before such a dystopia where family bonds are replaced with collectivist functional bonds under control of big brother, can be achieved.

Control of sexuality however is still just one link in the greater interconnected chain which includes research in big-pharma, modern medicine, technology, and all aspects of socialization, for the full spectrum control of mind-body-spirit of human beings in a scientific dictatorship. Yes, even spirit, for which a new religion has been conceived, Secular Humanism! (see [Brian Gerrish 'State of the Nation'](#)) All of this has been most effectively enabled by the “Technetronic Era”. That neologism was coined by Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski in his 1970 book *Between Two Ages – America's role in the Technetronic Era*. Brzezinski, like Aldous Huxley before him, coldly observed: **“Life seems to lack cohesion as environment rapidly alters and human beings become increasingly manipulable and malleable. Everything seems more transitory and temporary: external reality more fluid than solid, the human being more synthetic than authentic. Even our senses perceive an entirely novel “reality”—one of our own making but nevertheless, in terms of our sensations, quite “real.” More important, there is already widespread concern about the possibility of biological and chemical tampering with what has until now been considered the immutable essence of man. Human conduct, some argue, can be predetermined and subjected to deliberate control. Man is increasingly acquiring the capacity to determine the sex of his children, to affect through drugs the extent of their intelligence, and to modify and control their personalities. Speaking of a future at most only decades away, an experimenter in intelligence control asserted, “I foresee the time when we shall have the means and therefore, inevitably, the temptation to manipulate the behaviour and intellectual functioning of all the people through environmental and biochemical manipulation of the brain.”**” (pg. 12)

While Aldous Huxley may be dismissed by the college educated super-learned skeptic as just an overly imaginative novelist writing fine dystopic literature for study in eighth grade English literature class, the preceding words are those of America's National Security Advisor who, some two and half decades later, also admitted ex post facto that he had set up the trap for Soviet intervention in Afghanistan in 1979, and when they fell into that trap and invaded that Muslim country on 24 Dec 1979: **“The day that the Soviets officially crossed the border, I wrote to President Carter. We now have the opportunity of giving to the USSR its Vietnam war.”** Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski is also the author of *The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives*, 1996, which is the psychological and political blueprint for how to involve the United States in a

What's the truth about modern medicine?

lifetime of war: *“Moreover, as America becomes an increasingly multicultural society, it may find it more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, **except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat.** [Because] the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, **except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public’s sense of domestic well-being.**”* (pgs. 211, 44)

And aren't we living under that exact same threat template today in a perpetual [World War IV](#)? See ['War on Terror' is not about 'Islamofascism' – Please get with the real agenda you people!](#) and [“Al Qaeda operatives who look western”](#).

Only when such an overarching societal control agenda is understood, does the perception management and social engineering politics of two steps forward and one step backwards in such headlines as the following begin to make any sense: [Supreme Court Blocks Government Plan To Claim Ownership Of DNA](#). Why does the government want to own your child's DNA? And they will, eventually, under one pretext or another.

Research in medicine has today inextricably become linked with bioweapons research, genetics, and DNA/cellular level manipulation of life and its food sources, right alongside soft behavior modification techniques of perception management and psychological warfare operations that manipulate the human mind and the intellectual functioning of people by manipulating the environment around them. Brzezinski's statement from 1970: ***“Speaking of a future at most only decades away, an experimenter in intelligence control asserted, “I foresee the time when we shall have the means and therefore, inevitably, the temptation to manipulate the behaviour and intellectual functioning of all the people through environmental and biochemical manipulation of the brain.””***, is undeniably already empirical in its entirety. The political thinker's prescient words, as the establishment's premiere global strategist and office holder (see [Brzezinski's bio](#)), is a bold confirmation of the truth of the overarching disclosure here of the agenda for full spectrum societal control of all aspects of human life. It is not a “conspiracy theory”. It is an actual open conspiracy, in plain sight. And big-pharma medicine is right smack in the middle of it as the unsuspecting delivery vehicle of biochemical manipulation of human functioning.

In combination with big Agri-business and genetically modified pesticide laden food stock being forced upon the world (see Codex Alimentarius and the Monsanto world), and the vaccination regimen also being forced upon the world, induced sterility over a generation or two will see drastic reduction in world population beginning with the third world peoples. There is simply no other reason for Microsoft founder Bill Gates to be so concerned with polio, mosquitos and malaria, throughout

What's the truth about modern medicine?

Asia and Africa and vaccinating the world's poorest children for free when the indigent peoples don't even have clean drinking water, basic hygiene, or roof over their head, never mind one square meal a day for which Bill Gates does not seem too concerned: *"Bill Gates, the Microsoft founder and philanthropist, will donate \$10 billion (£6 billion) over the next decade to develop and deliver new vaccines to children in the developing world in one of the biggest ever single charitable donations. Speaking at the World Economic Forum in Davos, Mr Gates called for a "decade of vaccines" to reduce child mortality dramatically by 2020. By the end of the decade, 90 per cent of children in the world's poorest nations would be immunised against dangerous diseases such as diarrhoea and pneumonia, he said, saving some eight million lives."* (["decade of vaccines"](#), The Telegraph, 29 Jan 2010)

Here is Mr Gates in his [Feb 2010 TED Talk Innovating to Zero](#): *"If you gave me only one wish for the next 50 years -- I could pick who's president, I could pick a vaccine, which is something I love, ..."* The [video](#) shows Gates' infamous CO2 slide (at time 3:53): **"CO2 = P x S x E x C"** and he explains it: *"This equation has four factors, a little bit of multiplication: So, you've got a thing on the left, CO2, that you want to get to zero, and that's going to be based on the number of people, the services each person's using on average, the energy on average for each service, and the CO2 being put out per unit of energy. So, let's look at each one of these and see how we can get this down to zero. Probably, one of these numbers is going to have to get pretty near to zero. Now that's back from high school algebra, but let's take a look. First, we've got population. The world today has 6.8 billion people. That's headed up to about nine billion. Now, if we do a really great job on new vaccines, health care, reproductive health services, we could lower that by, perhaps, 10 or 15 percent, but there we see an increase of about 1.3."* (source: transcript, *ibid.*)

[Bill Gates: Innovating to Zero](#)

[\[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JaF-fq2Zn7I\]](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JaF-fq2Zn7I)

Why does Bill Gates love vaccines so much? To his credit, the philanthropist in the distinguished lineage of a eugenicist family, has always truthfully answered that question, and he did so once again with a sly smirk on his face in his [interview](#) to chief medical correspondent Dr. Sanjay Gupta MD on CNN. When responding to the interlocutor's question *"ten billion dollars over the next ten years to make it the year of the vaccines – what does it mean exactly?"*, Bill Gates said: *"...the benefits are in terms of reducing sickness, reducing population growth..."*. Like international banker Mr. David Rockefeller, his late confrere US Secretary of Defence Mr. Robert Strange McNamara, and US Secretary of State Dr. Henry Kissinger who developed NSSM-200 for the United

What's the truth about modern medicine?

States president's signature in 1974 (see below), Bill Gates too remains overly concerned with earth's population growth and evidently feels the same inner compulsion to do something about it for the betterment of humanity (not to forget national security). See [Funding the Eugenics Movement](#).

The weaponization of viruses for human and food supply is the most secretive and most prolific military technology of the West today. As previously stated above, can its covert unleashing in conjunction with big Pharma's vaccines, and modern draconian methods of convincing the public to take them, be part of population engineering? Watch [CBS-60-Minutes 1976 Swine Flu Scare](#) and fast forward to 2009 [Dr. Teresa Forcades: BELL-TOLLING for Swine Flu \(transcript\)](#) :

[1976 CBS 60-Minutes Investigates Swine Flu Scare](#)

[<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TpLF5UwUDoo>]

[2009 Dr. Teresa Forcades: BELL-TOLLING for Swine Flu](#)

[<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=A0JqQyl09zQ>]

Well, as already examined in the report [Swine Flu Pandemic of 2009](#), any deadly interactions among these as sophisticated weapons systems which are only lethal when their effects are combined together and designed to selectively cull populations in different modalities – from infertility to sterility in offspring to the body killing itself with the immune system turned on against its own cells to epidemic death – can always be blamed upon God, nature, or just bad luck and gullibly accepted by the surviving public with proper propaganda support! And therefore, the question has more than mere rhetorical significance.

Let's examine that question of motivation in the words of those mighty gods with omnipotent state powers and the ability to inflict it upon vast populations, and not be content with the mere words etched in stone monuments in state parks or in some rich bankers' fertile imagination merely longing to play god.

The [NSSM 200](#), National Security Study Memorandum 200, of the United States National Security Council already envisioned such draconian measures for population reduction in 1974 in a concluding section titled '**An Alternative View**': ***“Should the U.S. make an all out commitment to major limitation of world population with all the financial and international as well as domestic political costs that would entail. ... Would food be considered an instrument of national power? Will we be forced to make choices as to whom we can reasonably assist,***

and if so, should population efforts be a criterion for such assistance? Is the U.S. prepared to accept food rationing to help people who can't/won't control their population growth?" See the cited link for in-context deconstruction of that Memorandum written by the then Secretary of State Dr. Henry Kissinger which posited rising third world population a threat to America's National Security! President Gerald Ford subsequently signed-off on NSSM 200 in the White House's National Security Decision Memorandum NSDM 314, agreeing to the population control agenda for world's most populous Least Developed Countries outlined by Henry Kissinger.

What scientific and political modalities were secretly thought through for NSSM 200 and NSDM 314, and in their follow-ons that are still classified such that even their existence is not known, which are in baby-steps and in stages covertly being deployed against the Least Developed Countries unbeknownst to their public? The Monsanto GMO seeds – in conjunction with the WTO treaties which leverage off of the third world debt under the WB-IMF tag team – now being forced upon the Least Developed Countries either as foreign aid or as acceptance condition for continued foreign aid, and the real danger of these genetically modified seeds yielding catastrophic crop failures resulting in widespread famine which will put these poorest nations at the direct existential mercy of the West, has misanthropic implications already visible even in the declassified NSSM 200. Coupled with biowarfare agents administered by vaccinations and/or other dispersion methods, population reduction modalities and global eugenics are no longer science fiction. It has now become merely policy implementation of who, when and where, not how!

The “**History of Eugenics Plan**” is one of the continuous theme of the *White Man's Burden*.

At Nuremberg, the Nazi defense against the charge of eugenics was precisely this: that they learnt it all from the Anglo-Saxon fraternity in the old and new world!

Modern medicine today is run by the same oligarchy.... and thus David Rockefeller's speech at the UN begins to have more historical continuity, given that he still can't speak as plainly as they used to do in the first half of the twentieth century.

‘Meanwhile, Smith describes Yale's president until 1937, James Angell, as “a fanatic eugenicist in the worst meaning of that word”. According to Angell, who wrote an introduction to Leonard Darwin's *What is Eugenics?*, “Modern medicine, unless combined with some kind of practicable eugenic program, may result in an excess of feeble and incompetent stock.” In other words, pre-war Yale was in thrall to an idea that today strikes us as horribly close to Nazism.

Furthermore, Bertrand Russell's 1952 book *The Impact of Science and Society*, in [Chapter 7](#) argued

the misanthropic rationale for applying draconian methods of population control in the poorest nations of the world for the benefit of the affluent Western nations – if only the world's *hoi polloi* have the opportunity to read what is so eruditely planned in high places for them before it is all a done deal “oops”:

“What is the inevitable result if the increase of population is not checked? There must be a very general lowering of the standard of life in what are now prosperous countries. With that lowering there must go a great diminution in the demand for industrial products. Detroit will have to give up making private cars, and confine itself to lorries. Such things as books, pianos, watches will become rare luxuries of a few exceptionally powerful men - notably those who control the army and the police. In the end there will be a uniformity of misery, and the Malthusian law will reign unchecked. The world having been technically unified, population will increase when world harvests are good, and diminish by starvation whenever they are bad.

Most of the present urban and industrial centres will have become derelict, and their inhabitants, if still alive, will have reverted to the peasant hardships of their medieval ancestors. The world will have achieved a new stability, but at the cost of everything that gives value to human life.

Are mere numbers so important that, for their sake, we should patiently permit such a state of affairs to come about? Surely not. What, then, can we do? Apart from certain deep-seated prejudices, the answer would be obvious. The nations which are present increase rapidly should be encouraged to adopt the methods by which, in the West, the increase of population has been checked. Educational propaganda, with government

In the pre-war period, the belief that society should strive to breed a better quality of human stock was utterly mainstream, on both the Left and Right, in both Britain and America. Eugenics, one of whose leading evangelists was Charles Darwin's son Leonard, saw the human race as no different from any other animal: just as a farmer raising livestock seeks to breed more of the strong and weed out the weak, so human society should aim to do the same.

According to the eugenicists, whose number in pre-war Britain included some of the luminaries of the age – Bertrand Russell, George Bernard Shaw, William Beveridge, John Maynard Keynes, Marie Stopes and others – those deemed superior in intellect and of greater moral worth should be encouraged to have more children; those branded inferior should be urged, or even coerced, to have fewer children or none at all.' --- Excerpt from [The plot to create Britain's super race](#), 12 Feb 2012.

What's the truth about modern medicine?

help, could achieve this result in a generation. There are, however, two powerful forces opposed to such a policy: one is religion, the other is nationalism. I think it is the duty of all who are capable of facing facts to realize, and to proclaim, that opposition to the spread of birth control, if successful, must inflict upon mankind the most appalling depth of misery and degradation, and that within another fifty years or so.

I do not pretend that birth control is the only way in which population can be kept from increasing. There are others, which, one must suppose, opponents of birth control would prefer. War, as I remarked a moment ago, has hitherto been disappointing in this respect, but perhaps bacteriological war may prove more effective. If a Black Death could be spread throughout the world once in every generation survivors could procreate freely without making the world too full.

There would be nothing in this to offend the consciences of the devout or to restrain the ambitions of nationalists. The state of affairs might be somewhat unpleasant, but what of that? Really high-minded people are indifferent to happiness, especially other people's. However, I am wandering from the question of stability, to which I must return.

There are three ways of securing a society that shall be stable as regards population. The first is that of birth control, the second that of infanticide or really destructive wars, and the third that of general misery except for a powerful minority. All these methods have been practised: the first for example, by the Australian aborigines; the second by the Aztecs, the Spartans and the rulers of Plato's Republic; the third in the world as some Western internationalists hope to make it and in Soviet Russia. (it is not to be supposed that Indians and Chinese like starving, but they have to endure it because armaments of the West are too strong for them.) Of these three, only birth control avoids extreme cruelty and unhappiness for the majority of human beings. Meanwhile, so long as there is not a single world government there will be competition for power among different nations. And as increase of population brings the threat of famine, national power will become more and more obviously the only way of avoiding starvation. There will there be blocs in which the hungry nations band together against those that are well fed. That is the explanation of the victory of communism in China.

These considerations prove that a scientific world society cannot be stable

unless there is world government.

It may be said, however, that these are hasty conclusions. All that follows directly from what has been said is that, unless there is world government which secures universal birth control, there must from time to time be great wars, in which the penalty of defeat is widespread death by starvation. That is exactly the present state of the world, and some may hold that there is no reason why it should not continue for centuries. I do not myself believe that this is possible. The two great wars that we have experienced have lowered the level of civilisation in many parts of the world, and the next is pretty sure to achieve much more in this direction. Unless, at some stage, one power or group of powers emerges victorious and proceeds to establish a single government of the world with a monopoly of armed force, it is clear that the level of civilisation must continually decline until scientific warfare becomes impossible – that is until science is extinct. Reduced once more to blows and arrows, Homo sapiens might breathe again, and climb anew the dreary road to a similar futile culmination.

The need for a world government, if the population problem is to be solved in any humane manner, is completely evident on Darwinian principles.” (pgs. 115-118)

These self-serving specious justifications of the Western *ubermensch* openly and prima facie blueprint the national security policy documents like NSSM 200. The philosophical ideas are realized into calculating policies, often instrumented in Hegelian Dialectics, and exercised through the governmental-corporate-academe-NGO nexus of the vast military-industrial complexes of the West in compartmentalized form such that the *useful idiots* roped in for championing their limited cause without knowing the bigger picture, are often ardent believers in that cause. Presenting them with any contrarian data and analysis is like arguing with self-righteous religious fundos. Which is why they make great “useful idiots” and are always seen at the forefront of any agenda. Similar policy documents like NSSM 200 must surely exist in the European Union and its affluent member nations as well, and these too outlive their successive political administrations just as they do in the United States of America. The strange continuity of the entire Global North's predatory practices upon the natural resources rich, and yet still debt laden and poverty stricken, Global South, despite the frequent changes in democratically elected governments in the *white houses* of Western democracies, is inexplicable otherwise.

Furthermore, Baxter's vaccine “accident”, and Monsanto crop failures in previously fertile regions in

What's the truth about modern medicine?

many parts of the third world, prima facie and incontrovertibly demonstrate the genocidal methods already available today for inducing *humanicide*, the population reduction agenda. While other techniques of inducing long term mortality, via infertility and sterility over a generation or two, are only forensically arguable at this time by witnessing the bizarre mega spendings on children vaccinations in the most populous and least developed countries in Asia and Africa as if the West really cared for their welfare. Voiding the third world debt alone would be sufficient to ameliorate their condition if the affluent International bankers holding that debt-enslavement chain really cared for the 'untermensch' children's sufferings (see John Perkins' [A Game as old as Empire](#), [intro-cached](#)).

[The World According to Monsanto](#)

[<https://youtube.com/watch?v=2gxQbd3WRCU>]

[The Ultimate Secret Exposed](#)

[<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SMMtrmdp-NA>]

||

Returning to our main focus on Western medicine and the role of big-pharma, it is commonsense to observe that if there was no immediate and near term benefit of modern medicine, there'd be no one subscribing to it. That ubiquitous subscription ought not to blind one to its visible eventual direction however. And that's the agenda of modern medicine – to continue to blind one to that long-term agenda by its short term benefits. It is the same modus operandi as the one fueling the “Technetronic Era” for its immediate benefits, but which is also hurtling the world into the global surveillance society of big Brother and full scientific dictatorship at breakneck speeds. That same agenda in medicine could not perhaps be achieved without monopoly control of all health care modalities and research, and of course without attracting and luring young scientists to work in life sciences and medicine.

The near term benefits we see today in healthcare, the advanced surgical techniques, the trauma centers and the ER, and what we typically think of as wonders of modern medicine, does span an entire life-span, or two. It helps millions of people everyday. These benefits to our good-life in the near term are undeniable, as even David Rockefeller articulated at the UN Dinner. Just like the

What's the truth about modern medicine?

wonders of modern science and technologies also benefit the modern kitchen to modern travel in our “Technetronic Era”. These benefits of a scientific modernity are part and parcel of the same incentivization modalities to get people to tirelessly work on their narrow passionate interests in the short term, often in the name of scientific advancement benefiting humanity, or national security, quite oblivious to their detrimental long-term outcomes. These self-serving motivations and their cumulative impact on society in creating a global scientific dictatorship have already been fleshed out in [The Fable of the Bees and the Seduction of Science and Technology](#).

But modern medicine does love symptomatic and palliative treatments even when it is not trying to kill us in the short term. There appears to be no research and development monies spent on scientific studies of causation of disease. I cannot recall big-pharma announcing a cure for any major modern disease – can you? – from cancer to heart disease to diabetes to AIDS. There is no big-pharma cocktail of drugs for reversing heart disease for instance. A coronary heart disease patient stays on big-pharma medications often after rush to PCI (Percutaneous Coronary Intervention, physical revascularization of arteries with angioplasty, stents, bypass with open heart surgery). All expensive palliatives that attempt to alleviate the symptoms and do nothing to address the causation of the coronary disease. The PCI is often administered as *the first thing to do*, and often without providing adequate information of alternatives to the patient (see [Michael B. Rothberg, Informed Decision Making for Percutaneous Coronary Intervention for Stable Coronary Disease, JAMA Internal Medicine, May 18, 2015](#)). The followup with cocktails of medications, whether or not PCI is done, pretty much makes a captive audience of the patient for the rest of his natural life with no hope for cure (see [Caldwell B. Esselstyn's Book: Prevent and Reverse Heart Disease](#); [Caldwell B. Esselstyn, A way to reverse CAD, The Journal of Family Practice, July 2014](#); watch TEDx [Caldwell Esselstyn on Making Heart Attacks History](#)). The same is true for the diabetes patient. Indeed, the best patients being the ones on illness management drugs for lifetime without any hope for permanent cure for Type 2 Diabetes, is perhaps the epitome of this “respectable” modern quackery (watch TEDx [Sarah Hallberg on Reversing Type 2 Diabetes Starts with Ignoring the Guidelines](#)). The traditionally trained medicine men and medicine women reading this will surely be mortified! The lengthening shadow underneath the big-pharma's lamp is as undeniable as the blatant evidence of gross mis-focus and gross mis-training of modern medicine.

[Dr. Caldwell Esselstyn: Making heart attacks history](#)

[\[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EqKNfyUPzoU\]](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EqKNfyUPzoU)

What's the truth about modern medicine?

[Dr. Sarah Hallberg: Reversing Type 2 Diabetes Starts with Ignoring the Guidelines](#)

[\[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=da1vvigy5tQ\]](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=da1vvigy5tQ)

There is perpetual profit in administering a continuous supply of expensive pharmacological cocktails rather than in inducing a holistic cure based on nature's remedies, nutrition that is directly pertinent to the biochemistry of the disease, and commonsense, all freely available to everyone at pennies to the dollar paid into the coffers of big pharma. Big-pharma's medications for symptomatically controlling heart disease for instance easily leads to treatment for kidney and liver diseases as the lifetime of drugs treating heart disease can lead to kidney or liver dysfunction. These new ailments which are a side effect of controlling heart disease now require new drugs. ***“We make ill because every drug requires more drugs, which require more drugs, and more drugs,”*** as Dr. Rima Laibow puts it (see below). Thus new diseases are automatically created by the side effects of big-profit interventions, medications, vaccines, and poor lifestyles, diets and foods brought to the table by big Agri-business and the fast food industry. Modern medicine coupled to modern foods and lifestyles has become a factory for manufacturing captive patients on big-pharma's mercy! The vicious cycle feeds upon itself, not inadvertently, nor unwittingly, but deliberately, funded by big-science, big-pharma, big governing bodies and leading medical schools that respectively regulate and train *glorified quacks* to continually administer more big-pharma palliative interventions in a twenty-first century version of medical quackery. **Treating symptoms of disease with great budgets and expenditures without treating the causation of disease!**

A tiny shift in perspective is all it takes to shift focus from treating symptoms to treating causation – provided such a fundamental shift can practicably be forced down the Rockefeller designed paradigm of modern allopathic medicine (see [Caldwell B. Esselstyn, Is the Present Therapy for Coronary Artery Disease the Radical Mastectomy of the Twenty-First Century? The Am J Cardiol, 2010;](#) and [Caldwell B. Esselstyn, The Nutritional Reversal of Cardiovascular Disease — Fact or Fiction? Three Case Reports, Experimental and Clinical Cardiology, July 2014.](#)). Not easy shift to bring about while medicine remains under the control of big-pharma, big-regulators serving big-pharma, and leading medical school curriculums training for big-pharma.

In that world of control, a new disease is also as simple to fabricate as labeling any undesirable human behavior a “disorder”. As reported by George F. Will in his Washington Post column, *Handbook suggests that deviations from 'normality' are disorders*, [February 28, 2010: 'Today's DSM defines “oppositional defiant disorder” as a pattern of “negativistic, defiant, disobedient and hostile behavior toward authority figures.” Symptoms include “often loses temper,” “often](#)

deliberately annoys people” or “is often touchy.” DSM omits this symptom: “is a teenager.”

The draconian implication of this new behavioral “disorder” definition in DSM was not lost upon the cynical columnist for Washington Post: ***“If every character blemish or emotional turbulence is a “disorder” akin to a physical disability, legal accommodations are mandatory. Under federal law, “disabilities” include any “mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities”; “mental impairments” include “emotional or mental illness.” So there might be a legal entitlement to be a jerk.”***

George Will continued: ***“The revised DSM reportedly may include “binge eating disorder” and “hypersexual disorder” (“a great deal of time” devoted to “sexual fantasies and urges” and “planning for and engaging in sexual behavior”). Concerning children, there might be “temper dysregulation disorder with dysphoria.” This last categorization illustrates the serious stakes in the categorization of behaviors. Extremely irritable or aggressive children are frequently diagnosed as bipolar and treated with powerful antipsychotic drugs.”***

That natural behavior pattern defined as “disorder” in the medical nomenclature has now become the pious pretext for recruiting new patients for big-pharma in the name of healthcare – the trend to continually move the clientèle downwards in age to cover all age groups from cradle to grave as captive customers of big-pharma. Children are now the focussed target of big-pharma, with *Attention Deficit Disorder* diagnosis rampant, and other pharmacological infestations into the child's body with vaccines occurring from birth as part of modern healthcare. It isn't voluntary either, as exclusion or limitation of rights, privileges, and opportunities, or punishments and deprivation of liberty, are legally attached to not being responsive to the healthcare diktats of modern medicine and its governing bodies. That is what is meant by “legal entitlement”! The state is slowly taking over individual healthcare decisions in the name of public health and public safety.

The [following](#) shocking revelation by Dr. Rima Laibow while responding to a question at the Anti-Zensur Konferenz (AZK - Anti-censorship conference) in Germany is notable in this respect: ***“In the United States there was a law enacted, which was signed into law by the twice unelected president of the United States, George Bush. That law is called the New Freedom Initiative. The New Freedom Initiative signed into law in November 2004, says the following: Says every child in the United States, including unborn children, must be screened for mental illness. [Former executive sales rep for Eli Lilly & Co., Dr. John Rengen Virapen, interjecting: This was a study done by George Bush's Institute for Mental Health in Texas, which was built by Eli Lilly & Co.] But there is more. Step One: screen every child including the unborn by screening their mothers for mental illness. Step***

What's the truth about modern medicine?

Two: treat on a mandatory basis, every child who is found to have, quote, mental illness, which means, every child. And Step Three: screen and treat on a mandatory basis, every single person who has any contact with children because we have to protect the little dears. That means mothers, fathers, shop keepers, school teachers, grandparents, neighbors, everyone. So first we control, first we control, and we make ill because every drug requires more drugs, which require more drugs, and more drugs, and then eventually, we depopulate.” (minute 7:30)

[Dr Rima Laibow: Codex Alimentarius – Food Nazification](#)

[\[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-PTEqjAnsV4\]](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-PTEqjAnsV4)

The fact that the above statement ***“we make ill because every drug requires more drugs, which require more drugs, and more drugs,”*** is empirically true in many cases not just for drugs but also for therapies such as radiation, can straightforwardly be gleaned in this *work in progress* [iatrogenic survey](#) of Western medicine. And therefore, the first-cause question raised earlier about modern medicine has to be addressed at every stage by the shrewd reader concerned with health matters. For emphasis, it is restated: **Is this “malevolence” of modern medicine deliberate by way of some long term eugenics and depopulation planning agenda using biowarfare techniques that are masked as “iatrogenic oops” or blamed on natural causes when caught, or, indeed entirely iatrogenic?** That fancy medical terminology means the drug or treatment having inadvertent or unintended side-effects, not deliberately or knowingly induced, and approved for public health based on some opaque statistical cost-benefit calculus of public health policy. Furthermore, if it is indeed solely the latter with no malevolent intent of waging long term biowarfare on civilian populations to create a captive public, then is the high rate of *iatrogenicity* of modern drugs and treatment protocols mainly due to big-pharma's *raison d'être* of big monopoly profits, and due to their collusion of greed and intemperance with the FDA to rush drugs and treatments derived from or incubated in the cells of other species, to market which are frequently poorly tested for long term side-effects, and which are increasingly poorly tested even for immediate efficacy and safety? Or do both these hierarchical and compartmentalized agendas of monopoly medicine just work seamlessly in tandem as scientific dictatorship envisaged in dystopic fables takes root globally? **None who are so innocent of knowledge and naively staring at the fishbowl can ever comprehend all the felinic dimensions of primacy without a gestalt shift in perspective.**

Evidence based practice of medicine and public health policy demands not just examining the entire spectrum of available evidence, but also looking at the motivations under which such examinations

What's the truth about modern medicine?

are undertaken by “experts” and the various hidden forces such as *confirmation bias* and *data availability bias* (when honest), and willful deception (when dishonest), that come into play due to these beneath the surface motivations. This is just commonsense science in order to reach an objective and sensible assessment in the greater interest of public health. The public being able to shrewdly discern these often hidden motivations when such examinations and conclusions are brought before them to channel their mind in one direction or another, remains the key to sensibly accepting or rejecting these recommendations of experts. Without proper public perspective and awareness, rules and regulations of governing bodies that principally serve the narrow interests of the owners of big-pharma, are connivingly legislated by the representatives of the people and forced upon the public by the fiat of legal authority and its public relations machinery. Mandatory vaccine deployment is being legalized under such fiat of power. The forced vaccination programs from cradle to grave in the name of public health is its first bastard child.

Vaccination has become like a “religion”, as some professionals have concluded under their first order assumption of honest misdirection of *useful idiots* harnessed to the cause, overlooking any of the long term diabolical dimensions to the agenda for forced vaccination of the behind the scenes powers that be: ***“People in the pro-vaccine arena, I mean if you work for the health and human services, or the public health department, or the CDC, you have been so brain-washed and indoctrinated to believe that vaccines are good, they are safe, they are effective, they cause no harm, in fact, if you are not vaccinated, you gonna die. And they believe that in the same way that you believe that Jesus rose from the dead. They believe it from the same intense belief system. Because it's a religion. And this vaccination has become like a religion. And now when you couple that in with the Healthy People 2020 guidelines, and know that this is the Decade of Vaccines, they really believe that every single person on the planet needs to be vaccinated with every single vaccine that they create. Now, to me, that's just blindly following something and ignoring the rest of the science.”*** See [Dr. Sherri J Tenpenny on Vaccine's Safety: A Crime Against Humanity](#); [Dr. Tenpenny on Healthy People 2020: What the CDC documents say about vaccines](#); and hear [Dr. Tenpenny Interview](#) for the preceding quote at time 44:20. Also see: [Dr Viera Scheibner's 2012 survey paper asking the question: Polio eradication by vaccination?](#), where the anti-vaccine advocate concluded from the survey of available scientific data: ***“The only way to eradicate paralytic poliomyelitis is to stop vaccinating”***.

[Dr. Tenpenny on Vaccine's Safety: A Crime Against Humanity](#)

[\[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CAJb01ZiJNk\]](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CAJb01ZiJNk)

What's the truth about modern medicine?

[Dr. Tenpenny on What CDC documents and science reveal](#)

[\[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=M1VwVBmx0Ng\]](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=M1VwVBmx0Ng)

[Dr. Tenpenny Interview \(starting at time 12:15\)](#)

[\[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=C_d68yL6CMI#t=740\]](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=C_d68yL6CMI#t=740)

Goodness! Such alternative assessment based on the scientific evidence that is oft ignored, may appear as “conspiratorial” and “radical” to both the typical mainstream consumer and the typical mainstream professional healthcare provider practicing under their AMA license, as when the baby looks at the world from the feline's instincts for primacy and experiences intense cognitive dissonance. The first knee-jerk reaction to genuine cognitive dissonance is denial. The rest of denials are merely mercenaries shilling for their cause. That dismissive reaction, whether genuine or affected, is encouraged and rewarded in the present system. It is even deemed avant-garde in scientific intellectualism to ignore unpleasant data, inconvenient evidence, and dethroning analysis that is against the grain of prevailing wisdom – a science and its practitioners crippled by self-interest, self-deception, confirmation bias, and data availability bias in favor of big-pharma.

Take all evidence into account and not just that which meets with the approval of status quo, authority figures, oaths of allegiance to tribe, nation, religion, doctrine, or paycheck. Especially the latter --- for funding and livelihood have been the greatest co-opter of honest looking men and women from time immemorial (see [The Art and Science of Co-option](#)). In fact, after investing a quarter of million dollars and ten years of one's life in big-pharma led medical education and training, paycheck is the single biggest co-opter for siding with the *crippled epistemology* of ruling medical paradigms. Hallelujah to the *likkha-parrha jahils* (university educated morons) of modernity (see [Reflections on Modernity, Climategate, Pandemic, Peer Review, and Science in the Service of Empire](#))!

While I have to admit that I have not yet been able to locate and study the original source document for that unlayering of Newspeak by Dr. Rima Laibow behind Executive Order 13217, titled: “**New Freedom Initiative and its goal of community integration for people with disabilities**”, the business of statecraft couched in neurolinguistic phenomenology to imply one thing for public perception and mean quite another is not unfamiliar to those who study it. The Orwellian use of the already very familiar words like “Freedom” to mask diabolical intent, as in “Operation Iraqi Freedom”, would only come as a surprise to those most acutely suffering from the *dumbed-down disability* (DDD). Similarly, the wholesome word “community” and the concept of “community integration”,

What's the truth about modern medicine?

minus the Newspeak is explained very perceptively by California State employee and activist Rosa Koire in her talk on [How your community is implementing AGENDA 21](#) (minute 8:30).

[Rosa Koire: How your community is implementing AGENDA 21](#)

[<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CEHWsdimVO4>]

If one has the stamina to sit through Rosa Koire's 90 minute entertaining talk, one will come to understand that the Agenda 21 is all pervasive, multifaceted, and its collectivist *prime directive*, the depopulation of the planet and its global management through central governance, is being implemented locally in stages! (See [Agenda 21 for Dummies](#) for PDF links to official UN documents --- read for yourself how the predator thinks and presents its thinking; in some of these documents it is not even camouflaged in “newspeak” and “doublethink”)

However, by looking at each local baby-step enactment of Agenda 21 individually, it is impossible to see the big picture in much the same way as even painstakingly examining each atom and the electro-chemical sub-structure of the water molecule still makes it impossible to understand why the water naturally only flows downstream on earth. The system properties of interest, and the cause-and-effect patterns of behaviors of interest, especially of black-box systems whose inner-workings can only be inferred by observing the manifest response characteristics, easily get lost by isolated focus on individual components. Often complex systems aren't as straightforward as simply gathering the understanding of each of its components – because many convoluted system properties are represented only in the interaction among components, and to the whole system's interaction with the environment. If the entire system is not known and a component is thought to be the entire system, the system properties are never understood fully or even correctly identified – and consequently the observed behavior is thought to be random, or happenstance, when it is actually incompetence and incomplete knowledge which leads to that characterization and not the science of it. This is just the basic science of systems, all systems. As true of physics and engineering as of social sciences, which include political science and state-craft, i.e., governance by Machiavelli. And for state-craft of empires, the *causal properties* (cause and effect) of international events, like domestic events, can often only be determined by stepping back from the individual events and looking at the entire picture for patterns of interconnectivity of events which may span both time and space.

There is obviously as much caution for false positives and false negatives here as in science --- and that only means social scientists have to be at least as skilled in the craft of deciphering global events as the natural scientists and engineers in deciphering nature and engineering large systems

What's the truth about modern medicine?

where complex interactions among components can defy understanding by any single individual --- for the level of skills and mastery of data needed may transcend even what the smartest brain can muster. In the age of narrow-gauge specialists and superficial generalists, one can see how easy it is to miss the forest for the trees.

Consequently, in order to acutely comprehend the larger interconnection between and among parts, one has to not only understand many parts individually, but also the historical trends and system dynamics in the interconnections among those parts – as these are neither static, nor temporal, but evidently bring a long hysteresis effect to their current state and future direction. What was let loose fifty or a hundred years ago often determines the state of the world today. Should be commonsense, but, as is also the case in imperial scholarship penned for mass consumption, is often ignored in the study of current affairs and history by those outside of it.

Nobel laureate physicist Max Planck expressed this holistic fact of system analysis most aptly: ***“Modern physics has taught us that the nature of any system cannot be discovered by dividing it into its component parts and studying each part by itself, since such a method often implies the loss of important properties of the system. We must keep our attention fixed on the whole and on the interconnection between the parts.”*** (Cited in Critique of Western Philosophy and Social Theory By David Sprintzen, pg. 76)

A former nursing student Jennifer Lake has compiled an interesting medicine Timeline to this effect, spanning the period between 1830 to present, where the long-term trends of modern medicine and the medical establishment's conduct leading us here, is self-evident. (See [Jennifer Lake's Blog](#))

But it is of course next to impossible to understand any of this in the limited time that today's dedicated professionals have available to them after toiling all day long in their 'American Dreams'. Most remain caught between *bread and circuses* their entire life like the rest of *hoi polloi*.

Which brings us back to the busy doctors and their knowledge of their own profession, never mind of complicated agendas and trends which both transcend their profession, as well as are part of their profession. The modern doctors don't appear to know too much about the drugs and vaccines they prescribe to their own patients, behaving more and more like technicians and sales-rep for big-pharma. This empirical observation is most unfortunate because many practitioners I am sure, and two of my own children are laboring in that endeavor of the healing sciences, come to this profession with a great deal of idealism. But what happens by the time they have graduated medical school and labored 80-100 hours per week in their residencies at barely livable wage and a quarter of million dollars in debt? They become slaves to the dogmas of big-pharma and the narrow paradigms of

What's the truth about modern medicine?

healthcare driven by governing and licensing bodies top down.

Indeed, the modern physician, from pediatrician to internal medicine to oncologist to psychiatrist, all appear most beholden to whatever is the prevailing cultural dogma of big-pharma, to the FDA, to the AMA, to the WHO, and to the sales rep of big-pharma from whom they evidently get all their knowledge of the drugs they automatically prescribe like robots based on a symptom diagnostic tables also provided to them by big-pharma. The choice of radiology or surgery for this reason appeals to some because these entail the least interaction with big-pharma. A few who become too disenchanted with the system once their eyes open also just walk away. This is what Jennifer Lake wrote on her blog: ***“Welcome to my blog. I was a nursing student in 2005-2006 and decided not to enter the field and give people drugs. My school experience opened my eyes to the depth of medical fraud within the military-industrial-medical-complex and the willful cover-up of the real causes of disease.”***

Western Medicine, in the name of protecting the patients from quackery, is the most draconianly regulated profession in the world with big Brother management across the board. This big Brother works for big-pharma. And big-pharma controls the medical profession and its professionals. All non big-pharma healing methods and modalities are minimally considered *unorthodox* when not outright condemned, even when empiricism speaks otherwise, and even when an occasional respectable medical coverage is given to an alternative by the orthodoxy (evidently in lip-service).

A notable example of this “unorthodox” treatment which received considerable medical press, but with otherwise little interest shown by the medical establishment other than as a quirky curiosity, is Norman Cousins. The New England Journal of Medicine published a revealing case study of self-healing by Norman Cousins (Cousins, N. Anatomy of an Illness (as Perceived by the Patient) NEJM 295:1458-1463 December 23, 1976). Cousins expanded that NEJM article into a 1979 book, [Anatomy of an Illness](#) (As Perceived by the Patient), detailing his observations of the medical profession and his “unorthodox” experiments in self-healing. He was stricken by a painfully debilitating illness in 1964 upon returning from a trip to the Soviet Union, which was diagnosed as *ankylosing spondylitis*. Eventually, after all the medical remedies in the hospital had proved futile, and as he put it in his book: ***“I had a fast-growing conviction that a hospital is no place for a person who is seriously ill.”*** (pg. 31), Cousins left the hospital, checked into a hotel, and under his own enlightened doctor's supervision, a Dr. William Hitzig, created for himself a daily schedule of hearty laughter therapy as an exercise in mind-body self-healing.

Norman Cousins' book contains many commonsensical insights into the rational and wholly scientific

What's the truth about modern medicine?

thought processes behind its author's specific experiments in self-healing carried out under the close supervision of his licensed physician. Such general analytical thought processes which affectively tickle the natural human biochemistry is not known to be FDA approved (sic!). There is no profit in natural or nature-derived remedies for big-pharma which projects anything non-pharmacological as a zealous move towards abandoning the very canons of science. Therefore, modalities afforded freely by nature, by low cost natural remedies, and by natural human biochemistry do not constitute any significant part of the medical school training regimen which is entirely high-margin pharmaceutical driven as the Alpha and Omega of modern medical intervention.

Detractors and public relations experts shilling for the established medical profession make their own "independent" pronouncements in the "public interest" but almost always in favor of their priesthood whenever an "unorthodox" case gains unusual prominence. As [this chap](#) from the National Council Against Health Fraud ("NCAHF is a private nonprofit, voluntary health agency that focuses upon health misinformation, fraud, and quackery as public health problems.") did against the empiricism brought forth by Norman Cousins, and the consequent interest it generated among the public. Since the medical establishment rarely funds any scientific studies into these non big-pharma healing modalities, it is easy to dismiss its effectiveness as quirky, non-scientific, or faith-based. This self-serving loop is retained in modern medicine by design by big-pharma and its big Brother enforcers.

[Here](#) is a former sales executive and Managing Director for Eli Lilly and Company, Sweden AB, Dr. John Rengen Virapen, speaking at the same Anti-Zensur Konferenz in Germany as Dr. Rima Laibow mentioned above, where he explained the big-pharma's system of co-option. In the conference presentation, Virapen admits to bribing the regulatory board in Sweden to approve Prozac! Dr. Virapen also wrote his confessional memoir, *Side Effects: Death - Confessions of a Pharma-Insider*, blowing the whistle on Eli Lilly's and big-pharma's shady practices. In this [blogtalkradio](#) 2007 interview, Dr. Virapen explained more details on how he did the bribing for Eli Lilly and Company to get Prozac approved.

[Dr. John Rengen Virapen: Big Pharma Whistleblower Speaks Out at the AZK in Germany](#)

[<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Qmi3ihrUHJU>]

Dr. Virapen's confessional insights into the overall systems of corruption, including his own personal corruption for the lure of the good life and high society, clearly demonstrates how corrosively big-pharma infects both regulatory bodies via political lobbies and kickbacks, as well as the medical practitioners via their indoctrinating training, that few are able to retain whatever iota of Hippocratic idealism that had brought them to their profession.

What's the truth about modern medicine?

Especially, when doctors are employed by HMOs and hospitals which have standardized diagnostic and prescription regimens, it leaves little room for discretion for any talented physicians who might wish to explore refrains or alternatives not sanctioned by the hospital or the insurance companies.

When the FDA wisdom, and the WHO wisdom, both of which represent the big-pharma interests, is to prescribe flu shots or some vaccination protocol for instance, and not just their paycheck but their ability to practice their profession depends on following those diktats, then which physician has ever done any first hand research on the drug or the vaccination protocol on their own? Which physician has the time, or the inclination to go against the wisdom du jour? During the 2009 Swine Flu pandemic declaration by the WHO to unprecedented Stage-6 for instance, how many in the medical profession administering the swine flu vaccines bothered to study first hand either the pandemic or the vaccination protocol dictated by the authorities? Even the Director-General of WHO was following orders of her superiors who told her exactly what to proclaim next.

I wrote to Margaret Chan, the figurehead boss of WHO, on May 09, 2009: *"I write you respectfully to express immense concern, and without mincing words. I would like to draw your kind attention to the letter to editor which is reproduced below. It expresses grave reservations that the **World Health Organization is acting as a global sales-rep for vaccine manufacturers.** I specifically draw your kind attention to the "Note on abetting the Pandemic" which is cited below. **It is quite likely that you have become an unwitting pawn in the ruling oligarchs' game of population reduction.** If you finally come to realize that [fact] through your [own] due diligence, I urge you to make that information public from your high pulpit. At worst, you will lose your job. **But your unconscionable inaction, or mere resignation in silence, will lead to a billion-plus exterminated, with WHO subsequently claiming all the credit for saving the rest.** There is likely a Nobel Peace Prize lurking in there somewhere for all this 'saving'. And the cycle will repeat."* See details in my [Letter to Dr. Margaret Chan, Director-General, WHO: Global Sales-Rep for big-pharma!](#).

It is just assumed by the vast majority of medical practitioners that the FDA and the WHO have done their own due diligence, and that they operate in good faith under the same Hippocratic oath. In this perspective of the baby staring at the complicated creature in the fishbowl with wondrous innocence, there is no comprehension of the psychology and prime directive of the tom cat eyeing the same as a tasty morsel. The system ensures that the very existence of the tom cat is denied. But the evidence of its existence is neither hidden, nor classified top secret. It is just inconvenient to see it.

The incursion of big-pharma into medical school training regimens to groom that very faithful and trusting physician is now so notorious that few pay any attention to it. The Western medical

What's the truth about modern medicine?

profession takes big-pharma's very visible role in their profession as just the “normal” part of modern medicine. It is often rationalized away as “they are spending a billion dollars in research every year”. So this [2009 news story](#) of **“Two hundred Harvard Medical School students are confronting the school’s administration, demanding an end to pharmaceutical industry influence in the classroom.”** was refreshing indeed.

The New York Times ran the following report on [March 2, 2009](#): *“In a first-year pharmacology class at Harvard Medical School, Matt Zerden grew wary as the professor promoted the benefits of cholesterol drugs and seemed to belittle a student who asked about side effects. Mr. Zerden later discovered something by searching online that he began sharing with his classmates. **The professor was not only a full-time member of the Harvard Medical faculty, but a paid consultant to 10 drug companies, including five makers of cholesterol treatments.** ... The Harvard students have already secured a requirement that all professors and lecturers disclose their industry ties in class — a blanket policy that has been adopted by no other leading medical school. **(One Harvard professor’s disclosure in class listed 47 company affiliations.)”***

But that overt role of big-pharma and its disclosure of conflict of interest with such fanfare in the New York Times is already layered upon the entire implicit pharmacological dogma set up by the Rockefellers at the turn of the twentieth century as cited in the presentation by Desiree Rover. So what is being protested by the Harvard medical students is just the tip of the ice-berg. Disclosing this overt conflict of interest by doctors teaching medical students to prescribe drugs which they have financial interest in seeing sold, while I am sure beneficial for some purpose, does little to alter the interlocking and incestuously self-reinforcing medical profession itself which is controlled by big-pharma. If not this drug, then that drug – what's the difference since that's the only permitted choice?

Want to reform that? Instead of the mere disclosure of conflict of interest, make conflict of interest itself illegal across the medical profession – for that would surely be a tad more useful than merely talking about it. Make it illegal for doctors to be a financial part of big-pharma, and make it illegal for big-pharma to offer any inducements of any sort to the medical practitioners which is even broadly speaking conflict of interest.

But such sensible laws can of course never be passed because big-pharma thriving on Wall Street on the very existence and continuous supply of “sick people”, is itself the first prima facie conflict of interest!

And even that pecuniary motivation is itself just the tip of the iceberg. The long-term *prime directive* of big-pharma, like that of big Agri-business, both crafted by the same financial oligarchy, is control

What's the truth about modern medicine?

of life itself and not just mere profit. **It is an egregious mistake to think that it is all about profit – “money money money” – it is not.** Profits are merely the payback to its technicians and administrators who enable implementing the *prime directive* year after year in baby-steps. I am certain that even the top scientists and executives of big-pharma remain as unaware of the *prime directive* as the top scientists and executives of the high-tech industry remain oblivious of the presuppositions and axioms under which they are continuously ushering in the *Technetronic Era* to its natural culmination (see [The Fable of the Bees and the Seduction of Science and Technology](#)).

Therefore, the same New York Times article also reporting: **“Harvard Medical School’s peers received much higher grades, ranging from the A for the University of Pennsylvania, to B’s received by Stanford, Columbia and New York University, to the C for Yale.”**, is hardly much of a differentiator for the overall practice of Western medicine. This specious grading system is itself meaningless as it is layered upon the same Rockefeller axioms which run big medicine and train medical professionals year after year in all the Western medical schools under the FDA and AMA mandates authored by big-pharma, which is itself controlled by the financial oligarchy. That unfettered exercise of power to craft and legalize un-challengeable axioms at the very source from which all practice naturally follows, as was stated by the Rothschild scion for the power to coin a nation's money supply: **“Give me control of a nation's money supply and I care not who makes its laws”**, makes the grading system as useful as the economic policies when controlled by the same monopolistic private cabal. That cabal now presides over the world's destiny, towards the full spectrum global management of the planet and its resources – which includes life!

Their control is being enabled daily in baby-steps. The following was reported in my earlier examination of micro-chipping the population during the swine flu pandemic as the pretext (see [Swine Flu: The Ultimate Revolution in the Making](#)). And that malevolent control of human beings was only temporarily thwarted, despite being led by the Council on Foreign Relations, CDC, and WHO, when the so called pandemic turned into vaporware (see [The Swine Flu Postscript: 'Reconstruction of a Mass Hysteria – The Swine Flu Panic of 2009'](#)).

In his New Technologies and innovations show-and-tell in Toronto in April 2008, Dr. Patrick Redmond described the current state of tracking devices, the RFID chips: **“Hitachi a few years ago produced a chip (called the mu chip) that was the size of a pencil point; if you take a pencil and put it on a piece of paper you get a little dot. That’s how small they’re getting. In 2007 Hitachi came out with a chip that was even smaller, they call it RFID powder. They are just like the talcum powder you would put on a baby. Somark Innovations in Jan 10, 2007 announced an invisible RFID ink. This can be applied to cattle, prime cuts of meat, military**

personnel and it can be read through hair.”

Dr. Patrick Redmond observed of its deployment trend that: “... **by 2011 you should be able to go on Google and find out where someone is at any time from chips on clothing, in cars, cell phones, and inside many people themselves.**”

Dr. Patrick Redmond, 31 years with IBM before he retired, further noted the recent staggering growth of the RFID industry: “**From 1955 to 2005, cumulative sales of radio tags totaled 2.4 billion; in 2007 alone, 2.24 billion tags were sold worldwide and analysts project that by 2017 cumulative sales will top 1 trillion—generating more than \$25 billion in annual revenues for the industry.**”

The [article-transcript](#) of Dr. Redmond’s talk is most revealing for those unfamiliar with the extent of technological deployment of RFIDs. He not only surveyed how RFIDs are being used today for commodity tracking, but also how these might be used in the near future for psychotropic behavior control with biochemical tampering of the brain (evidently perception management via propaganda warfare ala George Orwell isn't deemed efficient, or sufficient, or practical enough, for sustained mass behavior control an examination of which is in my [Report on the Mighty Wurlitzer – Architecture of Modern Propaganda for Psychological Warfare](#)). Dr. Redmond ominously concluded: “**If chips can disseminate medicine then they can disseminate other things too; anything put inside a microchip can be activated by a signal. And finally, with this technology, subliminal mind control becomes possible.**”

The *Technetronic Era* has willingly brought the world full circle from Aldous Huxley’s 1931 mood-altering drug “SOMA” in his fable *A Brave New World*, to his 1962 predictions at his already cited talk at the University of California Berkeley of the advancements in social engineering through direct behavior control whereby people will actually be made to love their own servitude creating the “**ultimate in malevolent revolution**”, to its real enablement within 50 years, in our own lifetime.

Is this mere happenstance, an inevitable side-effect of technology, or societal control wielded by Machiavelli and worked towards by the many architects of hegemony in every generation? According to Zbigniew Brzezinski, the author of *The Grand Chessboard*, the co-founder of the Trilateral Commission with David Rockefeller and its first executive director, and America's National Security Advisor under president Jimmy Carter (1976-80): “*Another threat, less overt but no less basic, confronts liberal democracy. More directly linked to the impact of technology, it involves the gradual appearance of a more controlled and directed society. Such a society would be dominated by an elite whose claim to political power would rest on allegedly superior scientific know-how.*

What's the truth about modern medicine?

Unhindered by the restraints of traditional liberal values, this elite would not hesitate to achieve its political ends by using the latest modern techniques for influencing public behavior and keeping society under close surveillance and control. (Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski, *Between Two Ages*, 1970, pg. 97)

Any modern day physician anywhere in the world having taken the Hippocratic and AMA sponsored oaths in their own local language will naturally bark reading all this, protesting with utmost sincerity and with much innocence of knowledge I am sure, that these are just the natural errors or happenstances of the system and that there isn't some overarching diabolical agenda for inducing lucrative sicknesses, long term sterility, and population reduction behind it. But, over the course of another hundred years or less, perhaps within our own children's lifetime – these happenstances will see a world government patterned in some combination drawn from all these fables.... Is such a feline world desirable? Should the common man drawn by bread and circuses stop to worry about his own children's fate? Should the passionate scientists and technicians working on it care a bit more than the lure of Nobel prizes and induction into National Inventors Hall of Fame?

It is not obvious to me how to practicably interdict this controlled descent into dystopia on any significant scale! That's because its harbingers deem global scientific dictatorship an ascent rather than a descent and are moving ahead with full force. The system is calculatingly made so co-opting and corrosive that apart from inducing apathy in the majority, even when a handful do their due diligence and shift their perspective from that of the innocent trusting baby to that of the predatory feline to understand the primacy instincts of the oligarchy, they have so much invested in their high-tech and medical careers by the time they wake up that it is just easier to succumb to the “banality of evil” and soldier on as before. The best contemporary example is Dr. Eric Fossum, the inventor of the CMOS imaging chip which along with other computing technologies, has ushered in big-Brother in full force. See Dr. Fossum's self-deceit and brazen hypocrisy in this case study: [The Fable of the Bees and the Seduction of Science and Technology](#).

Moralizing Knowledge does not often equate to moral acts. In fact, it rarely does. The oft quoted Biblical wisdom: ***“And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free”*** seems to have little empirical relevance in our seducing modernity where the pleasures and healing of the soul have been traded for the pleasures and healing of the body. (See [America's War Veterans: PTSD and its Cure – Letter to Editor](#))

This modernity, which the Council on Foreign Relations accurately presaged in [April 1974](#) would ***“look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of***

What's the truth about modern medicine?

reality”, and it now does, has the full impetus of global governance behind it the extrication from which appears well-nigh impossible! This was well planned and superbly orchestrated, just as the CFR author had prognosticated: *“In short, the ‘house of world order’ will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault. Of course, for political as well as administrative reasons, some of these specialized arrangements should be brought into an appropriate relationship with the central institutions of the U.N. system, but the main thing is that the essential functions be performed.”* (Richard N. Gardner, *The Hard Road To World Order*, April 1974, pgs. 558-559)

[Global Governance - EU President Admits One-World Government is Here](#)

[<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QEeFtVrAgSo>]



“We are living through exceptionally difficult times. Financial crisis and its dramatic impact on employment and budgets, the climate crisis which threatens our very survival --- a period of anxiety, uncertainty, and lack of confidence. Yet these problems can be overcome, by a joint effort, in and between our countries. 2009 is also the first year of Global Governance with the establishment of the G-20 in the middle of financial crisis. The climate conference in Copenhagen is another step towards the Global Management of our Planet. Our mission, our presidency is one of hope, supported by acts, and by deeds.” --- Herman Van Rompuy, EU Council President, press conference November 19, 2009

What's the truth about modern medicine?

The news report coming out of Eurozone in August 2011 reconfirmed the EU president's proclamation of inching towards full global governance of the planet by baby-stepping through regional collectives into the greater whole under the pretext of dealing with crises: ***“The leaders of France and Germany are proposing a collective ‘government’ for the Eurozone led by the EU President. It is the solution being put forward to deal with the EU debt crisis. ... After the talks in Paris Mr. Sarkozy said he and the German Chancellor were also proposing that all 17 Eurozone countries commit to balanced finances and write that goal into their constitutional law by the summer 2012.”*** (Proposals outlined for collective Eurozone government, [Aug 16th, 2011](#))



Conclusion and Remedies

Under the collective Western governments of the Euro-Anglo-American nexus, the Western medical system, the big Pharma, the big Agri-business, the big biotech business, the big chemical business, the big technology business, and their tightly integrated and inter-dependent research and development in bio-agents and biowarfare, their increasing control and tampering of all living things, have all been made resilient to, and legally protected from, any public outcry or other impediments in their march towards their own compartmentalized *prime directive*. Each component plays its role in the dystopia under construction, collectively ushering in global scientific dictatorship. What form it will take, whether Orwellian (jackboot stamped on the human face), or Huxleyan (getting people to love their servitude, standardization of human behavior and arrangement in some scientific caste hierarchy), or some other imaginative derivative reminiscent of the feudal aristocracy of the seventeenth and eighteenth century France where this time around the unwashed masses don't rebel and are content laboring hard all day long in their respective productivity functions under the remorseless eyes of scientific managers as imagined in *Atlas Shrugged*, remains to be seen.

What should be self-evident already is that those with specialized knowledge and technical skills, the scientists and engineers and business managers on the build side of civilization, and the artists, poets and scholars on the humanities side of civilization, will all be in great demand just as they are today. Those who contribute to the civilization will do well. The “useless eaters” and those who resist will all be dead. The remaining will be manipulated into being content laboring all day long as the bulwark of the wealth of the new one-world nation. It is already this way even today, except for the

What's the truth about modern medicine?

unwanted populations and infighting among the feudal primates for territorial hegemony and primacy.

Under this gruesome realitycheck, one that is neither Pollyanish nor defeatist nor with eyes wide shut, but an accurate measurement of reality and the forces driving it today and yesterday, what can be done for tomorrow?

Well, one can of course just pretend that the dystopic Darwinian primacy does not exist and continue to hold the perspective of the innocent baby staring at the fishbowl. If however, one has succeeded in shifting one's perspective to that of the tom cat, then in self-preservation as the fish in the fishbowl, what are the options?

First, where we need to be – the destination. When the medical profession is itself complicit in administering inimical medicine to the public, they are part of the petty crime syndicate and merely wearing white coats and gloves instead of guns. And the medical doctors have to come to that realization before anything can be changed! We do need medical professionals today and tomorrow who will challenge and transcend this big-pharma dominated healthcare modalities. We need holistic healthcare for humanity which is both scientific and skilled as the awesome surgeons are today, and also un-inimical to the mind-body-spirit. Developing that wholesome medicine, and the schools to train this new breed of practitioners under an hostile environment being driven towards scientific dictatorship, with new laws enacted almost daily to limit individual freedom of action outside the parameters adjudicated by AMA, NIH, CDC, FDA, NSF, et. al., as “wholesome”, is the daunting challenge. These American bodies have a global reach today through the WHO. The world witnessed this incontrovertible fact yet again during the 2009 Swine Flu Pandemic debacle. All signatories to WHO Conventions are beholden to its diktats by international treaty law.

Next, how to get there – the journey.

Consumers – The Public

Well, don't look to me for heroic solutions. You, as consumers, are equally responsible for what happens to you. The solution is within each one of us – don't look for it coming from some messiah, superhero, or born-again Rockefeller. To even begin to parse reality the way it actually is minimally requires giving up being the innocent baby staring at the fishbowl. One must instead take on the feline's instincts for primacy in order to understand the traps laid by the predator. A good detective is one who can think like the criminal in order to outsmart him. In today's world, the age of universal

What's the truth about modern medicine?

deceit, to learn the truth is a revolutionary act! Take a careful read of [Report on the Mighty Wurlitzer – Architecture of Modern Propaganda for Psychological Warfare](#) to appreciate how our mind is made without our cognizance. That understanding helps parse the “newspeak” and “doublethink” that surrounds us 24x7 a bit more perceptively.

Scientists and medical professionals

Well, do take a careful read of [The Fable of the Bees and the Seduction of Science and Technology](#). Arguably, it is easier to refrain before the fact, rather than after a great deal of life has been invested in the pursuit of the “American Dream”. All one can do ex post facto, after the eyes belatedly open wide, is to lament hypocritically. The Fable of the Bees has some revealing examples of famous ex post facto laments. Here is another [case](#) of belated lament, November 29, 2011: *Amy Goodman interviews Kamran Loghman, inventor of modern pepper spray and developer of police procedures for its use. Loghman regrets his work today, and says it's "fashionable" to use chemical agents on "people who have an opinion".* The uncanny *art imitating life* dialogs in the Hollywood Bourne Series fourth episode “Bourne Legacy” between the two protagonists (see *self-serving innocence* snippet below), ably capture the “naiveté” that infects virtually all scientists and technicians of empire when empire puts science in the service of empire (see [Reflections on Modernity, Climategate, Pandemic, Peer Review, and Science in the Service of Empire](#)). This trend to willfully **“Be innocent of the knowledge”**, as Shakespeare put the words in Macbeth's mouth to lady Macbeth in *The Tragedy of Macbeth* ([Act 3, Scene II, Line 45](#)), can only be preemptively avoided by those wishing to avoid it, by having shrewd wherewithal before the fact, of how the world actually works – an endeavor that is easily co-opted by self-interest (see [The Art and Science of Co-option](#)).

Young men and women choosing the medical profession

Before investing heavily in one's medicine career, one will be wise to think very carefully how one might practice the healing arts predicate **“First, do no harm”**, realizing fully well: 1) how the medical profession actually functions under big-pharma's drugopoly and AMA's monopoly in defining and licensing medical training under its narrow-gauge specialization paradigms that preclude understanding and healing diseases holistically, at the whole body system level, rather than laboring under some idealistic and false delusions of curing cancer; and 2) perceptively seeing the chokehold on modern medicine by the financial oligarchy hell-bent on controlling life and the life-sciences for a scientific dystopia they have imagined in which a handful of controllers rule the world.

To not be trained by default as glorified sales-rep of big-pharma automatically limits the choice of

What's the truth about modern medicine?

residency programs after medical school (to surgery or radiology or pathology for instance). It makes getting the residency of choice far more competitive, and sets the bar very high for the medical professional to broaden her expertise. Seeking training in multiple medical disciplines even today requires bucking the trend, as the medical schools and the AMA licensed medical profession by default only produce narrow-gauge overly-specialized doctors who in the vast majority of cases are effectively trained as the sales-reps for big-pharma.

One can imagine that in less than fifty years, hardware and software expert systems will interface directly with patients and will reduce the need for realtime presence of virtually all types of physicians, eventually reducing the need for even hands-on surgeons as remote triaging and surgery with robotic-assist from remote locations becomes more and more feasible and goes mainstream in high-technology hospitals worldwide. In less than a hundred years, who knows, fully automatic robotic surgery without human intervention as shown in movies today may become as ubiquitous as full body security scanners once only the staple of science fiction movies, are ubiquitous at American and European airports today.

A patient's first line of interaction will increasingly be technicians and nurses and medical assistants (if at all) rather than super-specialized MDs. Robots will take blood samples and hw-sw expert systems will automatically diagnose diseases and make recommendations for treatment protocols, one hopes of course, under the full oversight of panels of human doctors who will better be able to pool their combined expertise for whole body diagnosis and treatment than is done today. In that world, it is hard to imagine the need for too many physicians and surgeons. Automation and advanced technology can only do to the medical profession what it did to industrial manufacturing. The developing nations' medical story may unravel differently however. These countries also have populations of "useless eaters" who are most "inconveniently" sitting on the world's largest natural resources coveted by the developed Western hegemons. "*Hegemony*", after all, as Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski wrote as the opening sentence of his book, *The Grand Chessboard*, "*is as old as mankind.*" History is witness to that truism. And hegemony is not just of international politics and world's resources, but of life itself. That is where lowering carbon emissions and carbon credit (see [Between Global Warming and Global Governance – Concern for Environment is a 'Hegelian Mind Fck'!](#)) is to become the primary lever of social control alongside food and healthcare.

The impetus towards one-world government on earth, the natural culmination of hegemony, may normalize and standardize global healthcare as a socialized cost-effective medicine under complete control of big-pharma and big supra-national legal authority such as WHO, operating under strict scientific advisory from research and regulatory bodies like the CDC and the FDA (whatever their

What's the truth about modern medicine?

new global names may evolve to be as Anglo-European counterparts are merged into supra national agencies), after the “useless eaters” of Asia and Africa, followed by the *white trash* of the West, have been mercilessly culled away. This is not hyperbole. The precedent for it was set by the pious settlement of the American continent where the invading white European settlers created for themselves the lofty republican constitution and the glorified Bill of Rights, deemed inalienable and self-evident, and waived before the world ever since as the preeminent model of “democracy” and Western civilization, after ten million native-American Indians had been remorselessly killed off and the survivors quarantined on far away reservations, while the black imported Negro deemed only two-thirds human and mercilessly domesticated in service of the white man. Repeating that successful prescription for world settlement with the same straight face in future history hardly takes much imagination to comprehend in a social Darwinian world driven by the superman’s “Will to Power” (see Friedrich Nietzsche's [Thus Spake Zarathustra](#)).

In that world of global socialized medicine for the masses, there will be no room for discretion by individual healthcare professionals for using their own judgments in patient care – the universal guidelines and protocols for every modality of illness and well-being to be strictly followed. The doctors today are already trained to operate by the book and in straight-jackets in the HMOs, and under the insurance company guidelines for what is covered and what isn't. I see that trend set by today's HMOs and insurance companies as the successful template of centralized control upon which global healthcare model will evolve. It is the inevitable future of modern medicine under one-world government wherein the medical profession is regulated not just at the service-provider side, but also at the consumer side. Every individual has global identification, is easily located, easily tracked, and easily administered from global databases by expert system protocols accessible anywhere in the world by authority figures chartered to manage world populations. The trend already indicates that global healthcare is to be the first of these global management services alongside global financial, economic, and taxation. It is easy to surmise that in a scientifically controlled dystopia worth its salt, reproduction rights, schooling, career selection, professional training, etc., determined on the utilitarian model of secular humanism and based on geographic needs rather than on individual inclination, can't be all that far behind.

What system is there today to interdict that trend of less and less individual control and more and more government control and regulation? See [Islam vs. Secular Humanism and World Government](#). All religions and cultural traditions have been hijacked most effectively to serve empire. In other words, there isn't an alternative system today to interdict the dystopia of the Western oligarchy, the new rulers of the world, except the process itself failing under its own weight the way of the six hundred year reign of the Roman empire, and the seven hundred year unchallenged reign of the

What's the truth about modern medicine?

successive Muslim empires. Unlike the Roman empire however which arguably crumbled under its own weight, before the British empire finally demolished the last remnant of the Ottoman Muslim empire, the latter had already become scientifically backward and a termite-ridden legacy of several centuries of decay. In the world today under the control and primacy of the sole superpower on earth, the United States of America, herself firmly under the thumbscrews of the global financial oligarchy, there are no visible challengers on the conceivable horizon for this system's takedown. Unless the world government is remarkably still-born, or dies in its infancy, or perishes due to some natural global catastrophe, it would only become moribund due to its own hubris. If one-world government survives its infancy and early growing pains, we are easily looking at a millennium of juvenile rule by the financial oligarchy in a scientific dystopia. The science and technology are available today, as never before, to make that a realistically foreseeable outcome, absent any public resistance.

Somewhere along that dystopic trajectory during one's foreseeable lifetime, and now cured of all altruistic delusions of curing cancer, ask oneself if one really wants to enter the medical profession as a patient care provider or medical scientist? The answer can only be sought by acquiring a shrewd wherewithal of primacy and its global imperatives, and how that exercise extends its tentacles into the medical profession to control life itself. It demands a wherewithal that can navigate that dystopic trajectory in man's best healthcare interests rather than the oligarchy's and the big-pharma's best interests. If this rambling missive tickles that desire for increasing wherewithal and self-understanding of the medical paradigm du jour before stupidly spending a quarter of million dollars in tuition monies to train day and night only to become skilled unquestioning sales-reps of big pharma, it has surely served its purpose. If even one budding medical scientist becomes aware before the fact, of how one's natural aptitude and passion for science (or anything else that is useful for that matter) is diabolically harvested to serve narrow elitist agendas that are almost always thinly disguised in noble causes (such as national security, patriotism, nationalism, curing cancer, etc.), and perceptively avoids the predicament depicted in "The Bourne Legacy" of *art imitating life*, spending the time writing these commonsense reflections on modern medicine would have been worth it.

In the following conversation snippet between the medical Dr. Scientist escaping from her killers and the rogue agent helping her, the dramatization dialogs wrap the key idea highlighted in bold, of *self-serving innocence* of scientists blinded by their own narrow passions happily serving villainy in the name of science, and in the name of helping their country. Avoid being deluded by such *self-serving innocence* into serving narrow agendas of a private cabal before the fact. Ex post facto, if eyes wide open, it is only an empty and hypocritical lament.

What's the truth about modern medicine?

(Backdrop: This five minute conversation in the 2012 movie "The Bourne Legacy", at time 1:10:15 to 1:15:58, transpires when the Rogue Agent (played by Jeremy Renner) undergoing virology experimentation code-named "Outcome" and desperate for more meds, is helping the virology medical Dr. Scientist (played by Rachel Weisz) escape from the intelligence black-ops killers who have come to assassinate her and make it look like suicide. The cabal within the shadow government of the militarized state has decided to shelve its successful virology experiment being conducted on military black-ops recruits to transform ordinary killers into remorseless super assassins; talented killers coerced into the super-secret military program in the name of national security and saving American lives; the "Outcome" assassin research and training program alters the DNA and biochemistry with gene-modifying vaccines that attempt to transform these already psychologically wrecked military soldiers into remorseless super killers, biochemically enhanced to very high level IQ, very high level physical endurance, very high level pain threshold, very high level obedience compliance, and very low to non-existent level EQ (Emotional Quotient). The research based training program is temporarily being put on the back-burner by killing off all the "program participants" as well as the core team of medical scientists who worked on the research and technology of it, while archiving all their science and data for resurrection after the heat is off. A previous super-assassin (not in this episode), another rogue agent named Jason Bourne, had acquired a disturbing conscience and a higher than anticipated residual EQ in the previous three Hollywood episodes of this fable, and made the top-secret super-assassin research training program that he had undergone public. The shadow government now fears full exposure of all its other programs including "Outcome" and wants to limit its losses. The bosses in the back-office think they have killed off all the "program participants", and are surprised that the last remaining medical Dr. scientist escaped so easily from the expert killers sent to "suicide" her. The bosses are left wondering who is helping her escape.)

BEGIN Dialog ("The Bourne Legacy", time 1:10:15 to 1:15:58, [DVD version](#))

Dr. Scientist: Is that your name?

Rogue Agent: James? No. What?

You don't know my name?

Dr. Scientist: (shakes her head)

Rogue Agent: What do you call me?

What do you put on my blood work?

Dr. Scientist: Five.

What's the truth about modern medicine?

Rogue Agent: Five? The number five?

Dr. Scientist: (nods her head)

Rogue Agent: Do you know how many times we've met?

Dr. Scientist: (looks at him wondering)

Rogue Agent: Thirteen.

Thirteen exams over the last four years.

And that's what I get. A number.

Number Five. Okay.

Five of what, then?

How many are we?

Dr. Scientist: Program participants?

Rogue Agent: That's what you call us?

Dr. Scientist: There were nine. Then six.

Rogue Agent: Participants! (shaking his head in bewilderment)

Dr. Scientist: How did you find me?

Rogue Agent: Well, what do you think?

They are going to kill all of us and then just leave you guys alone?

You think your colleague just went crazy?

Is that what you think?

Dr. Scientist: I, I, (stammers) didn't think anything.

Rogue Agent: They wound him up and set him loose (to kill the core team of medical scientists).

Dr. Scientist: I really have no idea what is going on.

Rogue Agent: What's going on is they're shutting the whole thing down.

That's what's going on.

Dr. Scientist: Who is "they"?

I don't know, I don't know

Who were the people at the house?

Rogue Agent: No, no, no, no.

What's the truth about modern medicine?

You had your turn, okay?

You've been bleeding and scoping and scraping me since the day we met.

It's my turn, now.

I'm asking the questions, you got it?

I need program meds, okay?

I need program meds.

Where do you keep the chems?

Dr. Scientist: I don't have any.

I've already told you.

We don't have them.

Rogue Agent: Bullshit.

Dr. Scientist: No, I really don't...

Rogue Agent: Bullshit.

It's bullshit.

Dr. Scientist: I don't have any. (screams back with tears in her eyes)

Rogue Agent: **So, you don't know anything?**

You're just the help?

That's why you have the big house and the security clearance, right?

That's why they're trying so hard to kill you, because you don't know anything.

Dr. Scientist: **I know my job, which is science.**

I don't know what you do when you, when you leave the lab.

None of us do!

Rogue Agent: For four years!

Dr. Scientist: Look, I want to get out!

Would you please stop the car? (hysterical)

Rogue Agent: **You can't be that naive.**

Dr. Scientist: Would you let me out the car. (screaming)

Rogue Agent: **There's no way you're that naive!**

(stops the car)

Rogue Agent: You want out? Get out.

What's the truth about modern medicine?

Go on, get out.

You don't have any chems.

You don't know where they are.

You don't know anything.

That's fine. Just get out.

But you got a plan, right?

Yeah, of course you do.

You're a doctor.

You've got this all worked out, don't you?

What are you going to do?

Huh? What are you going to do?

You can't run, not alone.

You don't know how.

You certainly can't hide.

Not from people like this, with the resources they have.

You won't make it to sundown.

So, what does that leave you?

You can go public, sure.

You can go loud.

Call your sister, because that worked out well for you.

Call some ex-roommate.

Call a guy that knows a guy at the Washington Post.

Put it online.

'Cause you know what?

You blow me off, that's the only play you've got.

But you better ask yourself this.

Could you ever say it loud enough or fast enough that they'd be too afraid to finish what they've started?

Now, I've got a plan, and it's just not that complicated.

What I'm going to do is wait for the next person to show up to kill you.

Maybe they can help me.

So, go for it.

(scene switch and back again)

Dr. Scientist: You have to understand.

All the work at Outcome, all those tests,

What's the truth about modern medicine?

the burn rates,
dosage panels,
tissue stress.

I mean, that is us tuning chemistry.
(with sparkle in her eyes, continues)
We don't fabricate anything.
That happens downstream.

Rogue Agent: Wait. What's that mean, "downstream"?

Dr. Scientist: It means you need live virus to seed adhesion.
Cultures are highly reactive.
You have to process on-site and we would never do it here.

(Why does Baxter and other big-pharma have highly classified viral research center in other countries with weak laws and disposable populations, one wonders!)

Rogue Agent: Okay. On-site, where? Where?

Dr. Scientist: Where do we hold the virus?

Rogue Agent: Yes!

Dr. Scientist: Well, we can't drive there.

Rogue Agent: Where is it?

Dr. Scientist: Manilla.

The Philippines.

(both get out of the car, rogue agent is flustered)

Dr. Scientist: Where do you stand with your dosage?

Rogue Agent: I have 300 milligrams of blues.
It's not even enough for another day.
I haven't had a full green in 51 hours,
which is strange because I don't feel physically degraded.
But we'll see.

Dr. Scientist: Wait, wait, wait.
Did you just say you're still taking greens?

Rogue Agent: What are you talking about?

What's the truth about modern medicine?

Dr. Scientist: You were viraled off physical medication last year.

Rogue Agent: What?

Dr. Scientist: (with a guilty look) Jesus.

They viraled everyone off greens eight months ago.

They infected you with live virus,

which means that, physically, you are stable.

You don't need to take greens.

They locked it in.

Any physical enhancement is now permanent.

Rogue Agent: So, you infected me?

When was this?

What? Is this when I was sick?

The mystery flu?

That was you?

Dr. Scientist: Ah, well, it wasn't me.

I didn't do it.

Rogue Agent: That was you.

That was on purpose, right?

When I almost died.

Dr. Scientist: I'm sorry, but it wasn't me.

Rogue Agent: Why am I still taking green pills, then?

Dr. Scientist: I don't know.

I, I thought you had stopped.

Rogue Agent: Why am I asking you anything?

Or to keep us on a leash, right?

Is that it?

To keep a hold on us?

To keep us dependent?

Who tells you that this is okay?

Dr. Scientist: No one... I do...

Rogue Agent: **Who says it's okay? (angry)**

What's the truth about modern medicine?

Dr. Scientist: **I do research.
I design, I survey.
I don't, I don't administer meds.
I don't make policy! (shouting back)**

Rogue Agent: **No, you just load the gun.**

Dr. Scientist: **Oh, God.
Look, I was there for the science.
We were all there for, for science.
And I know you don't care, but I made a huge sacrifice.
I couldn't publish,
I couldn't conference.
I couldn't tell a single person what it was I did.
But I thought I was, I thought I was helping my country, and I know that's...**

END Dialog

See [The Fable of the Bees and the Seduction of Science and Technology](#) to glean examples of real life scientists lamenting hypocritically after having done their dastardly deeds, to realize that this Hollywoodish dialog is in fact *art imitating life*, a fable, a tale that expounds an idea in story form. Like novelists, poets, littérateurs and philosophers, Hollywood script writers too sometimes depict reality in art form, when they are not staging psychological warfare disguised in mass entertainment that is, and yet most of us come out of the theatre with as much reflection as when one graduates from an American Ivy League. Unless one can comprehend this matter at the very inception of one's journey into professionaldom, before one is too invested in one's career and success, before accolades and applause pile up, one can only arrive at the same destination by traveling the same paths.

Nations

It is a national challenge for every nation to become independent of the WHO in its practice of medicine, and to develop its own traditions and legal bodies in medicine which are not taking their marching orders from the American and the big-pharma controlled global medical establishment. Not an easy thing to accomplish in a world that is already being ruled by fiat, by the draconian imperatives of Global Governance which is gradually eroding away national sovereignty! Only truly independent nations un-beholden to the international bankers and to their instruments of debt-

What's the truth about modern medicine?

enslavement, can even think of standing up to these global forces which surround all individual matters. This means, as one can perceptively observe, in order to effectively reform Western allopathic medicine, a nation first has to neutralize all the forces and power levers, both overt and hidden, that have enabled its draconian embedding with big-pharma.

What are the National Challenges?

Just imagine – what will it take to overturn AMA for instance from private monopoly control beholden to big-pharma and the Rockefeller cabal into public control directly beholden to elected public policy makers and to public demand? An act of United States Congress? What does it take to prevail upon the Congress, and upon the President to not veto its bills? Even the all powerful American public couldn't alter its own ill fated destiny against the grotesque [2008 TARP bailout bill](#) of the bankers which was approved by Congress and signed by the president the same evening! And therein lies the firm connection of all abhorrent constructs in the world today to the most un-natural primordial force before which all earthly powers bow. [Who can ever overturn it?](#) Over a century ago, Woodrow Wilson, America's 28th president (1913 – 1921), made the following observation about the nature of this power which was openly witnessed unvarnished, naked and brute, by all and sundry a hundred years later in the passage of that TARP bailout bill of 2008:

“Since I entered politics, I have chiefly had men's views confided to me privately. Some of the biggest men in the United States, in the field of commerce and manufacture, are afraid of somebody, are afraid of something. They know that there is a power somewhere so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive, that they had better not speak above their breath when they speak in condemnation of it.” — The New Freedom, Woodrow Wilson, 1913, Doubleday, [Chapter I, pgs. 13-14](#)

“This money trust, or, as it should be more properly called, this credit trust, of which Congress has begun an investigation, is no myth; it is no imaginary thing. It is not an ordinary trust like another. It doesn't do business every day. It does business only when there is occasion to do business. You can sometimes do something large when it isn't watching, but when it is watching, you can't do much. And I have seen men squeezed by it; I have seen men who, as they themselves expressed it, were put "out of business by Wall Street," because Wall Street found them inconvenient and didn't want their competition.

What's the truth about modern medicine?

Let me say again that I am not impugning the motives of the men in Wall Street. They may think that that is the best way to create prosperity for the country. When you have got the market in your hand, does honesty oblige you to turn the palm upside down and empty it? If you have got the market in your hand and believe that you understand the interest of the country better than anybody else, is it patriotic to let it go? I can imagine them using this argument to themselves.

The dominating danger in this land is not the existence of great individual combinations,--that is dangerous enough in all conscience,--but the combination of the combinations,--of the railways, the manufacturing enterprises, the great mining projects, the great enterprises for the development of the natural water-powers of the country, threaded together in the personnel of a series of boards of directors into a "community of interest" more formidable than any conceivable single combination that dare appear in the open.

The organization of business has become more centralized, vastly more centralized, than the political organization of the country itself. Corporations have come to cover greater areas than states; have come to live under a greater variety of laws than the citizen himself, have excelled states in their budgets and loomed bigger than whole commonwealths in their influence over the lives and fortunes of entire communities of men. Centralized business has built up vast structures of organization and equipment which overtop all states and seem to have no match or competitor except the federal government itself.

What we have got to do,--and it is a colossal task not to be undertaken with a light head or without judgment,--what we have got to do is to disentangle this colossal "community of interest." No matter how we may purpose dealing with a single combination in restraint of trade, you will agree with me in this, that no single, avowed, combination is big enough for the United States to be afraid of; but when all the combinations are combined and this final combination is not disclosed by any process of incorporation or law, but is merely an identity of personnel, or of interest, then there is something that even the government of the nation itself might come to fear,--something for the law to pull apart, and gently, but firmly and persistently, dissect.

You know that the chemist distinguishes between a chemical combination and an amalgam. A chemical combination has done something which I cannot scientifically

What's the truth about modern medicine?

describe, but its molecules have become intimate with one another and have practically united, whereas an amalgam has a mere physical union created by pressure from without. Now, you can destroy that mere physical contact without hurting the individual elements, and this community of interest is an amalgam; you can break it up without hurting any one of the single interests combined. Not that I am particularly delicate of some of the interests combined,—I am not under bonds to be unduly polite to them,—but I am interested in the business of the country, and believe its integrity depends upon this dissection. **I do not believe any one group of men has vision enough or genius enough to determine what the development of opportunity and the accomplishment by achievement shall be in this country.**

The facts of the situation amount to this: **that a comparatively small number of men control the raw material of this country; that a comparatively small number of men control the water-powers that can be made useful for the economical production of the energy to drive our machinery; that that same number of men largely control the railroads; that by agreements handed around among themselves they control prices, and that that same group of men control the larger credits of the country.**” — ibid. [Chapter VIII, pgs. 185-190](#)

My goodness! And Woodrow Wilson had published all that before he was elected president and before he had signed off on the Federal Reserve Bank Act of the United States on December 23, 1913 (see [Eustace Mullins](#) for the fascinating cloak and dagger shenanigans under which the third central bank of the United States was finally brought about after a hiatus of 70 years under the thin cover of legality). And yet, after becoming president, Woodrow Wilson bowed before the diktats of that same un-natural primordial force like President George W. Bush did a century later in 2008, demonstrating the continuity of the “money trust” as truly “*a power somewhere so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive,*” so enduring with the shelf-life of a giant land tortoise and the tentacles of a giant sea octopus! That exact same power also protects its key construct for controlling human life and all its life-sciences: Western medicine!

Even a century ago that power was already “interlocked”, as president Wilson had described it then. If anything, today it has grown into an octopus encircling and squeezing the entire planet. This predatory system *combine* is now so fully interlinked and interlocked globally, that separation of what is “amalgam” from what is “chemical combination” in Woodrow Wilson’s analogy, is virtually impossible. And this octopus cannot be dismantled or any of its tentacles cut in isolation from the primordial force which protects the whole octopus. An invisible iron wall protects this octopus!

What's the truth about modern medicine?

That un-natural primordial force has a core weakness however. And it has cleverly harnessed its weakness in its own favor. That principal weakness is the power to legislate, which it owns outright today. In theory, that power belongs to the people, not to a tiny cabal of supermen, and it can also be easily taken away, virtually overnight, by brave nations choosing to throw off their yolk of servitude. Putting that lofty theory into practice of course has remained a challenge from time immemorial. A battle that perpetually beckons brave nations against their Darwinian predators. It is always easier to muster courage to fight the foreign enemy at the gates than the one which resides within. Cicero, the Roman philosopher and noted orator, had most eloquently characterized this formidable enemy of the body politic which president Woodrow Wilson evidently had only just enough courage to tepidly call the “money trust” without identifying it further. So full of fear was even the all powerful president of United States that he had refused to call out specific names, let alone charge them for conspiracy from the highest office in the country even as its commander in chief, as if he was totally powerless in power. But these names are no more unknown today than they were a century ago. See [Eustace Mullins, Carroll Quigley, Antony Sutton, et. al. in Some Dare Call It Conspiracy](#); [Eustace Mullins in Secrets of the Federal Reserve – The London Connection](#); [Eustace Mullins in Murder By Injection](#); [Rockefeller Medicine Men – Medicine and Capitalism in America by E. Richard Brown](#); and [Col. Edward Mandell House](#) who acted as the money trust's frontman handler of Woodrow Wilson for the eight bloody years that this mental midget of a co-opted president occupied the White House as the principal asset of the “money trust”.

Under Edward Mandell House's invisible hand (see [House's book](#) in which the role of the invisible hand of the oligarchy controlling the seat of government is justified as a rational principle of enlightened governance by the elite from behind the scenes), president Woodrow Wilson transformed not just the United States of America by obligingly signing the Federal Reserve Bank Act in 1913 during the first year of his first term, but transformed the entire world by taking the United States into World War I in 1917 during the first year of his second term – his ex post facto hypocritical lament: ***“I am a most unhappy man--unwittingly I have ruined my country”***, after leaving the White House notwithstanding. Quoting Cicero on the dangers of the traitor within:

“A nation can survive its fools, and even the ambitious. But it cannot survive treason from within. **An enemy at the gates is less formidable, for he is known and carries his banner openly. But the traitor moves amongst those within the gate freely**, his sly whispers rustling through all the alleys, heard in the very halls of government itself. **For the traitor appears not a traitor; he speaks in accents familiar to his victims, and he wears their face and their arguments, he appeals to the baseness that lies deep in the hearts of all men.** He rots the soul of a

What's the truth about modern medicine?

nation, he works secretly and unknown in the night to undermine the pillars of the city, he infects the body politic so that it can no longer resist. A murderer is less to be feared. **The traitor is the carrier of the plague. You have unbarred the gates of Rome to him.**" (A paraphrase of Cicero from a 1965 essay by Justice Millard Caldwell, via wikipedia)

The grotesque reality is that virtually all nations on earth today are ruled by clones of Woodrow Wilson – when they are not outright fools or mercenaries that is. Either way, all of them are guided, or coerced, controlled one way or another, into enacting laws and policies under the facade of “democracy” that favor the behind the scenes predators. There is evidently a great deal of pernicious power hidden in the pithy saying of Rothschild: **“Give me control of a nation's money supply and I care not who makes its laws”**. That power was exercised through president Woodrow Wilson to create the legal debt extortion racket to bring the world's foremost nation into willing compliance with a mere signature. Today all developed nations as a *combine* of the oligarchy sing “United We Stand” on all core axioms of primacy, differing with each other in only as much as the WWF wrestlers in opposing corners differ with each other when they get together with the promoters off stage to forge out an evening program. The developing nations on the other hand have been principally brought to their knees with the help of the tag-team super-duo of WB-IMF, both debt instruments of the same “money trust”.

These are the forces that brave nations must understand and overrun in order to pragmatically overturn the present medical paradigm forced upon them by WHO and AMA led medical science!

While these challenges appear formidable, a smaller bite may just be to introduce nutrition and botany as mandatory subjects in both pre-med and medical school curriculum. No, not as general subjects as they presently are in many developing nations' medical schools, but related specifically to the biochemistry of the specific diseases and anatomical subsystems studied in the course of one's medical training. The challenge here is that the specific science of relating disease to nutrition and natural remedies found in nature has to be funded and developed.

What I have discovered as an engineer is that once a broad set of fundamentals are learnt correctly, when domain wisdom is learnt through commonsense rather than rote learning, and when experiential training is not subject to the self-serving confirmation biases of the system, it makes it easier to adopt to any paradigm shift. This versatility in technical training is elegantly denied to the modern doctors despite all this hoopla of having studied four extra years in undergraduate education before entering medical school. The biggest problem the medical profession has today is their

What's the truth about modern medicine?

narrow-gauge over specialization that precludes any depth of holistic understanding in what causes diseases and how to reverse them. Adding that new focus on nutrition and botany as necessary adjuncts of causation and reversal of diseases to medical curriculums in individual medical schools does not require treading on any major toes of course. But for it to be effective at the national level does require national level deployment, and that requires cooperation of national bodies that design and administer tests and board exams and regulate medical licensing. Not sure how that cooperation can be enlisted. All of these are private bodies in most nations and remain beholden to big-pharma and the AMA driven allopathic curriculum that entirely precludes all that which is not big-pharma drugs and high-tech surgical interventions from medical respectability!

Ultimately, this modern quackery can only be overcome with medical practitioners themselves realizing it and starting to put commonsense into their medical practice. In this regard the untiring labors of Caldwell B. Esselstyn for reversing coronary heart disease with plant based diet highlighted in this article is illustrative. Dr. Esselstyn is fortunate that the Cleveland Clinic with which he has been affiliated for most of his career, created the Wellness Clinic where the good doctor can counsel his patients in the alternatives. Once again, not sure how one can do that in general as part of an HMO and insurance plans, and under legislative and institutional hospital policy prescriptions that make employment and medical practice contingent on following the AMA paradigm. For instance, not sure which licensed medical doctor has the moral courage to decline administering vaccines when it is the law! And that, as they say, is the bottom line.

The following perceptive passage from Sun Tzu can be the only fitting conclusion at this time (what can I say, never say die until actually dead):

“If you know the enemy and know yourself, you need not fear the result of a hundred battles. If you know yourself but not the enemy, for every victory gained you will also suffer a defeat. If you know neither the enemy nor yourself, you will succumb in every battle.” — Sun Tzu, [The Art of War](#)

Source URL: <https://web.archive.org/web/20190804164653/http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/11/whats-thetruth-about-modern-medicine.html>

What's the truth about modern medicine?

This page is deliberately blank

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

The Plebeian Antidote to Hectoring Hegemons!

Chapter II

Global Warming / Climate Change has Become a New Religion – What's it all About?

November 30, 2016 | Last updated on Wednesday, March 6, 2019 11:00 pm

**“In questions of science, the authority of a thousand is not worth
the humble reasoning of a single individual” --- Galileo Galilei**

Précis

There is an unhidden Agenda behind the crisis of Global Warming: To implement UN Agenda 21 for Sustainable Development Worldwide, as the Solution to this “man-made” crisis! This solution is drastic and inimical to human life. It is formulated by the elite among the elites, who think of mankind as a virus infecting the earth. Is the earth's perceived climate change man-made? Or is it significantly by natural causes beyond the control of man? Should nations of the world urgently accept implementing Agenda 21 due to its saintly wording and pleasing sounding goals under the existential threat of an impending catastrophe that will otherwise leave the earth barren for future generations?

Extended Abstract

The New York Times reported on [Nov. 3, 2017](#): “Directly contradicting much of the Trump administration’s position on climate change, 13 federal agencies unveiled an [exhaustive scientific report](#) on Friday that says humans are the dominant cause of the global temperature rise that has created the warmest period in the history of civilization. ... The report was approved for release by the White House, but the findings come as the Trump administration is defending its climate change policies.”

Exactly nine months earlier, [22 MIT Faculty Members](#) working on Climate had addressed a [letter](#) to President Trump, dated [March 02, 2017](#), pre-justifying that blanket assertion by the 13 federal agencies in their exhaustive scientific report: “It has come to our attention that our colleague Richard Lindzen, Professor Emeritus at MIT, has sent you a [letter](#) urging you to withdraw from the UN climate convention, claiming that actions with respect to global climate are not scientifically justified. As his colleagues at MIT in the Program in Atmospheres, Oceans, and Climate, all of whom are actively involved in understanding climate, we write to make it clear that this is not a view shared by us, or by the overwhelming majority of other scientists who have devoted their professional lives to careful study of climate science. The risks to the Earth system associated with increasing levels of carbon dioxide are almost universally agreed by climate scientists to be real ones. This include, but are not limited to, sea level rise, ocean acidification, and increase in extreme flooding and droughts, all with serious consequences for mankind.”

So, is there, or isn't there, man-made Global Warming? American President Donald Trump's position on virtually every matter including global warming is usually one of strawman --- for the American and international establishment's actual policies are rarely if ever crafted in the White House itself. The fact of the matter is that all establishments and their scientists worldwide tote a common party-line: they religiously claim that Global-Warming / Climate Change is man-made. Their staunchest detractors also religiously claim that there isn't any global warming at all, but are then hard pressed to explain the drastic changes in weather seen occurring worldwide which is now presented by the establishment party-line not as Global Warming as it was a decade ago, but as

planetary level Climate Change. Some other detractors suggest that Climate Change may be real, but that it is principally not due to man's activity; that, planetary level climate change is predominantly a natural cyclic phenomenon based on the sun's activity, and therefore it is likely solar system wide phenomenon. While still others, only a handful, perhaps more in tune with the political vagaries of Machiavellian statecraft and the making of the public mind with Hegelian Dialectic imposed political choices, suggest that the alarmist attitude is to Machiavellianly fashion a boogiemer as the problem pretext, in order to usher in Carbon Credit as the solution. They believe that the real agenda behind these pseudo science laced political shenanigans is to curtail humanity's unbridled growth and population explosion --- the long running real agenda of the oligarchy driving nation-states towards one-world government. This group of detractors fear that the ultimate political agenda of the world government exponents is dystopic eugenics, selective mass population reduction, and the enactment of global laws for controlled living, controlled breeding, wherein, birthing is transformed from the natural right of the human species to a law-sanctioned privilege as has been witnessed in Communist China! They see big government surreptitiously in the making under the United Nations umbrella through its various programs, agendas, working groups, and international bodies, all of which are designed to usher in Global Governance in baby steps. Once one baby step is taken, it is a fait accompli toward the next baby step, until the eventual outcome, which would be unpalatable to virtually everyone if forcibly taken in one giant step, is eagerly accepted by everyone; indeed, demanded by everyone.

This rather well-read intelligent lot of detractors who comprehend social engineering, and who understandably so fear dystopia that they perceptively see its seeds being planted in virtually every global policy that is signed off by the so called representative governments which surreptitiously erode national sovereignty in small baby-steps, are generally dismissed by the establishment and their plethora of experts and academics as “conspiracy theorist”, kooks and nut-jobs. To assist in that labeling and marginalization of truth, a great deal of “*beneficial cognitive diversity*” is also cunningly introduced into the mix of public opinion which pitches outlandish and absurd theories.

All this creates massive confusion in the public mind. Whom to believe? What to


believe? No sensible mind would throw the baby out with the bath water, but who has the time and inclination to sift through this noise from the Mighty Wurlitzer? Is science really divorced from political science? Is pseudo science being used to globally push an elitist agenda down mankind's throat? What a Hegelian Mind-fck!

The public mind, now thoroughly confused by the plethora of “expert” opinions, naturally gravitates towards officially sanctioned authority figures to tell them what is true and what isn't --- and in this way their new political beliefs are cast, and old ones reinforced, and their behavior molded. That officialdom's authority figures have, in this November 2017, released its official bible of sacred truths for the global public at large – for whatever is true for the United States of America with respect to climate change, is obviously also true for the rest of the world as well. The new sacred truths are part of the new religion being fashioned worldwide, that it is humanity that is causing Global Warming / Climate Change, and that the planet must be saved from humanity in order for the planet to be saved for humanity!

This report dismantles that sacred truth as being nothing more than a *Noble Lie* – like the WMDs in Iraq which facilitated its invasion by the United States of America. Once such a step is fait accompli, no power can undo it. No “oops” and “intelligence failure” can put the fired bullet back into the gun. Precisely because of its irreversibility, that the public must first understand and then oppose this sacred truth which makes mankind out as a *virus infecting the earth*. Without first unraveling the overarching political theory upon which the many misanthropic chemotherapy protocols designed to control this human virus proliferation in the guise of benevolence are based, such as the United Nations Agenda 21, the Carbon Credit, the World Wildlife Fund or Federation, the mandatory vaccination programs under the WHO that provide the scientific as well as political mechanism for stealth sterilization, etc., the public as well as their local and national governments are easily fooled into signing off on them. The subsequent generations will end up living in growth chains under a dystopian world social order unless man comes to grips with the multifaceted devils running the world today.

Summary

My shrewd take on this question is that so long as ushering *Carbon Credit* is the principal underlying agenda of Global Governance, so long as global warming menace is the means employed by the United Nations Agenda 21 to forcibly induce changes in attitude and behavior that give preference to the natural processes of “Gaia” over human existence and human experience, so long as political will and its legalisms continue to be enacted on the core premise laid out in the Club of Rome report ***“In searching for a common enemy against whom we can unite, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine and the like, would fit the bill. In their totality and their interactions these phenomena do constitute a common threat which must be confronted by everyone together. ... All these dangers are caused by human intervention in natural processes, and it is only through changed attitudes and behavior that they can be overcome. The real enemy then is humanity itself.”***, the principal focus of the public's attention, as well as the scientists' and all the national and international political pied pipers', should not be on this red herring question of is there or isn't there Global Warming.



Caption Creating Pseudo Science by the Process of Self-fulfilling Prophecy – The naïve and simplistic view of Corruption of Science in the Service of Empire (Image source Richard S. Lindzen, *Science in the Public Square: Global Climate Alarmism and Historical Precedents*, Journal of American Physicians and Surgeons, Volume 18 Number 3 Fall 2013)

Climate change due to sun's activity is a natural and cyclic phenomenon. To overload that phenomenon with the Machiavellian motivation to search ***“for a common enemy against whom we can unite, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine and the like,”*** is a cunning misdirection that is sucking up the productive energies of well-intentioned and concerned people, while enlisting useful idiots at the grass-roots level to the cause of UN Agenda 21.

Global Warming / Climate Change has Become a New Religion – What's it all About?


The common public attention should instead be focused on the Carbon Credit scam and the Global Governance agenda under UN Agenda 21 which is being diabolically legalized using a multiplicity of propaganda covers including the fear of Climate Change in order to lend that exercise “legal” and political legitimacy.

The subversion by misdirection is worldwide --- no statesman who has any standing, is standing up to this Big Lie. This grotesque reality of universal co-option was most perceptively captured in 1970 by a former FBI agent after reading Carroll Quigley's 1966 book *Tragedy & Hope: A History of the World in Our Time*, with these portentous words:

'The real value of *Tragedy and Hope* ... [is the] bold and boastful admission by Dr. Quigley that there actually exists a relatively small but powerful group which has succeeded in acquiring a choke-hold on the affairs of practically the entire human race. Of course we should be quick to recognize that no small group could wield such gigantic power unless millions of people in all walks of life were “in on the take” and were willing to knuckle down to the iron-clad regimentation of the ruthless bosses behind the scenes. **As we shall see, the network has succeeded in building its power structure by using tremendous quantities of money (together with the vast influence it buys) to manipulate, intimidate, or corrupt millions of men and women and their institutions on a world-wide basis.**' (W. Cleon Skousen, *The Naked Capitalist*, pg. 6)

The tortuous reality of global co-option, as stated by the powers that be themselves, is that:


“The few who understand the system will either be so interested in its profits or be so dependent upon its favours that there will be no opposition from that class, while on the other hand, the great body of people, mentally incapable of comprehending [the system], will bear its burdens without complaint, and perhaps without even suspecting that the system is inimical to their interests.”



Caption 'On thin Ice – The hockey stick graph fraud' *Climategate U-turn as scientist at centre of row admits: There has been no global warming since 1995* ---- So, is there, or isn't there, man-made Global Warming? What a Hegelian Mind-fck! (image source The Daily Mail UK, Feb 14, 2010)

Today, in the latter part of the second decade of the twenty-first century, the empirical reality around me just tells me that it is only a matter of time before carbon credit is a done deal, a *fait accompli*.

The red herring question whether or not there is Global Warming or Climate Change due to man's activity would soon become a moot point as global masses come to accept and live in growth chains under the United Nations Agenda 21. Unlike in nuclear fission reaction which requires compression pressure to increase to the point of critical mass to set off the nuclear chain reaction to make a nuclear explosion, mankind does not appear to have such a critical mass of compression. We have demonstrated throughout our short history on earth how much we are able to be oppressed with ease and still get used to it --- mankind's innumerable prophets' lofty platitudes of boldly casting aside the chains of servitude notwithstanding.




Caption Graph Reality Versus Alarm --- Concerned Scientists Reply on Global Warming 'From the graph it appears that the projections exaggerate, substantially, the response of the earth's temperature to CO2 which increased by about 11% from 1989 through 2011. Furthermore, when one examines the historical temperature record throughout the 20th century and into the 21st, the data strongly suggest a much lower CO2 effect than almost all models calculate.' (image source The Wall Street Journal, op-ed February 21, 2012)

This is what the powers that be are banking on --- our infinite capacity to not just voluntarily accept servitude, but under the right set of perception management / psychologically persuasive / pharmacological cocktails, even come to love it.

Aldous Huxley had called this latter control the "ultimate in malevolent revolution". That is the path which has been ordained for humanity by the powers that be and it is not obvious how *hoi polloi* can effectively counter it before it is *fait accompli*. Platitudes abound, including [my own two cents worth](#). These look rather nice on paper, or from pulpits and podiums, even appear self-evident, but, in the history of civilizations that is recorded, has never come to pass en masse. In our modernity which is characterized by universal deceit, when just speaking the plain truth is deemed to be a "revolutionary act", when false hopes and false prophets shepherd the herd to this and that form of "awakening" and run them in circles, the real ray of hope perhaps comes from witnessing the daily courage of resistance of the indigenous common man in occupied places like Palestine and Kashmir.


To percolate that courage upwards, from oppression by visible bayonet that is resisted through the fight or flight natural response, to oppression by the more intangible mind-behavior control methods when one is made to love one's servitude and consequently disarmed of all courage to resist ab initio, is the hard challenge. **A challenge for those few who do understand the system and are neither interested in its profits and nor so dependent upon its favours that it can stop them without killing them.**

Here is an excerpt from statements of dissenting scientists worldwide which puts an immediate end to the Big lie of “consensus”. The latest version of this [2008 excerpted report](#) is the [December 8, 2010 U.S. Senate Minority Report](#) (http://cfact.org/pdf/2010_Senate_Minority_Report.pdf) which updates this 2008 number of More Than 700 (Previously 650), to *More Than 1000 International Scientists Dissent Over Man-Made Global Warming Claims to Debunk Fading “Consensus”*. It begs the question why newsmedia, politicians, scholars, pulpits, governments, just ignore it. The self-evident answer – those who know are already playing in the shell game. And those who don't know? At least some among them refuse to know but think they know enough to write a whole handbook on it: [Unprecedented Climate Mobilization: A Handbook for Citizens and Their Governments](#). The rest --- well, efforts like these is for their sake.



Caption Graph Annual Global Mean Surface Temperature Anomalies “No warming since 1997 and no statistically significant warming since 1995 (the red fuzz represents the error ‘bars’)” (Image source MIT Professor of Atmospheric Sciences, Richard Lindzen, via WUWT, March 11, 2008)

1. “I am a skeptic...Global warming has become a new religion.” - Nobel Prize Winner for Physics, Ivar Giaever.
2. “Since I am no longer affiliated with any organization nor receiving any funding, I can speak quite frankly....As a scientist I remain skeptical...The main basis of the claim that man’s release of greenhouse gases is the cause of the warming is based almost entirely upon climate models. We all know the frailty of models concerning the air-surface system.” - Atmospheric Scientist Dr. Joanne Simpson, the first woman in the world to receive a PhD in meteorology, and formerly of NASA, who has authored more



Caption “In September 2015, the international scientific journal *Nature* published a cartoon showing the temple of “Robust Science” in a state of collapse. What is going on?” -- Dr. Rupert Sheldrake (Image courtesy of *Nature* via Rupert Sheldrake, *The Replicability Crisis in Science*, a brief survey of the epistemology of Science pertaining to replicability failure, September 2015, <http://www.sheldrake.org/about-rupert-sheldrake/blog/the-replicability-crisis-in-science>)

Arguably, what's going on in the most naïve apolitical sense is the merging of epistemological problems naturally arising in applying the *Scientific Method* under the human limitations of **Data Availability Bias** and **Data Assimilability Bias**, with self-interest created by the *publish or perish* culture of modern science that naturally induces **Confirmation Bias** and *moral clarity* (see [https://tinyurl.com/Some-Problems-in-Epistemology#\[7\]](https://tinyurl.com/Some-Problems-in-Epistemology#[7])). In the shrewd Machiavellian sense, it is diabolically engineering consent for an unpalatable political agenda by creating pretexts for it using the gibberish of pseudo science, and disseminating it with the propaganda machinery of the Mighty Wurlitzer (see <https://tinyurl.com/MightyWurlitzer>). See *Bibliography Corruption of Science*, <https://tinyurl.com/Science-in-Service-of-Empire#Bibliography> .

than 190 studies and has been called “among the most preeminent scientists of the last 100 years.”

3. Warming fears are the “worst scientific scandal in the history... When people come to know what the truth is, they will feel deceived by science and scientists.” - UN IPCC Japanese Scientist Dr. Kiminori Itoh, an award-winning PhD environmental physical chemist.
4. “The IPCC has actually become a closed circuit; it doesn’t listen to others. It doesn’t have open minds... I am really amazed that the Nobel Peace Prize has been given on scientifically incorrect conclusions by people who are not geologists.” - Indian geologist Dr. Arun D. Ahluwalia at Punjab University and a board member of the UN-supported International Year of the Planet.
5. “So far, real measurements give no ground for concern about a catastrophic future warming.” - Scientist Dr. Jarl R. Ahlbeck, a chemical engineer at Abo Akademi University in Finland, author of 200 scientific publications and former Greenpeace member.
6. “Anyone who claims that the debate is over and the conclusions are firm has a

Technetronic Weather Modification (The Shell Game of Science)

Today, based on the understanding from the rich bibliography on this subject, it also does not take a rocket scientist to see that the climate change menace potentially has many other covert man-made technetronic helpers besides the sun's natural activity, neither of which is accounted for in the propaganda spiel of man-made carbon emissions causing Global Warming in what appears to be a universal conspiracy of dunces that sees no evil, hears no evil, and speaks no evil.

Whenever the great political and intellectual leaders of mankind get together to sign global Accords on Climate Change, only man-made CO2 is put on the table and its rectification is signed off as the “green” solution. That's because the stealth agenda is to **force a global transformation using any pretext, be it wholly propagandistic, or manufactured technetronically**, to lend credibility to the propaganda campaign. As the Report from Iron Mountain had perceptively suggested: **“Credibility, in fact, lies at the heart of the problem”** for any mind-game to succeed.

fundamentally unscientific approach to one of the most momentous issues of our time.” - Solar physicist Dr. Pal Brekke, senior advisor to the Norwegian Space Centre in Oslo. Brekke has published more than 40 peer-reviewed scientific articles on the sun and solar interaction with the Earth.

7. “The models and forecasts of the UN IPCC “are incorrect because they only are based on mathematical models and presented results at scenarios that do not include, for example, solar activity.” - Victor Manuel Velasco Herrera, a researcher at the Institute of Geophysics of the National Autonomous University of Mexico
8. “It is a blatant lie put forth in the media that makes it seem there is only a fringe of scientists who don’t buy into anthropogenic global warming.” - U.S Government Atmospheric Scientist Stanley B. Goldenberg of the Hurricane Research Division of NOAA.
9. “Even doubling or tripling the amount of carbon dioxide will virtually have little impact, as water vapour and water condensed on particles as clouds dominate the worldwide scene and always will.” – . Geoffrey G. Duffy, a professor in the Department of Chemical and Materials Engineering of the University of Auckland, NZ.

Technetronic Weather Modification (The Shell Game of Science contd.)

Unlike the sex prostitutes in every major city on earth who earn their honest keep selling their bodies without deceiving their customers about the nature of their services, the prostitutes of empire do so by wearing the garb of academic respectability, morality, piety, and concern for humanity, outright deceiving their customers. Jesus had just one word for such “moralists”. The Bible calls them hypocrites. The problem however is far more severe than mere hypocrisy. It is criminal. A war crime. For it is the ubiquitous war on the public mind which can only be waged credibly by way of deception.

The wolf must appear in sheep's clothing in order to guide its flock first to the constricted hen house and then to the slaughter house. And it must also convince those most in the position to understand its overarching game-plan, to pretend to not notice it.

It's a shell game from top to bottom and permeates science as much as it permeates political science.

10. "After reading [UN IPCC chairman] Pachauri's asinine comment [comparing skeptics to] Flat Earthers, it's hard to remain quiet." - Climate statistician Dr. William M. Briggs, who specializes in the statistics of forecast evaluation, serves on the American Meteorological Society's Probability and Statistics Committee and is an Associate Editor of Monthly Weather Review.
11. "The Kyoto theorists have put the cart before the horse. It is global warming that triggers higher levels of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere, not the other way round...A large number of critical documents submitted at the 1995 U.N. conference in Madrid vanished without a trace. As a result, the discussion was one-sided and heavily biased, and the U.N. declared global warming to be a scientific fact," Andrei Kapitsa, a Russian geographer and Antarctic ice core researcher.
12. "I am convinced that the current alarm over carbon dioxide is mistaken...Fears about man-made global warming are unwarranted and are not based on good science." - Award Winning Physicist Dr. Will Happer, Professor at the Department of Physics at Princeton University and Former Director of Energy Research at the Department of Energy, who has published over 200 scientific papers, and is a fellow of the American Physical Society, The American Association for the Advancement of Science, and the National Academy of Sciences.
13. "Nature's regulatory instrument is water vapor: more carbon dioxide leads to less moisture in the air, keeping the overall GHG content in accord with the necessary balance conditions." – Prominent Hungarian Physicist and environmental researcher Dr. Miklós Zágoni reversed his view of man-made warming and is now a skeptic. Zágoni was once Hungary's most outspoken supporter of the Kyoto Protocol.
14. "For how many years must the planet cool before we begin to understand that the planet is not warming? For how many years must cooling go on?" - Geologist Dr. David Gee the chairman of the science committee of the 2008 International Geological Congress who has authored 130 plus peer reviewed papers, and is currently at Uppsala University in Sweden.
15. "Gore prompted me to start delving into the science again and I quickly found myself solidly in the skeptic camp...Climate models can at best be useful for explaining climate changes after the fact." - Meteorologist Hajo Smit of Holland, who reversed his belief in man-made warming to become a skeptic, is a former member of the Dutch

UN IPCC committee.

16. "The quantity of CO₂ we produce is insignificant in terms of the natural circulation between air, water and soil... I am doing a detailed assessment of the UN IPCC reports and the Summaries for Policy Makers, identifying the way in which the Summaries have distorted the science." - South African Nuclear Physicist and Chemical Engineer Dr. Philip Lloyd, a UN IPCC co-coordinating lead author who has authored over 150 refereed publications.
17. "Many [scientists] are now searching for a way to back out quietly (from promoting warming fears), without having their professional careers ruined." - Atmospheric physicist James A. Peden, formerly of the Space Research and Coordination Center in Pittsburgh.
18. "All those urging action to curb global warming need to take off the blinkers and give some thought to what we should do if we are facing global cooling instead." - Geophysicist Dr. Phil Chapman, an aeronautical engineer and former NASA astronaut, served as staff physicist at MIT (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)
19. "Creating an ideology pegged to carbon dioxide is a dangerous nonsense... The present alarm on climate change is an instrument of social control, a pretext for major businesses and political battle. It became an ideology, which is concerning." - Environmental Scientist Professor Delgado Domingos of Portugal, the founder of the Numerical Weather Forecast group, has more than 150 published articles.
20. "CO₂ emissions make absolutely no difference one way or another... Every scientist knows this, but it doesn't pay to say so... Global warming, as a political vehicle, keeps Europeans in the driver's seat and developing nations walking barefoot." - Dr. Takeda Kunihiko, vice-chancellor of the Institute of Science and Technology Research at Chubu University in Japan.
21. "The [global warming] scaremongering has its justification in the fact that it is something that generates funds." - Award-winning Paleontologist Dr. Eduardo Tonni, of the Committee for Scientific Research in Buenos Aires and head of the Paleontology Department at the University of La Plata.
22. "Whatever the weather, it's not being caused by global warming. If anything, the

climate may be starting into a cooling period.” Atmospheric scientist Dr. Art V. Douglas, former Chair of the Atmospheric Sciences Department at Creighton University in Omaha, Nebraska, and is the author of numerous papers for peer-reviewed publications.

23. “But there is no falsifiable scientific basis whatever to assert this warming is caused by human-produced greenhouse gasses because current physical theory is too grossly inadequate to establish any cause at all.” - Chemist Dr. Patrick Frank, who has authored more than 50 peer-reviewed articles.

24. “The ‘global warming scare’ is being used as a political tool to increase government control over American lives, incomes and decision making. It has no place in the Society's activities.” - Award-Winning NASA Astronaut/Geologist and Moonwalker Jack Schmitt who flew on the Apollo 17 mission and formerly of the Norwegian Geological Survey and for the U.S. Geological Survey.

25. “Earth has cooled since 1998 in defiance of the predictions by the UN-IPCC....The global temperature for 2007 was the coldest in a decade and the coldest of the millennium...which is why ‘global warming’ is now called ‘climate change.’” - Climatologist Dr. Richard Keen of the Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences at the University of Colorado.

26. “I have yet to see credible proof of carbon dioxide driving climate change, yet alone man-made CO₂ driving it. The atmospheric hot-spot is missing and the ice core data refute this. When will we collectively awake from this deceptive delusion?” - Dr. G LeBlanc Smith, a retired Principal Research Scientist with Australia's CSIRO (The full quotes of the scientists are later in this report)

Backdrop


There is an overarching social-political theory behind the propaganda of Global Warming / Climate Change. And that is the construction of one-world government. That entails, inter alia, how mankind should be organized, governed, their attitudes and behavior changed, in a massive reboot of all civilizations into a standardized civilization with standardized new religion, new habits, new lifestyles, with much of the planet earth off limits to the vast masses of humanity in the name of preserving

nature, wildlife, environment, Gaia (mother earth), for future generations. Individual rights to be subsumed under community rights. Private property rights abolished for the public (not for the elite who hold property under corporations, tax-exempt foundations, non-governmental organizations called NGOs, etc.) Such a massive overhaul of mankind, a global transformation, cannot be brought about by peoples and nations simply agreeing to giving up their rights and national sovereignty. So it is to be accomplished by stealth, in baby-steps, disguised firstly in nice-sounding mantras easily palatable to the public mind. One of those nice sounding things is the “green” agenda called “Sustainable Development”. Secondly, the stealth is disguised as solutions to crises and menaces that people and governments would easily accept under conditions of psychological fear and physical terror. One of those menaces is Global Warming / Climate Change and its solution is Carbon Credit and Agenda 21. Similarly, another menace is terrorism, and its solution is global war on terror that enables instituting global standardized police-state polices. There are many more aspects to this and covers the whole gamut of human activity.

The Council on Foreign Relations

To understand the overarching big picture and why these methods are actually masks for a Machiavellian game plan, let's begin with the CFR, Council on Foreign Relations:

Galileo on Science



When I had entered MIT to study science and engineering in the 1970s as any excited kid in a candy story, little did I understand that like political science and religion, science and pseudo science also interplay in the service of empire. The following is my contribution to speaking up following in the footsteps of the first patron saint of modern science to have demonstrated the courage of his convictions at the risk of the gallows, Galileo. *Just look through the telescope*, he hath pleaded before the church of his time, countering their divine authority with uncommon boldness: **“In questions of science, the authority of a thousand is not worth the humble reasoning of a single individual”**. Except that today it is the church of science that has taken over from the Church of Christendom. The risks today are also substantially the same. Only the labels might be different. Among the tens of thousands of scientists and engineers worldwide, there are evidently too few Galileos alive to make any impact on the new Church of Science that has taken hold in the twenty-first century.

“In short, the ‘house of world order’ will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.

Of course, for political as well as administrative reasons, some of these specialized arrangements should be brought into an appropriate relationship with the central institutions of the U.N. system, but the main thing is that the essential functions be performed.

The question is whether this more modest approach can do the job. Can it really bring mankind into the twenty-first century with reasonable prospects for peace, welfare and human dignity? The argument thus far suggests it better had, for there seems to be no alternative. But the evidence also suggests some grounds for cautious optimism.” --- Richard N. Gardner, *The Hard Road to World Order*, 1974, published in CFR's Foreign Affairs, <http://thepowerhour.com/articles/HardRoadtoWorldOrder.pdf>

So let's observe **the bottom up** methods applied to build the **‘house of world order’ to bring mankind into the twenty-first century with reasonable prospects for peace, welfare and human dignity.**

Report from Iron Mountain 1967

The environmental menace, and specifically climate change and pollution, is mentioned in this 1967 [Report from Iron Mountain](#) published by The Dial Press, as the alternate means of corralling human behavior based on fear in the absence of the menace of war corralling mankind. The report stated:

“The existence of an accepted external menace, then, is essential to social cohesiveness as well as to the acceptance of political authority. The menace must be believable, it must be of a magnitude consistent with the complexity of the society threatened, and it must appear, at least, to affect the entire society.”

If the overarching agenda is to form a one-world government, even if just for a moment the skeptic may entertain that hypothesis which is being openly pursued by the CFR (and of course the United

States influential newspaper [The Financial Times](#), read by virtually every business executive on earth worth his weight in gold, also explicitly laid the method out in [December 2008](#)), then, what better global menace than the environment which threatens the whole world! It is easily made **believable**, and especially if the ecological menace can be coincided with natural ecological / climate cycles due to sun's activity, and the requisite political as well as cultural propaganda employing credible "experts" is brought to bear on the principal cause being man-made. **After *fait accompli*, it would be a moot point whether the menace was politically invented, or natural or man-made.**

This is what the shockingly uncanny report, commissioned in 1961-62 at the height of the Cold War in the aftermath of the Cuban missile crisis when it was already being anticipated by the powers that be that the Cold War would soon end with nary a new enemy in sight, stated in its Section 6, Substitutes for the Function of War, for new Political alternatives to war. The reader should be mindful that this study is well over a half century old. Whether real or fictionalized political treatise, it is uncannily in the footprints of Machiavelli's *The Prince*, the diabolical political blueprint for political authority to engineer consent from the public mind for their own behavior control by politically structured means:

"Nevertheless, an effective political substitute for war would require "alternate enemies," some of which might seem equally farfetched in the context of the current war system. It may be, for instance, that gross pollution of the environment can eventually replace the possibility of mass destruction by nuclear weapons as the principal apparent threat to the survival of the species. Poisoning of the air, and of the principal sources of food and water supply, is already well advanced, and at first glance would seem promising in this respect; it constitutes a threat that can be dealt with only through social organization and political power. But from present indications it will be a generation to a generation and a half before environmental pollution, however severe, will be sufficiently menacing, on a global scale, to offer a possible basis for a solution."

Note the *coincidence* of that last sentence with the emergence of the Global Warming mantra which was kicked off first in the intellectual space by the private elitist Club of Rome publishing their report in a book titled: *The First Global Revolution* in 1991; followed by major league governmental participation of virtually all nations of the world in what is called the United Nations Earth Summit in 1992; followed by vicariously kicking off public alarm in the mainstream by former vice president Al Gore in the 2000s making his Global Warming documentary. It was watched by millions in the

mainstream worldwide and brought the public's fears on board the same page. Note that all these fall on precisely that timeline, ***“generation to a generation and a half before environmental pollution, however severe, will be sufficiently menacing, on a global scale, to offer a possible basis for a solution.”*** Newspapers, books, television shows, Hollywood movies, have continued to echo that menace in different flavors.

The entire diabolical subsection titled POLITICAL and a few shocking passages each from subsections titled SOCIOLOGICAL and ECOLOGICAL, of Section 6 of Report from Iron Mountain are reproduced below to lend full overarching context under which the latter day Global Warming / Climate Change menace, as well as many other menaces for behavior and population control which should easily be familiar today, are *potentially* being engineered to make the public mind. It is once again for the reader to make up his or her own damn mind whether the adverb “potentially” in that last sentence should be “actually”, or some other. Don't bow to any authority figures --- if you can help it. It is well for the reader to also remember what the Report on Iron Mountain itself stated at the very outset about the lay public mind ***“unexposed to the exigencies of higher political or military responsibility”*** not having the intellectual and moral capacity to appreciate this report (and this actually works to your advantage if you are not a sociopath and become filled with disgust reading it for it helps undo their propaganda system):

“Because of the unusual circumstances surrounding the establishment of this Group, and in view of the nature of its findings, we do not recommend that this Report be released for publication. It is our affirmative judgment that such action would not be in the public interest. The uncertain advantages of public discussion of our conclusions and recommendations are, in our opinion, greatly outweighed by the clear and predictable danger of a crisis in public confidence which untimely publication of this Report might be expected to provoke. The likelihood that a lay reader, unexposed to the exigencies of higher political or military responsibility, will misconstrue the purpose of this project, and the intent of its participants, seems obvious. We urge that circulation of this Report be closely restricted to those whose responsibilities require that they be apprised of its contents.”

Begin Excerpt From Section 6, [Report from Iron Mountain](#)

POLITICAL

The war system makes the stable government of societies possible. It does this essentially by providing an external necessity for a society to accept political rule. In so doing, it establishes the basis for nationhood and the authority of government to control its constituents. What other institution or combination of programs might serve these functions in its place?

We have already pointed out that the end of the war means the end of national sovereignty, and thus the end of nationhood as we know it today. But this does not necessarily mean the end of nations in the administrative sense, and internal political power will remain essential to a stable society. The emerging "nations" of the peace epoch must continue to draw political authority from some source.

A number of proposals have been made governing the relations between nations after total disarmament; all are basically juridical in nature. They contemplate institutions more or less like a World Court, or a United Nations, but vested with real authority. They may or may not serve their ostensible post-military purpose of settling international disputes, but we need not discuss that here. None would offer effective external pressure on a peace-world nation to organize itself politically.

It might be argued that a well-armed international police force, operating under the authority of such a supranational "court," could well serve the function of external enemy. This, however, would constitute a military operation, like the inspection schemes mentioned, and, like them, would be inconsistent with the premise of an end to the war system. It is possible that a variant of the "Unarmed Forces" idea might be developed in such a way that its "constructive" (i.e., social welfare) activities could be combined with an economic "threat" of sufficient size and credibility to warrant political organization. Would this kind of threat also be contradictory to our basic premise?--that is, would it be inevitably military? Not necessarily, in our view, but we are skeptical of its capacity to evoke credibility. Also, the obvious destabilizing effect of any global social welfare surrogate on politically necessary class relationships would create an entirely new set of transition problems at least equal in magnitude.

Credibility, in fact, lies at the heart of the problem of developing a political substitute for war. This is where the space-race proposals, in many ways so well suited as economic substitutes for war, fall short. The most ambitious and unrealistic space project cannot of itself generate a believable external menace. It has been hotly argued that such a menace

would offer the "last, best hope of peace," etc., by uniting mankind against the danger of destruction by "creatures" from other planets or from outer space. Experiments have been proposed to test the credibility of an out-of-our-world invasion threat; it is possible that a few of the more difficult-to-explain "flying saucer" incidents of recent years were in fact early experiments of this kind. If so, they could hardly have been judged encouraging. We anticipate no difficulties in making a "need" for a giant super space program credible for economic purposes, even were there not ample precedent; extending it, for political purposes, to include features unfortunately associated with science fiction would obviously be a more dubious undertaking.

Nevertheless, an effective political substitute for war would require "alternate enemies," some of which might seem equally farfetched in the context of the current war system. It may be, for instance, that gross pollution of the environment can eventually replace the possibility of mass destruction by nuclear weapons as the principal apparent threat to the survival of the species. Poisoning of the air, and of the principal sources of food and water supply, is already well advanced, and at first glance would seem promising in this respect; it constitutes a threat that can be dealt with only through social organization and political power. But from present indications it will be a generation to a generation and a half before environmental pollution, however severe, will be sufficiently menacing, on a global scale, to offer a possible basis for a solution.

It is true that the rate of pollution could be increased selectively for this purpose; in fact, the mere modifying of existing programs for the deterrence of pollution could speed up the process enough to make the threat credible much sooner. But the pollution problem has been so widely publicized in recent years that it seems highly improbable that a program of deliberate environmental poisoning could be implemented in a politically acceptable manner.

However unlikely some of the possible alternate enemies we have mentioned may seem, we must emphasize that one must be found, of credible quality and magnitude, if a transition to peace is ever to come about without social disintegration. It is more probably, in our judgement, that such a threat will have to be invented, rather than developed from unknown conditions. For this reason, we believe further speculation about its putative nature ill-advised in this context. Since there is considerable doubt, in our minds, that any viable political surrogate can be devised, **we are reluctant to compromise, by**

premature discussion, any possible option that may eventually lie open to our government.

SOCIOLOGICAL

Another possible surrogate for the control of potential enemies of society is the reintroduction, in some form consistent with modern technology and political processes, of slavery. Up to now, this has been suggested only in fiction, notably in the works of Wells, Huxley, Orwell, and others engaged in the imaginative anticipation of the sociology of the future. But the fantasies projected in *Brave New World* and *1984* have seemed less and less implausible over the years since their publication. **The traditional association of slavery with ancient preindustrial cultures should not blind us to its adaptability to advanced forms of social organization, nor should its equally traditional incompatibility with Western moral and economic values. It is entirely possible that the development of a sophisticated form of slavery may be an absolute prerequisite for social control in a world at peace.** As a practical matter, conversion of the code of military discipline to a euphemized form of enslavement would entail surprisingly little revision; the logical first step would be the adoption of some form of "universal" military service.

When it comes to postulating a credible substitute for war capable of directing human behavior patterns in behalf of social organization, few options suggest themselves. Like its political function, the motivational function of war requires the existence of a genuinely menacing social enemy. The principal difference is that for purposes of motivating basic allegiance, as distinct from accepting political authority, the "alternate enemy" must imply a more immediate, tangible, and directly felt threat of destruction. It must justify the need for taking and paying a "blood price" in wide areas of human concern.

In this respect, the possible enemies noted earlier would be insufficient. One exception might be the environmental-pollution model, if the danger to society it posed was genuinely imminent. The fictive models would have to carry the weight of extraordinary conviction, underscored with a not inconsiderable actual sacrifice of life; the construction of an up-to-date mythological or religious structure for this purpose would present difficulties in our era, but must certainly be considered.

Games theorists have suggested, in other contexts, the development of "blood games" for

the effective control of individual aggressive impulses. It is an ironic commentary on the current state of war and peace studies that it was left not to scientists but to the makers of a commercial film to develop a model for this notion, on the implausible level of popular melodrama, as a ritualized manhunt. **More realistically, such a ritual might be socialized, in the manner of the Spanish Inquisition and the less formal witch trials of other periods, for purposes of "social purification," "state security," or other rationale both acceptable and credible to postwar societies.** The feasibility of such an updated version of still another ancient institution, though doubtful, is considerably less fanciful than the wishful notion of many peace planners that a lasting condition of peace can be brought about without the most painstaking examination of every possible surrogate for the essential functions of war. **What is involved here, in a sense, is the quest for William James' "moral equivalent of war."**

It is also possible that the two functions considered under this heading may be jointly served, in the sense of establishing the antisocial, for whom a control institution is needed, as the "alternate enemy" needed to hold society together. The relentless and irreversible advance of unemployability at all levels of society, and the similar extension of generalized alienation from accepted values may make some such program necessary even as an adjunct to the war system. **As before, we will not speculate on the specific forms this kind of program might take, except to note that there is again ample precedent, in the treatment meted out to disfavored, allegedly menacing, ethnic groups in certain societies during certain historical periods.**

ECOLOGICAL

Considering the shortcomings of war as a mechanism of selective population control, it might appear that devising substitutes for this function should be comparatively simple. Schematically this is so, but the problem of timing the transition to a new ecological balancing device makes the feasibility of substitution less certain. ...

There is no question but that a universal requirement that procreation be limited to the products of artificial insemination would provide a fully adequate substitute control for population levels. Such a reproductive system would, of course, have the added advantage of being susceptible of direct eugenic management. Its predictable further development---conception and embryonic growth taking place wholly under laboratory conditions--would extend these controls to their logical conclusion. The ecological function of

war under these circumstances would not only be superseded but surpassed in effectiveness.

The indicated intermediate step--total control of conception with a variant of the ubiquitous "pill," via water supplies or certain essential foodstuffs, offset by a controlled "antidote"---is already under development. There would appear to be no foreseeable need to revert to any of the outmoded practices referred to in the previous section (infanticide, etc.) as there might have been if the possibility of transition to peace had arisen two generations ago.

End Excerpt

Report by the Council of the Club of Rome - The First Global Revolution 1991

Directly upon the heels of the Machiavellian prescriptions laid out in the Report from Iron Mountain, came the infamous Club of Rome's actual recipe to propagandize the ecological global threat. In its carefully worded report on environment and habitat of Man on earth titled: The First Global Revolution, 1991, the Club of Rome authors, Alexander King and Bertrand Schneider, made global warming and climate change the new hard menace to corral mankind towards the behavior and attitude change desired by the powers that be:

“The Common enemy of humanity is Man: In searching for a common enemy against whom we can unite, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine and the like, would fit the bill. In their totality and their interactions these phenomena do constitute a common threat which must be confronted by everyone together. But in designating these dangers as the enemy, we fall into the trap, which we have already warned readers about, namely mistaking symptoms for causes. All these dangers are caused by human intervention in natural processes, and it is only through changed attitudes and behavior that they can be overcome. The real enemy then is humanity itself.” --- Ch 5, The Vacuum, pg 75 (pg 86 / 184 in PDF: <https://archive.org/stream/TheFirstGlobalRevolution#page/n85/mode/2up> .

The United Nations Agenda 21 and Social Engineering Play Book 1992

Immediately upon the heels of the Club of Rome report, came the United Nations Agenda 21 in 1992. In the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED), also known as the Rio de Janeiro Earth Summit, held in Brazil in June 1992, virtually all member countries of the UN signed on to this Agenda 21, including the United States of America. Its prime-mover was the 1991 Club of Rome recommendations that had identified all the menaces facing humanity including humanity itself, rectification of all of which suddenly became internationally endorsed global policies known as United Nations Agenda 21. It is an actual play by play rule book for attitude and behavior modification in a massive global *reset and reboot* that covers the gamut of present day organizational combines from international to national to districts to cities to local to neighborhood and community levels.

The United Nations Agenda 21 is intended to force mankind to make broad changes in its attitudes, behaviors, and lifestyles in accordance with the wishes of the powers that be. The macro social change is to be wrought, and is being brought about, in baby steps, legally, just as in the Global Warming / Climate Change scam, with the blunt force of *hammer unto anvil* by international treaties, global laws, and local statutes. And just as for international agreement on limiting carbon emissions under the magical “climate change” propaganda cover, United Nations Agenda 21 is cloaked in the magical propaganda word “sustainable”, as in Sustainable Development, in order to make it appear to be in the public's own best interest.

Only nutters, fools, crazies and evil-doers would ever argue with “Sustainable Development”! And therefore, any dissent and public resistance to draconian and austerity measures in the name of “sustainable” is easily managed and dispensed with by labels such as kooks, crazies, mentally ill, and when all else fails, eco-terrorists with invitation to enjoy *state hospitality centers*.

The motivation for the scientific sounding mechanics of Global Warming / Climate Change “**Problem**” or dogma employing [crafty pseudo science](#) (see: *Crafting Pseudo Science Out Of Thin Air: The East Anglia Emails*, in sidebar), under the stewardship of UN international body called The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (UN IPCC), established by the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) and the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) in 1988 to “*provide the world with a clear scientific view on the current state of knowledge in climate change and its potential environmental and socio-economic impacts*”, and subsequently endorsed by the UN General Assembly to make it legal, cannot be fully appreciated in isolation to the profound justification that it lends to its big brother “**Solution**” umbrella, the United Nations Agenda 21.

Crafting Pseudo Science Out Of Thin Air: The East Anglia Emails

[1212063122](#)

Mike,

Can you delete any emails you may have had with Keith re (IPCC)? Keith will do likewise. Can you also email Gene and get him to do the same?

[1228330629](#)

When the FOI requests began here, the FOI person said we had to abide by the requests. It took a couple of half hour sessions – one at a screen, to convince them otherwise...

[1228330629](#)

I've got to know the FOI person quite well and the Chief Librarian – who deals with appeals. The VC is also aware of what is going on

[1228330629](#)

At present, I'm damned and publicly vilified because I refused to provide McIntyre with the data he requested.

[1228330629](#)

had I acceded to McIntyre's initial request for climate model data, ...I would have spent years of my scientific career dealing with demands for further explanations

[1252164302](#)

Please write all emails as though they will be made public.

[1210367056](#)

Keep this quiet also, but this is the person who is putting in FOI requests for all emails Keith and Tim have written ... We think we've found a way around this.

[1107454306](#)

If they ever hear there is a Freedom of Information Act now in the UK, I think I'll delete the file rather than send to anyone.

The broader picture of full spectrum global control of humanity and the planet easily emerges once Global Warming / Climate Change is seen as just one among the many enabling threat components of the overall perception control system, the "Problem" component. Virtually all of these "Problem" components are based on this and that threat, some real, some imagined, some fabricated to look real, but in every case exaggerated with propaganda machinery of the [Mighty Wurlitzer](#), to lend justification to the madness of the "Solution" proposed for solving it. In this case, the singular pursuit

of “green” under United Nations Agenda 21 which, in itself, is also just one among the many “Solution” means being pursued to complete the transformation of national sovereignty of nation states to Global Governance under one world government.

[The East Anglia Emails \(contd. 2\)](#)

[1107454306](#)

And don't leave stuff lying around on ftp sites – you never know who is trawling them.

[1109021312](#)

I'm getting hassled by a couple of people to release the CRU station temperature data. Don't any of you three tell anybody that the UK has a Freedom of Information Act !

IPCC

[1177890796](#)

I tried hard to balance the needs of the science and the IPCC , which were not always the same.

[1256735067](#)

As we all know, this isn't about truth at all, its about plausibly deniable accusations,

PEERS

[1089318616](#)

I can't see either of these papers being in the next IPCC report. Kevin and I will keep them out somehow – even if we have to redefine what the peer-review literature is!

[1237496573](#)

I'm having a dispute with the new editor of Weather. I've complained about him to the RMS Chief Exec. If I don't get him to back down, I won't be sending any more papers to any RMS journals and I'll be resigning from the RMS.

[1047388489](#)

I think we have to stop considering “Climate Research” as a legitimate peer-reviewed journal. Perhaps we should encourage our colleagues in the climate research community to no longer submit to, or cite papers in, this journal.

The powers that be pushing for Global Governance have employed several programs under the central institutions of the United Nations to accomplish this global transformation – just as the CFR author had openly stated in The Hard Road to World Order, 1974: ***“In short, the ‘house of world order’ will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but***

an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault. Of course, for political as well as administrative reasons, some of these specialized arrangements should be brought into an appropriate relationship with the central institutions of the U.N. system,”.

[The East Anglia Emails \(contd. 3\)](#)

[1047388489](#)

I will be emailing the journal to tell them I'm having nothing more to do with it until they rid themselves of this troublesome editor.

[1051190249](#)

One approach is to go direct to the publishers and point out the fact that their journal is perceived as being a medium for disseminating misinformation under the guise of refereed work.

[1051190249](#)

I use the word 'perceived' here, since whether it is true or not is not what the publishers care about — it is how the journal is seen by the community that counts.

[1106322460](#)

If you think that Saiers is in the greenhouse skeptics camp, then, if we can find documentary evidence of this, we could go through official AGU channels to get him ousted.

HIDE THE DECLINE

[1054736277](#)

it would be nice to try to “contain” the putative “MWP”, even if we don't yet have a hemispheric mean reconstruction available that far back...

[1256747199](#)

Keith succeeding in being very restrained in his response. McIntyre knew what he was doing when he replaced some of the trees with those from another site.

[843161829](#)

I swear I pulled every trick out of my sleeve trying to milk something out of that.

I don't think it'd be productive to try and juggle the chronology statistics any more than I already have

United Nations WHO institution for instance is legally chartered by international treaty to globally control and direct each nation's domestic response to WHO's unilateral declaration of Pandemic.

Individual nation's right to adjudicate on the matter through one's own scientific minds, and to apply one's own national remedies, have been stripped away for all nations who have signed on to the WHO Convention. That is virtually all nations of the world; just like in the case of Agenda 21.

The East Anglia Emails (contd. 4)

[1255352257](#)

The fact is that we can't account for the lack of warming at the moment and it is a travesty that we can't. The CERES data published in the August BAMS 09 supplement on 2008 shows there should be even more warming: but the data are surely wrong. Our observing system is inadequate.

[942777075](#)

I've just completed Mike's Nature trick of adding in the real temps to each series for the last 20 years (ie from 1981 onwards) and from 1961 for Keith's to hide the decline.

[1257546975](#)

Land warming since 1980 has been twice the ocean warming — and skeptics might claim that this proves that urban warming is real and important.

[826209667](#)

Also, it is important for us if you can transfer the ADVANCE money on the personal accounts which ... will not be more than 10,000 USD. Only in this case we can avoid big taxes and use money for our work as much as possible.

[1252164302](#)

We cherry-picked the tree-ring series in Eurasia.

[938018124](#)

everyone in the room at IPCC was in agreement that this was (cooling trend) a problem and a potential distraction / detraction from the reasonably consensus viewpoint we'd like to show

[938018124](#)

I believe that the recent warmth was probably matched about 1000 years ago.

WHO works hand in glove with the United States CDC and big-pharma to push global vaccination programs using manufactured and/or propagandized threats (as for instance the Swine Flu scare of 1976, 2009), just like the fictitious threat of WMD from Iraq in 2003 under the United Nations Security Council aegis which had led to the preplanned "solution" of invading that defenseless Muslim nation by the strongest military in the world. When sovereign nations can be invaded under

cover of propaganda systems and legalisms enforced under the United Nations institutions in the name of protecting other nations, invading individual people's bodies, private lives, and lifestyles in the name of protecting other people hardly poses a moral dilemma. This should be self-evident just by observation, that moral calculus plays no role in international relations, or in the pursuit of any political agenda, except as PR for rallying the public to the *cause célèbre* du jour.

The East Anglia Emails (contd. 5)

[1255523796](#)

The fact that we can not account for what is happening in the climate system makes any consideration of geoengineering quite hopeless as we will never be able to tell if it is successful or not! It is a travesty!

[Source link for the above excerpts.](#)

“As you know, I'm not political. If anything, I would like to see the climate change happen, so the science could be proved right, regardless of the consequences. This isn't being political, it is being selfish.” --- Phil Jones, head of CRU, University of East Anglia, to climatologist John Christy, University of Alabama, 5 July 2005

“On 20 November 2009, emails and other documents, apparently originating from with the Climate Research Unit (CRU) at the University of East Anglia. The authenticity of these emails has been confirmed by most of the relevant parties including the CRU at Univeristy of East Anglia and many of the authors. These emails contain some quite surprising and even disappointing insights into what has been happening within the climate change scientific establishment. Worryingly this same group of scientists are very influential in terms of economic and social policy formation around the subject of climate change.” --- [East Anglia Confirmed Emails from the Climate Research Unit](#)
(<https://tinyurl.com/eastangliaemails-archives>)

Also see: [The Trick, Steve McIntyre, 2009 Nov 26 Climate Audit](#)
and [contentions](#)

and [IPCC: “inappropriate” to show the decline](#)

and [Beyond Climategate: Virtually all climate 'science' temp. data is irrelevant / PHONY.](#)

This is the natural outcome of seeking global control with “military style objectivity” – the *ubermensch's* uber rationality for achieving any political objective by any means irrespective of its consequences to the *lesser humanity*. This is termed “amoral” --- devoid of the calculus of morality; the *force majeure* of power over its subjects from time immemorial. This topic of uber rationality that leads to justification for any agenda, any goal, any outcome, is explored further in the essay: [Morality derived from the Intellect leads to Enslavement!](#). The dystopic consequences which naturally follow from uber rationality drawing upon “will to power”, can easily be gleaned in uber rationalist philosopher Bertrand Russell's “respectable” justification for one-world government in his book: [Impact of Science on Society](#).

One cannot pretend that because a modicum of rational thinking is necessary for sensible human existence in order to rise above what appears to be man's natural proclivity for superstitions, that insane amounts of rationality wielded by uber sociopaths is insanely good for humanity. This *rationality trap* of uber self-interest which calculatingly removes empathy and the absoluteness of the Golden Rule: **“Do unto others as you have others do unto you; and don't do to others what you don't want others to do to you”** from its calculus, as these principles do not serve the self-interest of power, is the bane of rationality.

To reject this *rationality trap* that is sprung upon mankind using dogmas of science and technology is in itself rational and an existential self-defence. Its blanket rejection neither constitutes an endorsement of irrationality nor belief in tooth-fairies. To accuse those who reject this *rationality trap* as being irrational is part of ensuring that Unspeakable remain unspoken. This is what's behind marginalizing those who do not accept the dogma of man-made Global Warming / Climate Change.

There is a systematic method to the apparent madness of these (often phantasmic) threats arising out of nowhere and the officialdom's heavy-handed response to them once the layers of green, white, and other humanitarian or security masks are peeled off. These responses almost always strip away individual freedom, engender more conformity to dogmas, more standardization in individual behavior as well as in global policy prescriptions, and more world government which looks more and more like global police state with unified draconian policies that span the gamut of human activity, from banking to breathing.

One only has to read their own hand writings spanning the gamut of political theory, from those expressed in fables to those couched in philosophical justifications, in order to fully comprehend the whole which, as any perceptive system analyst understands, is often masked by focus on individual components that appear (and at times made to appear) disconnected and unrelated to each other.

Important system and causal properties (cause and effect) of a complex system often remain hidden in the interconnection between components. To get at the whole understanding of the system, one has to be able to see all the forces that shape events; not just what's happening near to one. Specious and false arguments, clever misdirections and red herrings are cunningly prepared by uber minds to ensure that this understanding of the whole does not arise in the public mind; the Unspeakable remain unspoken. Those able to reconstitute the whole from the components are craftily dismissed by employing many sophisticated perception management techniques. Some of these techniques have been examined in [Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory](#).

The public documents listed below outline the scope of United Nations Agenda 21 for “Sustainable Development”, of which the mantra of climate change is but one part, to secure the earth from the menace of man in the name of saving man from his own excesses. Just as the Club of Rome had stated: ***“All these dangers are caused by human intervention in natural processes, and it is only through changed attitudes and behavior that they can be overcome. The real enemy then is humanity itself.”***

Agenda 21 is designed to corral all mankind in all nations of the world into a state of existence that the world's public would, of their own volition, never select for themselves. Therefore, the objectives of United Nations Agenda 21 are to be achieved by stealth, in incremental stages, in the pretext of solving this and that menace. The menace is manufactured, or exponentially amplified, with propaganda cover exposing full spectrum of deceit from clever half-truths to outright lies that most people without much thinking would immediately agree with. Such as “Sustainable Development”.

Who in their right mind would not like the word “sustainable” as the panacea for the global threats of rising pollution, rising population explosion, rising food shortages, rising water shortages, rising famine, increased aridity of land, increasing terrorism, frequent pandemics, fear of global warming causing rising sea levels and destroying coastal cities, then fear of global cooling freezing out agriculture and rain forests, etc. etc. etc. The powers that be are only constrained by the imagination of the Rand Corporation and other think-bodies such as the Rockefeller Foundation to come up with [new threat scenarios and menaces](#) from where the Rand expert group assembled at [Iron Mountain](#) had left off in 1962. The powers that be are also only constrained by the technological advancements of the *Technetronic Era* to actually tickle crisis by intervention, to exacerbate it at will, or to outright manufacture it. [Geo-engineering](#) is used for weather modification and is examined in the [full report](#). Advanced nations have long held command over [environmental modification techniques](#) as a [Weapon of War](#) that can change weather patterns, cause flood or drought at will, prolong or shorten monsoon season, melt polar ice caps or winterize, change atmospheric charge,

temperature, and pressure to generate storms or to mitigate them.

'The beginning of experimental weather modification is credited to the first forced precipitation of rain - "cloud seeding" - by Vincent Schaefer using dry ice in 1946. The following year the same effect was demonstrated by Bernard Vonnegut using silver iodide crystals. ...

"Army, Navy and Air Force are spending close to a million dollars a year on weather modification and their tremendous interest suggests that military applications extend far beyond visiting a few showers upon an enemy.

It does not require a sharp mind to figure out that wartime storms might readily be infected with virulent bacteriological and radiological substances." ... Orville also reported that the USSR "... had conducted numerous unpublicized but still detectable experiments apparently aimed at finding ways to speed melting of polar icecaps; and has even offered to join the United States in a project to turn the Arctic Ocean into a sort of warm water lake by melting the polar icecap." ' (pgs. 4-5 [WEATHER MODIFICATION](#))

The United States Air Force has a 1996 public document outlining "owning the weather" completely by 2025 as a [Force Multiplier](#). The then United States Secretary of Defence, William S. Cohen, publicly stated his apprehension of "eco- type of terrorism" as the justification for the United States also pursuing the same capabilities. In his [DoD News Briefing in 1997](#), William Cohen, responding to the question: "how prepared we are to deal with [threats]", said: ***"There are some reports, for example, that some countries have been trying to construct something like an Ebola Virus, and that would be a very dangerous phenomenon, to say the least. Alvin Toffler has written about this in terms of some scientists in their laboratories trying to devise certain types of pathogens that would be ethnic specific so that they could just eliminate certain ethnic groups and races; and others are designing some sort of engineering, some sort of insects that can destroy specific crops. Others are engaging even in an eco- type of terrorism whereby they can alter the climate, set off earthquakes, volcanoes remotely through the use of electromagnetic waves. So there are plenty of ingenious minds out there that are at work finding ways in which they can wreak terror upon other nations. It's real, and that's the reason why we have to intensify our efforts, and that's why this is so important."*** Official documents uncovered show the British RAF caused the flood of 1952 in the Devon village of Lynmouth in England due to their cloud seeding experiments under [Operation Cumulus](#). The British government had of course termed the disastrous

flood which killed 35 people “**the hand of God**”, but, as the UK Guardian newspaper reported, ‘*new evidence from previously classified government files suggests that a team of international scientists working with the RAF was experimenting with artificial rainmaking in southern Britain in the same week and could possibly be implicated. “We flew straight through the top of the cloud, poured dry ice down into the cloud. We flew down to see if any rain came out of the cloud. And it did about 30 minutes later, and we all cheered.”*’ (ibid.)

The list of public disclosures is endless. The bibliography on the admission of *technetronic capability* for modifying weather as weapon available to many nations (and of course to the “terrorists” just like their possessing “WMD”) is easily accessible. To what extent is this capability actually deployed as a weapon on any given instance of weather anomaly, of course only becomes known ex post facto, years later, and on which historians, newspaper columnists, and hungry scholars earn their keep (and some even their Ph.D.) as they proudly strut their new found discoveries of a past which cannot be changed. Shame that few learn from history to understand the present and to preempt the future while waiting for its receipts: “**We are made wise not by the recollections of our past, but by the responsibility for our future.**” This shortsightedness is deliberate and calculated – for it does not take great deal of intelligence to see that a *pound of flesh* is extracted for breaking the code of silence when it can make the most difference to the deeds of history's actors:

“We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality -- judiciously, as you will -- we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do.” (New York Times, Oct. 17, 2004)

Today, based on the understanding from the rich bibliography on this subject, it also does not take a rocket scientist to see that the climate change menace potentially has many other covert man-made *technetronic helpers* besides the sun's natural activity, neither of which is accounted for in the propaganda spiel of man-made carbon emissions causing Global Warming in what appears to be a universal conspiracy of dunces that sees no evil, hears no evil, and speaks no evil.

Whenever the great political and intellectual leaders of mankind get together to sign global Accords on Climate Change, only man-made CO₂ is put on the table and its rectification is signed off as the “green” solution. That's because the stealth agenda is to **force a global transformation using any pretext, be it wholly propagandistic, or manufactured technetronically**, to lend credibility to the propaganda campaign. As the Report from Iron Mountain had perceptively suggested: “**Credibility**,

in fact, lies at the heart of the problem” for any mind-game to succeed.

Unlike the sex prostitutes in every major city on earth who earn their honest keep selling their bodies without deceiving their customers about the nature of their services, the prostitutes of empire do so by wearing the garb of academic respectability, morality, piety, and concern for humanity, outright deceiving their customers. Jesus had just one word for such “moralists”. The Bible calls them hypocrites. The problem however is far more severe than mere hypocrisy. It is criminal. A war crime. For it is the ubiquitous war on the public mind which can only be waged credibly by way of deception.

The wolf must appear in sheep's clothing in order to guide its flock first to the constricted hen house and then to the slaughter house. And it must also convince those most in the position to understand its overarching game-plan, to pretend to not notice it.

It's a shell game from top to bottom and permeates science as much as it permeates political science.

Epistemological Method of Science: Science vs. Religion

Religion permits no falsification of its axioms, its presuppositions of faith, its core beliefs. Religion therefore, is generally considered to be a noun (except when one embarks on a spiritual journey in search of truth where nothing is presupposed, and where there are no axioms). Whereas, axioms of science, its own presuppositions of convenience, its assumptions that are often necessary in any domain and upon which the scientific method is built to discover and develop that domain, are contingent upon their being falsifiable. This means that when the axioms of science are so chosen that they cannot ever be proved to be true (or false), or, not permitted to be scrutinized at all by the fiat of power, then these turn into dogmatic axioms of religion. Science thus becomes like religion, a noun rather than the verb it is intended to be; the process by which to rationally and empirically discover reality the way it actually is. Science now becomes faith based; faith in dogmas that become religiously held by its high priests, and whose validity is not permitted to be scrutinized by the fiat of unchallengeable power. The Church of Science is born. And when it engages in pseudo science gibberish to achieve some political agendas, this church becomes part of social engineering in service of empire. **Every Church needs a Galileo to break its dogmatic hold.**

The skeptical reader who has bought into the religion of Global Warming must surely realize by now

that the “sustainable” project, by necessity, requires a “credible problem” and propaganda system to affect the draconian changes to public attitudes and behavior that are inimical to their interests. That's just self-evident. And what has been demonstrated here is that when the “credible problem” is difficult to confirm empirically by the *scientific method* (of making observations on actual data and making theoretical models to explain that empirical data which are subsequently used for making predictions which, if they come true then, as the outcome of the *scientific method*, are deemed to represent reality to the first order, but only to the extent that the models stay “falsifiable” by future discoveries and do not become “religion”), despite pseudo science making every effort in creating convoluted computer models to fabricate “credibility” from bogus data to synthesize an alarmist religion around their models, and given the evidence from the aforementioned Senate Minority Report which documents over 1000 scientists worldwide dissenting with the so called official IPCC “Consensus” on Global Warming, then, credibility can also be “tickled” into existence by covert *technetronic helpers* creating the necessary illusions.

The skeptical reader must also see how the propaganda machinery has now switched its pitch from the “Global Warming” menace which could not be confirmed empirically despite the alarmist attitude on CO2 levels, to extremes in weather fluctuations and relabeled that as the “Climate Change” menace.

The skeptical reader also cannot have failed to observe how the original *first-cause prime-mover* is cleverly retained for this new menace as well: due to man-made CO2 emissions – the core belief to be implanted among the global public as that is also the *first-cause axiomatic theme* for enabling United Nations Agenda 21. This core belief is not permitted to be challenged without being labeled a heretic, kook, and incurring the risk of losing or tarnishing one's respectable career.

And lastly, the skeptical reader, who is now surely wide awake, has witnessed that the corruption of science begins at its very foundation when the presupposition of its axioms, and making these axioms *unfalsifiable* and/or *unscrutable*, is driven by political agendas rather than by the [epistemological method of science](#) (see: *Epistemological Method of Science: Science vs. Religion*, in sidebar) that rationally mandates making all its axioms *falsifiable* and *scrutable* in order to prevent science from becoming “religion”.

This is why Nobel Prize Winner for Physics, Ivar Giaever, quoted above, stated: **“I am a skeptic... Global warming has become a new religion.”**

It bears looking a bit more closely at this one Physics Nobel Laureate scientist's views who, in his 80s, appears quite unafraid of losing “academic respectability”. In his statement in response to the

policy declaration of APS (American Physical Society): ***“Emissions of greenhouse gases from human activities are changing the atmosphere in ways that affect the Earth's climate. They are emitted from fossil fuel combustion and a range of industrial and agricultural processes. The evidence is incontrovertible: global warming is occurring. If no mitigating actions are taken, significant disruptions in the Earth's physical and ecological systems, social systems, security and human health are likely to occur. We must reduce emissions of greenhouse gases beginning now.”***, Giaever told [The Sunday Telegraph](#) in 2011: **“Incontrovertible is not a scientific word. Nothing is incontrovertible in science.”** The newspaper reported that Giaever had testified before the US Senate about his doubts on Global Warming: **“Global warming has become a new religion. We frequently hear about the number of scientists who support it. But the number is not important: only whether they are correct is important.”** Ivar Giaever revisited his reasons and analysis for resigning from APS in The Lindau Nobel laureate Meetings in 2015. In his presentation titled [Global Warming Revisited](#) (video), Giaever stated:

Begin Quote Giaever

“I resigned from the society in 2011. First: nothing in science is incontrovertible. Second: the “measured” average temperature increase in 100 years or so, is 0.8 Kelvin. Third: since the Physical Society claim it has become warmer, why is everything better than before? Forth: the maximum average temperature ever measured was in 1998, 17 years ago. When will we stop wasting money on alternative energy?”

“From ~1880 to 2015 temperature has increased from ~288 K to 288.8 K (0.3%), i.e., amazingly stable.”

“In Albany, New York, where I live, there is ~80 K between max and min temperature. Do you believe 0.8 K degree makes a big difference?”

“To my surprise both 'alarmist' and 'deniers' accept the fact that you can measure the average temperature of the *whole* earth for a *whole* year to a *fraction of a degree* and that the result is significant. Of course it's not. How can you possibly measure the average temperature for the whole earth and for the whole year and come up with a fraction of a degree?”

“I think the average temperature of the earth is equal to the emperor's new clothes. Was a boy who cried out that the emperor has no clothes on. And I would cry out and

Global Warming / Climate Change has Become a New Religion – What's it all About?

say you can't measure the temperature for the whole earth with such accuracy. ... It is ridiculous.”

“Now this is what they have come up with however, and this is for the last 19 years, roughly speaking. The temperature has not gone up. It's been constant for 19 years. There was a big peak in 1998, that's very recognizable. (Graph-1 RSS global mean temperature change: 219 months October 1996 to December 2014 – No Global Warming for 18 years 3 months). So what do the people who measure temperature do with that?”

Well, here is the latest temperature they have measured now (Graph-2 Global Land–Ocean Temperature Index). And you look at the curve here and the temperature goes up.

How can that be when I just showed you the other curve (Graph-1) where the temperature has been constant? Well the reason for that is that they include now the Ocean. But for a hundred years the ocean has not been included.

Why do you think they include the ocean? Because it's more accurate? Or because they can fiddle with the data. That's what NASA does.

So Obama said last year that 2014 was the hottest year ever. But it's not true. It's not the hottest year.

Here is some satellite data (Graph-3 UAH Satellite-Based Temperature of the Global Lower Atmosphere). This is the peak in 1998, and basically the satellite data shows the same thing. The temperature has not increased.”

“From 1898 – 1998 (the hottest year) temperature has increased ~0.8 degrees and the CO₂ concentration increased from 295 ppm to 367 ppm i.e. 72 ppm in a hundred years. That's a fact.”

“Now from 1998, which is basically the hottest year, CO₂ has increased from 367 ppm to 403 ppm, i.e., 36 ppm or half of the previous 100 years while temperature has been stable. So why hasn't the temperature increased 0.4 degrees then?”

“I mean if you are a physicist for heaven's sake, and here is the experiment, and you

Global Warming / Climate Change has Become a New Religion – What's it all About?

have a theory, and the theory doesn't agree with the experiment, then you have to cut out the theory. You were wrong with the theory. So you can't believe then the people who are the alarmist that CO2 is a terrible thing. And therefore you can't drive and use solar cells and stuff because otherwise the world will go to pot. But it's not true. It's absolutely not true.”

“Global warming has really become a new religion. Because you can't discuss it. It's not proper. If you look at Lindau here today, then all the notable people they have said Climate Change in their talks. All of them have said it. I don't know whether they know what they mean, but they have said it anyway. Everybody talks about climate change. The American Physical Society of which I was a member, said the evidence is incontrovertible that Global Warming exists. Now think about that. It is s a physical society. And they say you cannot discuss global warming because we believe it's happening. It's like the Catholic Church. There are lots of incontrovertible truths in the Catholic Church I am sure. And here there is a incontrovertible truth in a physical society. ”

End Quote Giaever

There is a clearly visible pattern of narrative control here by the powers that be. We already observed that the principal axiom of Global Warming was most cunningly transformed into a religious belief which cannot be scrutinized without incurring the wrath of scientific priesthood. Now we also observe narrative control that is cunningly built upon that axiom of faith. Why control the narrative? Because narrative control is a principal first axiom of [psychological warfare](#). No propaganda system can be successful without control of the narrative. When important people and authority figures continually repeat the same *Big lie* over and over again, it not only becomes a *Big truth* for the contemporary public, but also a *Big fact* for subsequent generations once institutional pied pipers, namely scholars, historians, journalists, scientists and academics start exercising their pens in “respectability”. Within no time a *Big lie* is turned into a *Big fact* with the control of the narrative.

The world witnessed something similar on 9-11 when shocking Terror was “tickled” into existence with full spectrum [propaganda cover](#) to make the [Global War on Terror credible to the public mind](#) against that external enemy over there, the “[Islamist terrorists](#)” – the new religion of empire. Sophisticated computer models were constructed not just by scientists, but also respectable scientific institutions like NIST, to show how the WTC buildings, terrorized by those “Islamist

terrorists” flying over to the *sacred land of the free* from the Hindu Kush mountains on America's finest jet liners, could collapse so catastrophically due to jet-fuel induced fire which supposedly weakened the building structures which subsequently initiated their so called *gravity collapse*. While the [observable truth](#) was (and still is) right before everyone's eyes – steel-concrete tall buildings have never imploded into its own footsteps (WTC-7) / and exploded into fine dust (WTC-1 WTC-2) that way, especially not due to any fire in the history of fires in tall buildings, except under the deliberate force of some kind of controlled demolition that brings them down at free-fall speed as was observed on 9-11. Great propaganda cover was lent to these so called “scientific studies” for the benefit of the skeptics. Fortunately for those with eyes to see, that also helped “out” all the great “moral” public intellectuals [who cunningly shepherded the disbelieving flock](#) to the [same core beliefs](#) as the wolves wanted; same applies to [foisting “sustainable” agenda upon the disbelieving mind](#).

The core belief has to be universally implanted in the public mind that it is principally mankind that is responsible for planetary level Climate Changes. It can only escape the notice of a complete dunce head (and there is no shortage of useful idiots in the world) that how earlier the religious dogma was “Global Warming”, then it became weather extremes because honest scientists demonstrated the former to be outright bunk, and it is soon to become “Global Cooling” (if it hasn't already) as the global temperatures are actually measured to be slightly declining (as some argue) and snow cover on the mountains and glaciers increasing.

Regardless of the pattern of climactic changes, the political agenda is to blame it on man-made CO2 levels using whatever scientific justifications that the imaginative minds plaguing climate science can conjure up. The *Reality Versus Alarm* graph is in the sidebar at the very beginning of this report. Here is MIT climate scientist Richard S. Lindzen, Professor Emeritus of Atmospheric Sciences, explaining the empirical reality of CO2 to American President Donald Trump in a followup letter to the White House dated [March 9, 2017](#):

Begin Quote Richard Lindzen

Let me explain in somewhat greater detail why we call for withdrawal from the UNFCCC.

The UNFCCC was established twenty five years ago to find scientific support for dangers from increasing carbon dioxide. While this has led to generous and rapidly increased support for the field, the purported dangers remain hypothetical, model-based projections. By contrast, the benefits of increasing CO2 and modest warming are clearer than ever, and they are supported by dramatic satellite images of a

greening Earth.

We note that:

- The UN's Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) no longer claims a greater likelihood of significant as opposed to negligible future warming,
- It has long been acknowledged by the IPCC that climate change prior to the 1960's could not have been due to anthropogenic greenhouse gases. Yet, pre-1960 instrumentally observed temperatures show many warming episodes, similar to the one since 1960, for example, from 1915 to 1950, and from 1850 to 1890. None of these could have been caused by an increase in atmospheric CO₂,
- Model projections of warming during recent decades have greatly exceeded what has been observed,
- The modelling community has openly acknowledged that the ability of existing models to simulate past climates is due to numerous arbitrary tuning adjustments,
- Observations show no statistically valid trends in flooding or drought, and no meaningful acceleration whatsoever of pre-existing long term sea level rise (about 6 inches per century) worldwide,
- Current carbon dioxide levels, around 400 parts per million are still very small compared to the averages over geological history, when thousands of parts per million prevailed, and when life flourished on land and in the oceans.

Calls to limit carbon dioxide emissions are even less persuasive today than 25 years ago. Future research should focus on dispassionate, high-quality climate science, not on efforts to prop up an increasingly frayed narrative of “carbon pollution.” Until scientific research is unfettered from the constraints of the policy-driven UNFCCC, the research community will fail in its obligation to the public that pays the bills.

End Quote Richard Lindzen

The United Nations Agenda 21 offering “sustainable development” as the solution, continues on the

same principal axis as the alarmist CO2 global warming scam. It posits man's very existence on the face of the earth to be a dire threat to "Gaia's" natural processes even beyond his natural carbon emissions. The principle is directly taken from the aforementioned handbook of social control in which the Club of Rome authors hath stated (repeating for emphasis): ***"All these dangers are caused by human intervention in natural processes, and it is only through changed attitudes and behavior that they can be overcome. The real enemy then is humanity itself."*** The solution to the crisis of this real enemy destroying the earth is the United Nations Agenda 21 for Sustainable Development!

This Hegelian Dialectic is far more sophisticated (and convoluted) than others that have come before it within our own lifetimes and requires considerable acuity of mind to comprehend. An acuity which is enfeebled by dumbing down the public mind and occupying it between *bread and circuses*. See the [Report on Mighty Wurlitzer](#) to understand how that is most cunningly accomplished through perception control. See [Hegelian Dialectic for Dummies](#) to understand the diabolical mechanism of social engineering for orchestrating an unpalatable outcome in small baby-steps, through deliberate crises creation, and then offering their antitheses as the solutions, which ultimately lead to the desired outcome in stages. The [gestalt transformation](#) to one-world governance cannot be taken voluntarily, or in one giant step, as it goes against the tribal and national instincts. It must be done in stages. And to take each baby-step towards the next stage needs a reason, a pretext, that would force that transformation. The irrational push for the acceptance of the alarmist dogma of man-made Global Warming despite the science not supporting it, can be perceptively understood in that context of pretext creation. Then, it no longer appears so irrational, as the planned enabler of Agenda 21. The noble concern for the environment is motivated by the same reason, as enabler of Agenda 21.

The core propaganda spiel of Agenda 21 is premised upon the necessity of preserving earth's natural processes from man's incessant encroachment, unbridled harvesting, and unbridled despoiling, through his attitude and behavior change under "sustainable" living. The "Gaia", or mother earth, is deemed supreme, and Man, the common man that is, is deemed not just one among its many inhabitants, but also the worst one, and therefore, he must be treated like game in a reservation; he must be guided, shepherded, profiled, controlled, and culled. All this sounds grotesque and far-fetched, but that is indeed the underlying premise of the tiny elite who want to own and rule the earth in a one-world government. This government is by governance. The local / regional / national administrations may well be elected and form their government and fly their own flag. But their laws and constitution and its policing are formulated by the unelected elites as in the EU, which may be rubber-stamped for electoral legitimacy in order to maintain the requisite illusions.

It is shocking to see etched in 18 feet tall granite stone monument in Elbert County, Georgia, USA, called the Georgia Guidestones, these new Ten Commandments for a new world order, written in English, Spanish, Swahili, Hindi, Hebrew, Arabic, Chinese, and Russian, that world population should be maintained at half a billion (today it is seven billion --- where are the other 6.5 billion and rising to go? Who lives and who dies who decides? UN Agenda 21!)

1. Maintain humanity under 500,000,000 in perpetual balance with nature.

2. Guide reproduction wisely — improving fitness and diversity.

3. Unite humanity with a living new language.

4. Rule passion — faith — tradition — and all things with tempered reason.

5. Protect people and nations with fair laws and just courts.

6. Let all nations rule internally resolving external disputes in a world court.

7. Avoid petty laws and useless officials.

8. Balance personal rights with social duties.

9. Prize truth — beauty — love — seeking harmony with the infinite.

10. Be not a cancer on the earth — Leave room for nature — Leave room for nature.

Under the propaganda cover of “Sustainable Development”, vast public spaces on earth are to be legally declared off-limits to man. The habitable as well as previously inhabited lands, water sources, and open spaces, are to be legally classified as public parks, natural preserves. Right alongside the drive for the outright abolition of private property ownership by individuals. All space is only to be leased for limited time and designated use from state authority and their proxies, the so called NGOs and non-profit tax-exempt foundations that are to take charge of the new world's public commons. Only corporations and non-profit foundations will be able to own land as the supposed custodians of public property as producers. Most of the world's open spaces is already being put under these umbrella organizations ostensibly in public interest. One of them is the famous international organization World Wildlife Fund or Federation (WWF).

In the developed West, beginning with the United States, the number and size of no-go, no-grow, no-farm, no-cultivate, and no-live spaces for individuals and communities is rising rapidly, right alongside geographically marked urbanized hi-rise clustering where most of humanity is eventually to

be made to live in controlled spaces with strict control over their movements. That is already possible with electronic credit and electronic identity cards – which can be programmed to only work (when the person is behaving acceptably that is) in certain geographic areas or at certain times of the day. Thus human cloistering in regulated areas or “reservations” becomes the natural outcome of rapid *technologization* of human life in the *Technetronic Era*. Hollywood has been continually priming us psychologically with various dystopic outcomes for decades now. Few are surprised today let alone resist that millions of people go through x-ray body scanners daily as the new normal. More dystopia is introduced vicariously through movies, television, novels, fables, easier it becomes to accept it. The fact that a *Hunger Games* like society may well emerge as the peak of *Technetronic Era*, it is being banked, will just as easily be accepted by the public as body scanners.

Combined with carbon-credit and its repercussions of what man may legally eat, grow, produce, and if and how many he may procreate, under the overarching United Nations Agenda 21, where man may legally live, how much space he may legally occupy, and where and when he may legally travel, all under full surveillance, is intended to make a global prison state for *hoi polloi*. Fable and reality are merging rapidly.

The Real Green – The Real Sustainable Development

In [Letter to Climate@MIT : Is Climate Science Religion or Science?](#), dated October 30, 2018:

Begin Quote Letter Climate @ MIT

Dear respected 22 MIT Professors and Scientists of Climate @ MIT

You collectively signed a most carefully worded letter to President Donald Trump over a year and half ago (dated March 2, 2017) in which you stated that you did not share the views of your colleague Dr. Lindzen who had previously written a letter (dated February 23, 2017) to the President asking him to withdraw from the UN climate convention, and that in your, and other overwhelming majority of scientists' who have devoted their professional lives to the careful study of climate science, collective view, the risks to the Earth systems associated with increasing levels of carbon dioxide are almost universally agreed by climate scientists to be real ones.

I found your short letter particularly careful in its omissions. You carefully chose not to

make any observation on your beliefs on the actual cause of this increase in CO2 levels, nor advocate any solutions. In your letter you made it clear that your collective view disagreed with your colleague's call to withdraw from United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). Whilst you explicitly raised the alarm on the potential dangers of high levels of CO2, your omissions make it unobvious to me just from reading your letter whether you also believe that the CO2 levels are man-made, and must be regulated down by international programs such as the Carbon Credit scheme, and the UN Agenda 21. In this letter I presume that you do. I invite correction on any misimpressions of which I am sure there may be a few. None are all knowing, including yourselves.

Of course, no sensible person, let alone a science professor at MIT, will think not to first massively plant new trees and replenish Rainforests and jungles on urgent war footings; not to first stop cutting down trees for housing for the Western world that principally uses timber for structures, and not to first stop clearing forests for cattle-feed farming and agriculture, especially in the Amazon basin and the Americas, that has pretty much drained the primary cleansing sink of human generated CO2 in the delicately balanced human-plant natural eco-system of earth.

Also, no sensible person will think not to first cut down on the pollutants created by heavy industries and multinational corporations; and not to first stop discharging pollutants into rivers and streams in the developing nations where regulations are not as strong as in the developed nations, whereby profit-optimizing multinational corporations headquartered in the West, easily get away with cost cutting short cuts of simply dumping many pollutants outside their factories untreated that they can't do in developed nations due to stricter laws and their stricter oversight.

If China is filling the air with industrial pollutants more than the United States today, the bulk of the end products of that pollution are still exported to the United States and Western countries. All the iphones are made in China but the biggest market and beneficiary is in America. The stock of the most valued company on earth, now surpassing one trillion dollars, and headquartered in California, USA, is traded on Wall Street USA. It goes up or down depending on how many iphones will be sold.

So, what sensible person will not think of first enforcing regulations at the parent source commissioning that pollution in China, before the pollution even gets

Global Warming / Climate Change has Become a New Religion – What's it all About?

manufactured downstream and is discharged into the environment 7,000 miles away?

What sensible person will not think that first all multinational corporations manufacturing or harvesting in developing nations for their lower labor costs and resource-richness, bear the cost of discharging their environmental pollutants and waste byproducts as if they were manufacturing in the advanced developed nations of the West where they are headquartered, and pay for the cleanup cost for their past sins?

After all, it is the same earth's atmosphere whether it is over China, Bangladesh, or the United States of America.

MIT in its LEES Lab under the directorship of the late Professor James R. Melcher, who was also my 6.013 teacher, had focused on research and development of advanced technological devices that clean up industrial effluents and air pollutants before these are discharged into the oceans and atmospheres, like the electrostatic precipitator, etc.

These high technologies take investment on the part of multinational corporations to develop and deploy even after these have left the research labs years ago, and why should they do that when they are not forced to, due to the weak regulations and special concessions under which they usually operate in poor nations?

The burden then has to be carried by the common man in these impoverished nations who must suffer that cost in all its human and national dimensions, while the stock prices of the multinational corporations go up when their profit margins are higher, and the developed nations rejoice in their economic success. That success, of greed and primacy, creates a self-fulfilling prophecy for creating more environmental pollution – why alter the recipe for higher profit margins, and economic hegemony which comes with it, when one is not forced to?

Therefore, which sensible and moral human being concerned about the environment would not address that *most significant bit* of the matter first, by creating regulations for responsible manufacturing and subjecting all multinational corporations to these standards regardless of where they operate their industries, manufacturing bases, and agricultural farms for global food production, irrespective of whether manufacturing and work is subcontracted to other local corporations or not, and

Global Warming / Climate Change has Become a New Religion – What's it all About?

irrespective of what local incentives they might get from tin-pot governments and banana republics who help in the rape and exploitation of their own resource-rich and yet continually impoverished nations as surrogates of the *Economic Hit Men* who craft these mega deals?

Which sensible intellect will not first institute accountability for this mega corruption and exploitation upon the multinational corporations by the fiat of new regulatory laws that define standards for how multinational corporations must operate anywhere in the world, despite these corporations also being the backbone of the advanced military-industrial complex of the West that lends the Global North its supremacy and primacy over the Global South?

All commonsense and goodness first principles for having a cleaner global environment for all its peoples and future generations regardless of any existential crisis today including global warming, global cooling, climate change, or aliens landing.

But, just as no sensible person would think of living in a police state just because it makes the most stable system of governance and offers the most safety from common criminals, no sensible person will also think to put human beings in growth chains and to enslave mankind to the agendas of the elites in a global police state just to get rid of the high levels of CO₂.

End Quote Letter Climate @ MIT

Notice that none of the above commonsense is ever brought to the international negotiating tables for environmental policy-planning, climate change mitigation, and sustainable development by the experts in the United Nations, the United States, the European Union, or the BRIC nations (Brazil, Russia, India, China). That collusion by cunning omission is telling. Because it is the first logical step that even ordinary commonsense dictates for forging a comprehensive international treaty on environment, whether or not mankind, greenhouse gases, CO₂ levels, Ozone layer depletion, etc. are the first-cause of global climate change. All environmental protocols to date, including UN's Kyoto Protocol, have focused on reducing greenhouse gases based on the specious CO₂ mantra dismantled here. Why not take the above mentioned measures in a big way regardless? Duh!

It all started with the bogus alarm of man-made global warming, moved to the nice sounding idea of sustainable development, and is intended to end up in dystopic one-world police-state with no

unalienable human / civil / political rights. The only public and individual rights, if any, are those accorded by the global state, or its functionaries, at their discretion, to meet the state's needs, and to maintain necessary illusions of self-empowerment as needed for ease of governance of *mothership* earth. The EU constitution is already a practicable and real Orwellian template for this much sought after global outcome. Its *Doublespeak* is the agreeable template to make giving up one's rights and freedoms to arbitrary definitions determined by the state, amenable to the simpleton public mind.

Conclusion

This agenda for the elitist control of all humanity on earth is the real alarm. The real elitist-made menace which needs the global public's interdiction. Not Global Warming / Climate Change which, if indeed real and a significant threat to mankind, is a natural phenomenon and man's contribution to it is a *lower order bit* in relation to solar activity. Man can do little about the impact of sun's activity on earth except to move to another planet or out of the solar system. It is very easy to adjudicate --- at least in theory --- what's the change of climate on mars? Is its surface temperatures also going up, or going down, or erratic?

Planetary scientists need to study this phenomenon of Climate as such, without special interests dominating their funding, or their own narrow self-interests co-opting both their science and their moral acumen. The fact that this truism, a cliché of the objectivity of science that is believed by the public mind from pre-kindergarten to post-graduate and beyond, even needs stating, speaks to the evergreen corruption of science at the hands of its own priestly class in obedience to the ruling class. How different is that from the corruption of the priestly class in every religion in obedience to the ruling class? They both serve identical masters in service of empire.

The priestly classes concerned about their pristine professions serving masters of politics rather than masters of truth, must publicly reckon with this inconvenient fact that theirs is worse than the world's oldest profession; theirs deceives their customers! If Dante's hell is to be believed, deceivers and hypocrites occupy the lowest recesses of hell, the *Ninth Circle*, that he labeled *malebolge*. Interestingly, the same principle is true of both Christianity and Islam. The *ubermensch* of course see no use for such religions except when needed as control systems for organizing the beliefs and habits of the masses. Whereas, Divine religions, when followed as per their own respective Scripture rather than by what's written by scholars in commentaries of these Scriptures, lead the way forward for all humanity, such as by their common standard which gives precedence to the primacy of all

mankind over the *ubermensch* for equitable co-existence, the Golden Rule: **“Do unto others as you have others do unto you; and don't do to others what you don't want others to do to you”!**

Political leaders and representatives of governments authorized to sign away their nation's sovereignty to *Noble Lies*, before putting down their signatures to specious treaties must clearly reckon that they are doing so as petty mercenaries wittingly pushing Global Governance upon their naïve public, and not as unwitting *Useful Idiots* fooled by sacred truths from authority figures.

The common man, whether too lazy to comprehend the multifaceted devils running the world today, or too apathetic to do anything about it, will only get what's coming to the sheep; the butchers will never protest the habit of mutton eaters!

All ex post facto laments and excuses for having been *innocent of knowledge*, for everyone, have herewith been cleaved asunder.

To learn more about UN Agenda 21, below are links to some archived documents and video presentations. An informed and awakened citizenry putting pressure upon their government at all levels, is the only effective antidote to hectoring hegemons. That's obviously a wonderful theory! In some cases, in the United States of America (mainly), it has even been put into practice (occasionally, but all too infrequently). As the video talks betray, the United States is rapidly being urbanized, and its vast lands are being reserved against man. The resistance by local communities is not sufficient to overturn the thrust for local implementations of Agenda 21, unless this thrust is resisted at the national and federal levels. Federal and state funding of local communities ensures it.

1. [PDF Cacheof Our Global Neighborhood from sovereignty.net](#)
2. [PDF Cacheof United Nations Sustainable Development Rio June 1992 Agenda21](#)
3. [PDF Cacheof National Implementation of Agenda 21 JOHANNESBURG SUMMIT 2002 summarypublication](#)
4. [PDF Cacheof Global Biodiversity Assessment 1140 Page Report Annoucement, The United Nations Environment Programme UNEP Nov 2002 UNEP](#)
5. [PDF Cacheof Unsustainables UN Global Bio Div Assess 95 Pages](#)
6. [PDF Cacheof IUCN Draft Test Guide to Biodiversity Assessment April 2000 biodiversity assessment guide](#)

7. [PDF Cache of Redevelopment The Unknown Government August 2004](#)

Video Presentations

“AGENDA 21 ON HOW IT WILL AFFECT YOU”

[<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q0qT0XKcL1o>]

“Agenda 21 For Dummies”

[<https://youtube.com/watch?v=TzEEgtOFFIM>]

“Sustainable Destruction - Exposing Agenda 21 in Rural America”

[<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=V-U-Ov1Ay30>]

“Rosa Koire: Agenda 21. Open Mind Conference Denmark, 2013”

[<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7ykELwj1Ta8>]

References

[1] **Summarized and Adapted from Detailed Report (2008-2017):** [On Global Warming Mind-Fck](#),
URL: <https://web.archive.org/web/20190825211910/http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/12/nb-on-global-warming.html>

[2] **More Dismantling Climate Science:** [Reflections on Modernity, Climategate, Pandemic, Peer Review, and Science in the Service of Empire](#)
URL: <https://web.archive.org/web/20190825211910/http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/11/let-co-conspiracy-theorist-climategate.html>

[3] **More Dismantling Agenda 21:** [Agenda 21 For Dummies](#),
URL: <https://web.archive.org/web/20190825211910/http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2013/03/agenda-21-for-dummies.html>

[4] More Dismantling Hegelian Dialectic: [Hegelian Dialectic for Dummies](#),

URL: <https://web.archive.org/web/20190825211910/http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2013/11/hegelian-dialectic-what-is-it.html>

[5] More Dismantling *Crippled* Epistemology: [Some Problems in Epistemology](#),

URL: <https://web.archive.org/web/20190825211910/http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/p/some-problems-in-epistemology.html>

[6] Shorter version of the above: [Introduction to Epistemology](#),

URL: <https://web.archive.org/web/20190825211910/http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2018/10/intro-to-epistemology-by-zahir-ebrahim.html>

[7] More Dismantling Propaganda Systems: [Report on the Mighty Wurlitzer - Architecture of Modern Propaganda for Psychological Warfare](#),

URL: <https://web.archive.org/web/20190825211910/http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/05/note-on-mighty-wurlitzer.html>

[8] Agenda 21: Earth Summit: The United Nations Programme of Action from Rio, United Nations,

URL: <http://www.amazon.com/Agenda-21-Summit-Nations-Programme/dp/1482672774/>

[9] Behind the Green Mask: U.N. Agenda 21, by Rosa Koire, 2011 (deconstructs the UN play book),

URL: <http://www.amazon.com/BEHIND-THE-GREEN-MASK-Agenda/dp/0615494544/>

[10] Nature, Not Human Activity, Rules the Climate, S. Fred Singer, ed., March 2, 2008, *Summary for Policymakers of the Report of the Nongovernmental International Panel on Climate Change*, Chicago, IL: The Heartland Institute, 2008,

URL: <http://www.heartland.org/publications-resources/publications/nature-not-human-activity-rules-the-climate-pdf>

[11] The Sun, Not Man, Still Rules Our Climate, by Zbigniew Jaworowski, Spring 2009, 21st Century Science & Technology, *dissects the false "fingerprint" of man-made warming and the Malthusian hand promoting it*,

URL: http://www.21stcenturysciencetech.com/Articles_2009/Sun_Climate_sp09_01.pdf

[12] Why Scientists Disagree About Global Warming, The NIPCC Report on Scientific Consensus, by Craig D. Idso, Robert M. Carter, S. Fred Singer, Second edition 2016, The Heartland Institute,

URL: http://www.heartland.org/_template-assets/documents/Books/Why%20Scientists%20Disagree%20Second%20Edition%20with%20covers.pdf

[13] Other References for Further Study, compiled by Peter Meyer, serendipity.li, [The Global Warming Scare Hoax](#),

URL: http://www.serendipity.li/climate/global_warming_scare.htm

[14] Letter to President Donald Trump, The White House, from Richard Lindzen, MIT, March 09, 2017, [6-Personal PAOC Explanation](#),

URL: <http://sites.google.com/site/humanbeingsfirst/misc/Cacheof-6-Personal-PAOC-Explanation-March-09-2017-Richard-Lindzen-MIT-courtesy-of-Lindzen.pdf>

[15] Letter to John Cook, skepticalscience.com, George Mason University, from Zahir Ebrahim, October 26, 2018, [Global Warming: Religion or Science?](#),

URL: <https://web.archive.org/web/20190825211910/http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2018/10/lette-global-warming-religion-or-science.html>

[16] Letter to Climate@MIT, from Zahir Ebrahim, October 30, 2018, [Is Climate Science Religion or Science?](#),

URL: <https://web.archive.org/web/20190825211910/http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2018/10/letter-climate-mit-religion-or-science.html>

[17] Letter to Tariq Banuri, Chairman HEC, from Zahir Ebrahim, December 12, 2018, [On Global Warming](#),

URL: <https://web.archive.org/web/20190825211910/http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2018/12/letter-to-tariq-banuri-on-global-warming.html>

Source URL: <https://web.archive.org/web/20190825211910/http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/p/global-warming-has-become-new-religion.html>

First Published as Adaptation of [1] on November 30, 2016 | Last updated March 6, 2019 11:00 pm

Global Warming / Climate Change has Become a New Religion – What's it all About?

This page is deliberately blank

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

The Plebeian Antidote to Hectoring Hegemons!

Chapter III

Coronavirus foresight by United States Federal Agency, the CDC, in mid November 2019

How did the CDC Know about a Contagion about to be let loose that would need Quarantine Managers in all the major cities of the United States?

March 22, 2020 3:00 pm

Hello world.

You know, I am getting more and more convinced that this Covidish times (as my friend called it, and I like the descriptive epithet to the world as we once knew it) is not “accidental”.

It may well have its roots in Zoonosis, but, it also appears to be a BSL secured lab contagion.

The evidence that swung me over that it is not an “accidental” lab escapee, inter alia, is this dangling loose thread on the wool sweater of deception the minutest tug upon which unravels the entire matter: Advertisement for quarantine managers on Nov. 15, 2019 by CDC:

Public Health Advisor (Quarantine Program)

Job ID HHS-CDC-D3-20-10640010

Date posted 11/15/2019

Location Dallas, Texas, El Paso, Texas, Houston, Texas, Seattle, Washington, Anchorage, Alaska, Los Angeles, California, San Diego, California, San Francisco, California, Miami, Florida, Atlanta, Georgia, Honolulu, Hawaii,

Coronavirus foresight by United States Federal Agency, the CDC, in mid November 2019

Chicago, Illinois, Boston, Massachusetts, Detroit, Michigan, Minneapolis, Minnesota, Newark, New Jersey, New York, New York, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, San Juan

Department: Department of Health And Human Services

Agency: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Job Announcement Number: HHS-CDC-D3-20-10640010

SALARY RANGE: \$51440.0 to \$93077.0/Per Year

OPEN PERIOD: 2019-11-15 to 2020-05-15

SERIES & GRADE: GS--9/11

AGENCY MARKETING STATEMENT:

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) is the agency Americans trust with their lives. As a global leader in public health, CDC is the nation's premier health promotion, prevention, and preparedness agency.

Whether we are protecting the American people from public health threats, researching emerging diseases, or mobilizing public health programs with our domestic and international partners, we rely on our employees to make a real difference in the health and well-being of people here and around the world.

This position is located in the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), National Center for Emerging & Zoonotic Infectious Diseases (NCEZID), Division of Global Migration and Quarantine (DGMQ), Quarantine and Border Health Services Branch (QBHS) within various locations. ... Source CDC,

<https://web.archive.org/web/20200323192450/https://jobs.cdc.gov/job/dallas/public-health-advisor-quarantine-program/250/14136286>

- via (hat tip to) <https://www.newstarget.com/2020-03-19-cdc-was-hiring-quarantine-program-managers-november.html>
- via (hat tip to) <https://www.naturalnews.com/2020-03-09-cdc-denied-access-to-fda-official-sent-to-check-coronavirus-lab-test-delays.html>
- via (hat tip to) <https://www.legitgov.org/>

With it will come microchip implants, crypto currency, a dystopic world order that we have only read about. And that I have amply written about over the past decade and half, actually longer, since 2003. I won't bother giving you links to any of my work --- no one cares what a common man thinks,

even if he can add two plus two equal four.

But read what CDC was recruiting for in mid Nov. 2019, when, according to officialdom, none of this contagion had surfaced... how did CDC know?

Well, it is of course foresight. It's the flu season ain't it? But how did CDC know that it would be such a deadly contagion that would need massive quarantine in the United States? Had they planned such preventive exercises in previous years in November? Perhaps that's how those who run the policies of the superpower-state operate, keep ready with solutions and managers just in case the global "terror" that will threaten earthians props up...

"Fools", said I, "you do not know
Silence like a cancer grows
Hear my words that I might teach you
Take my arms that I might reach you"
But my words like silent raindrops fell
And echoed in the wells of silence
And the people bowed and prayed
To the neon god they made
And the sign flashed its warning
In the words that it was forming
And the sign said,
"The words of the prophets are written on the subway walls
And tenement halls"
And whispered in the Sounds of Silence
(lyrics Sounds of Silence by Simon and Garfunkel)

Mankind shall rest in peace and stability in World Government. That's what Bertrand Russell outlined in Impact of Science on Society in 1952. That's what Zbigniew Brzezinski prognosticated in Between Two Ages in 1970 as a consequence of the Technetronic Era. That's what CFR's Richard N. Gardner argued in 1974 in The Hard Road to World Order, published in CFR's Foreign Policy Journal.

It is my "curse" that I choose to read, and read, and read... while the world sleeps soundly, or keeps busy in bread and circuses, the circus for the erudite being reading and studying garbage and feeling learned! Of course, one has to be sound asleep in the REM state in order to dream, any

Coronavirus foresight by United States Federal Agency, the CDC, in mid November 2019

dream, including the “American Dream”. Being “innocent of knowledge” helps in that pursuit of eyes wide shut.

Oh, how I long for the idyllic years, carefree and happy... they will once again soon be upon us. It will be a uniform, standardized, and stable society. That's also the promise of world government presented by H.G. Wells in 1940 in The New World Order... and Aldous Huxley, all shall be happy on SOMA, in 1931, and repeated it again before students at UC Berkeley in 1961 on the 30th anniversary of Brave New World, as the ultimate in malevolent revolution.

That malevolent revolution, getting people to love their own servitude, has been gradually in the making, and is now upon us in its final stages....

Oh why don't we resist? And that answer was also given by H.G. Wells in The New World Order.

Not to forget Carroll Quigley in 1966 in Tragedy and Hope.

One who is caught between two ages

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Source URL: <https://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2020/03/coronavirus-foresight-by-cdc-in-nov-2019.html>

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

The Plebeian Antidote to Hectoring Hegemons!

Chapter IV

Walk Down 2009 Memory Lane:

Vaccines Portent 2020?

Swine Flu: The Ultimate Revolution in the Making

October 1, 2009

The article headlined "[Boston launches flu shot tracking – City to pinpoint areas of low rates of vaccination](#)" back in November 21, 2008, today one year later, appears to have been a bellwether of the incredible prescience of political science described by this scribe in "[Swine Flu: The Digital Cacophony of Medical Experts, Public Relations](#)". More up to date details of flu shot tracking may be gleaned [here](#) and [here](#).

Rational people might do well to ask themselves that if the [H1N1 virus is \(or may be\) mutating rapidly into a vaccine resistant strain](#), then what's the purpose of a) the vaccine, b) vaccination tracking? Once one has acquired some reasoned perspective on that, one might further reflect that if the [UN agencies are repeatedly stating that millions could die without vaccination](#) scaring many a have-not into demanding it – beg, borrow or steal, the sheep pleads for its own sharpened knife from the butcher – and the vaccine is ineffective against a mutating vaccine-resistant viral strain, then what's the point of vaccination? Why is the [WHO pitching vaccination so much](#), apart from Big-Pharma making mega-bucks that is? People will die anyway from the virus with or without vaccination – some directly or indirectly from the Pandemic, others with the side-effects of the vaccine.

So it would appear to a sensible logician to further ask that if the virus will eventually kill with or without the help of vaccines, or the vaccines themselves will kill, minimally due to toxic side-effects, and maximally due to mala fide content (see [note on vaccination](#)), why does the government appear so keen on tracking the vaccinated peoples? Could all this hullabaloo possibly be a pretext, by letting people get used to the concept of being tracked under the dire emergency situation, to enable tracking all the peoples all the time for all times to come?


If behind the boogiemans of the pandemic, the real agenda is to implant RFID tracking devices into world's populations, that immediately explains the heavy-handed draconian measures to forcibly vaccinate everybody as noted in the Canadian article "[Impending Mandatory Vaccinations Will Affect The Health, Jobs of Canadians](#)", and substantiated in the United States in the drill being enacted in Minnesota called "[Operation Big Shot](#)". With Martial Law measures rapidly emerging worldwide to administer mass vaccination, people may not have any choice in the matter of refusing vaccination ([as per Project Humanbeingsfirst's H1N1 Conclusion](#)) as this frightening [Fox News](#) video clip portends:

[<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JjVuBg4LpcQ>]

Can RFID implants in human beings be avoided? Not if one is unwilling to live in the [netherworld](#). Even if world-government in its final form is delayed indefinitely, the world systems are already in place in most developed nations which would make the normal daily routine of modern living almost impossible without it. Travel is already becoming its biggest sentinel. Even though many nations are grandfathering their existing passports, new passport issues are invariably micro-chipped worldwide by necessity of visa requirements! Many credit cards are already micro-chipped. While up to the present times, micro-chipping has primarily been of the instruments of life and living, such as for [logistics tracking](#), implanting them into human beings directly – by pretext as during this pandemic, by existential necessity such as denying employment, or by force of law such as at birth much like birth-registering to get a birth certificate – points to the "[ultimate revolution](#)" prognosticated by Aldous Huxley.

Please listen carefully to this 44 minute audio recording of the frightening speech of the author of the famous 1931 book "[Brave New World](#)", rather cavalierly made to students and faculty at the University of California, Berkeley, in 1962:

[https://archive.org/download/AldousHuxley-TheUltimateRevolution/AldousHuxley-TheUltimateRevolution_64kb.m3u]



Fast forward to the present (if you haven't heard the Huxley speech, the connection spanning the two epochs will not make sense to you – do yourself a big favor, educate yourself to the mind-control based social engineering that your children will be subjected to – please hear the master who brought us “SOMA” describe the “ultimate revolution” before proceeding).

In his New Technologies and innovations show-and-tell in Toronto in April 2008, [Dr. Patrick Redmond](#) described the current state of tracking devices, the RFID chips:

“Hitachi a few years ago produced a chip (called the mu chip) that was the size of a pencil point; if you take a pencil and put it on a piece of paper you get a little dot. That’s how small they’re getting. In 2007 Hitachi came out with a chip that was even smaller, they call it RFID powder. They are just like the talcum powder you would put on a baby. Somark Innovations in Jan 10, 2007 announced an invisible RFID ink. This can be applied to cattle, prime cuts of meat, military personnel and it can be read through hair.”

And he observed its deployment trend that:

“... by 2011 you should be able to go on Google and find out where someone is at any time from chips on clothing, in cars, cell phones, and inside many people themselves.”

Dr. Redmond, 31 years with IBM before he retired, noted the recent staggering growth of the RFID industry:

“From 1955 to 2005, cumulative sales of radio tags totaled 2.4 billion; in 2007 alone, 2.24 billion tags were sold worldwide and analysts project that by 2017 cumulative sales will top 1 trillion—generating more than \$25 billion in annual revenues for the industry.”

That was before the Pandemic of 2009! The ten page article-transcript of Dr. Redmond's talk is an absolute must-read ([PDF here](#)). He not only surveyed how RFIDs are being used today for

commodity tracking, but also how they might be used in the near future for mind control, ominously concluding:

“If chips can disseminate medicine then they can disseminate other things too; anything put inside a microchip can be activated by a signal. And finally, with this technology, subliminal mind control becomes possible.”

We have come full circle from Aldous Huxley's 1931 mood-altering drug “SOMA”, to his 1962 predictions of the advancements in social engineering through direct behavior control whereby people will actually be made to love their own servitude thus creating the “**ultimate revolution**”, to its almost full realization within 50 years, in our own lifetime. Thanks to the [bloody swines](#):

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tbt_PuVAVTU]

Only the kinds of tyrannical policing systems made possible by being able to scientifically track and mind-control anyone and everyone 24x7, would make [one-world government](#) sustainable for generations under its [scientific dictatorship](#), barring a real global catastrophe that would make it infeasible to control every individual human being. Such as a mass revolt! It was mentioned, almost in passing, during the gibberish babble of constitutionalism-schmonstitutionalism in the Fox News video clip above... shhhhhhhhh.

Big Brother is watching, listening, observing, vaccinating, tracking, and since you are reading this, you are a [terrorist](#); your name is on the no-fly, no-eat, no-shop, no-ATM, no-parenting list – before our freedom-loving Xe storm troopers forcibly haul you away that is. [Drop dead!](#)

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=G8CNa_vikg0]

[All I wanna say is that they don't really care about us!](#)

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QNJL6nfu__Q]

Lyrics

Skinhead,deadhead
Everybody gone bad
Situation aggravation
Everybody allegation

in the suite, on the news
everybody dogfood
Bang bang shot dead
Everybodys gone mad

All I wanna say is that
They dont really care
about us
All I wanna say is that
They dont really care
about us

Beat me, hate me
You can never break me
Will me, thrill me
You can never kill me
Chew me, sue me
Everybody do me
Kick me kike me
Dont you black or
white me!

All I wanna say is that
They dont really care
about us
All I wanna say is that
They dont really care
about us

Tell me what has
become of my life
I have a wife and two
children who love me
I am the victim of police
brutality,now
Im tired of bein the
victim of hate,youre rapin
me of my pride
Oh for Gods sake
I look to heaven to ful-
fill its prophecy...
Set me free

Skinhead,deadhead
Everybody gone bad
Trepidation,speculation
Everybody allagation
In the suite on the news
Everybody dogfood

Black man black male
Throw the brother
in jail

All I wanna say is that
They dont really care
about us
All I wanna say is that
They dont really care
about us

Tell me what has become
of my rights

Am I ivisible 'cause you
ignore me?
Your proclamation
promised me free liberty now
Im tired of bein the victim
of shame
They're throwinme in a
class with a bad name
I cant believe this is the
land from which I came
The government dont
wanna see, but if
Roosevelt was livin he
wouldt let this be, no,no

Skinhead,deadhead
Everybody gone bad
Situation,specultaion
Everybody litigarion
Beat me,bash me
You can never trash me
Hit me,kick me

You can never get me

All I wanna say is that
They dont really care
about us

All I wanna say is that
They dont really care
about us

Some things in life they
just dont wanna see

But if Martin Luther was
livin,he wouldnt let
this be no,no
Skinhead,deadhead
Everybodys gone bad
Situation Segregarion
Everybody allagation

In the suite on the news
Everybody dogfood
kick me,Kike me
Dont you wrong or
right me

All I wanna say is that
They dont really care
about us

All I wanna say is that
They dont really care
about us

All I wanna say is that
They dont really care
about us

All I wanna say is that
They dont really care
about us

All I wanna say is that
They dont really care
about us

All I wanna say is that
They dont really care
about us

Fast forward to 2020 NEWS – Microchipping all peoples of the planet:

- [March 21, 2019: DOJ seeks new emergency powers during coronavirus pandemic, including the right to detain people indefinitely without trial](#)
- [Bill Gates will use microchip implants to fight coronavirus \(copy\)](#)
- [MIT News December 18, 2019: Storing medical information below the skin's surface - Specialized dye, delivered along with a vaccine, could enable "on-patient" storage of vaccination history.](#)
- [ID2020: ID2020 is building a new global model for the design, funding, and implementation of digital ID solutions and technologies. The project is supported by the United Nations and has been incorporated into the UN's Sustainable Development Goals initiative. In alliance with: \[1\] Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance; \[2\] Accenture, a global management consulting and professional services firm; \[3\] the Rockefeller Foundation, since 1913, has sought to improve the well-being of humanity around the world, provided ID2020 with seed funding in 2017 and has been an ongoing supporter of ID2020's work; \[4\] Microsoft joined ID2020 in January 2018 as a founding partner.](#)

New Source URL: <https://web.archive.org/web/20200325050416/https://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2020/03/walk-down-2009-memory-lane-portent-2020.html>

Original Source URL: <https://web.archive.org/web/20200325050416/https://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/10/swine-flu-ultimate-revolution.html>

First Published October 1, 2009 | Reissued as Walk Down 2009 Memory Lane on March 21, 2020

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

The Plebeian Antidote to Hectoring Hegemons!

Chapter V

BIOWEAPON

or All Natural Zoonotic?

Why I Believe This is a Bioweapon:

Alice in Wonderland Dissected

Friday, March 20, 2020

Preamble

It is imperative that one understands the science as well as the social science that begs this assessment that coronavirus 2020 is a BIOWEAPON. Public evidence (i.e., what's publicly reported by “respectable” sources) before me suggests to my common man's nonconformist mind that it may well have been deliberately released and only aliased as being “accidental” as the alternate “acceptable” counterpoint to the official claim that it is zoonotic.

That it is not zoonotic, which is a mere official fairy tale, is no less obvious than the official fairy tale that Bin Laden was responsible for taking down tall buildings in the most armed to the teeth sole superpower in the world merely with box cutters on 9/11 by ramming hijacked airliners into them; or the fairy tale that Sadaam Hussein was about to attack the most armed to their teeth civilized

BIOWEAPON or All Natural Zoonotic?

Western world harboring their own most heinous weapons of mass destruction with his handful of illusive WMDs with the sales pitch from the US Secretary of Defence that “*absence of evidence is not evidence of absence*” followed by “*oops, intelligence failure*”, or the fairy tale that the synthetic terror of “militant Islam”, a concoction of the United States and its Western military alliance, was a threat to the world and needed the perpetual Global War on Terror; etceteras, was obvious from Day One.

Indeed, living comfortably in Alice in Wonderland is now the habit of the people of the United States of America who “united we stand” with any absurdity dished out to them by authority figures. Indeed, it is the habit of most anyone who has been educated in the Western system of indoctrination, the erudite pandemic of *Occidentosis*. The victims of this plague from the West include most of the slavish English enabled *Uncle Tom class* ruling the Third World nations or cheerleading Western democracy and its other chants and flags, who have become useful idiots, mercenaries, agents, assets, sayanim, and just plain stupid pawns of the Western *massa class*. This time, we are faced with a most diabolical global enemy, one that we can't see, touch, hear, or feel, until of course we are infected in appreciable numbers with more deaths of preferred white peoples than experienced over the last 20 years fighting the endless Global War on Terror, and now the world may finally be ready for the coup de grâce, unwilling acceptance of a world government under a new ruling state in the making.

I do still believe however that the final stage before world government can be fully cemented into earth history without remnant of public resistance, must still be a cosmological threat, alien invasion... but we shall see how far this microbial enemy can take us on the Hard Road to a New Global World Order.

Fool us once shame on you, fool us twice shame on us, fool us time and again with new crises while we sit by in childlike innocence, well, we surely deserve the butcher's knife! All sheep do when there is demand for mutton. Sheep are not known for their revolt against the habit of mutton eating. (Thank you Bertrand Rus bhai for that lovely idiom, how do you find *narg*?)

Source URL: <https://web.archive.org/web/20200320215157/https://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2020/03/why-i-believe-this-is-bioweapon.html>

I Believe This is a Bioweapon:

Statement on Corona virus 2020 lock-down in California

Wednesday, March 18, 2020, 4:00 pm

California is in lock-down since yesterday, Tuesday, March 17, 2020, with Shelter in Place order in effect in the county where I live. The threat to public health, and to individuals, and to the United States, and to the world, is real. This is NOT a hype. See: [Letter to Virologists and Infectious Diseases Experts on the Coronavirus Pandemic in the Making](#), February 2, 2020.

But I believe, and think, that corona virus is an accidental release from some BSL secured lab, don't know which one for sure. I do not believe any government is involved in releasing this contagion as a bioweapon on purpose. But they may be involved in cover-up of not just their own nation's lab from which the leak might have occurred. All nations who have BSL secured bioweapons labs worldwide, have a vested interest in pretending that this viral disease is zoonotic and not a lab release, lest the public opinion demand with the force of public demonstrations and non-cooperation worldwide, that all BSL bioweapons research labs, both in the military and in the civilian sector be shut down!

And if this pathogen was indeed deliberately released as a bioweapon to cause public harm, then I do not believe it is governments who are directly involved as the Chinese government has charged the US military and US government, but perhaps a rogue group within the national security state, and the elected government (or the fronted political one to be more precise) may officially not know anything about it.

Sort of like in Tom Clancy's and similar novels, and Hollywood movies depicting rogue agencies doing what the predator state wants to but cannot do openly, and which are used for conditioning and insensitivating the public psyche as is done in violent video games.

However, it is also an "accident" (and I shall use quotes to indicate that it does not matter whether it was actually an accident or deliberate moving forward) which may be capitalized with bioweapon-

BIOWEAPON or All Natural Zoonotic?

vaccines for agendas that have been long running, and this “accident” may end up being the gift that the eugenicist vaccine advocates have long been looking for.

But not all vaccines, only certain ones, and not everywhere, but wherever population reduction is planned in the most populous Least Developed Countries of the third and fourth world. This is why, nations of the third world, Iran, China, and others in the East and Asia, should embark on developing their own vaccines and not accept them from the developed nations in cautious wisdom.

Take a read of NSSM 200 and learn which countries of the world, which LDCs, Least Developed Countries with high populations and high birth-rates, were presented as a threat to the national security of the United States by Dr. Henry Kissinger, then Secretary of State, in 1974.

Add to these LDCs, to upgrade the threat of “useless eaters” to the Developed Western world in the year 2020, all of Africa and South America.

Take a read of the eugenicist literature --- there is plenty of it from very official looking, and very respectable looking, scientists and political thinkers. Begin with Bertrand Russell and his 1952 eugenics classic that tried to justify world government using the most sophistry laden justification, *Impact of Science on Society*. Move to our favorite, Dr. Bill Gates, the philanthropist lover of third world humanity extraordinaire, the lover of vaccines, the giver of grants to poor nations for vaccines giving a bad name to the Trojan horse gift of the Trojans to the defenders of Troy and from which the English language idiom got forged that every student in the English speaking world becomes acquainted with in at least fifth grade if not sooner, beware of Greeks bearing gifts. See Bill Gates' population reduction formula, disguised in carbon emission reduction formula, in his TED talk of many years ago.

The corona virus worldwide lock-down and governmental reactions is not a hype. This is a bioweapons grade pathogen that can have impacts upon those who become infected but don't die, that are as yet scientifically and empirically unknown. Only those who designed this cocktail of death in their labs understand it, perhaps. The dead may be the lucky ones as the gestalt transformation towards global governance, a one-world government, a new dystopia, appears imminent to me as a consequence of globally addressing this fourth horseman of apocalypse with common protocols now in effect. It may minimally lead to being another significant baby-step towards global governance of the planet on the Hard Road to World Order.

Read you fools... read the literature that is still not made inaccessible, but will become so, just as depicted by Aldous Huxley in *Brave New World*, and by George Orwell in *Nineteen-Eighty four*, to be

accessible only to the privileged few in the ruling hierarchy, and a thought crime for the rest.

Accidental or deliberate, nations and their public cannot take this contagion lightly. It is not a hype. I am surprised that some very learned observers of Machiavellian statecraft and psychological warfare operations persist in calling the viral disease a hype.

Fools! The hypes are yet to come when vaccines are introduced, and WHO becomes the sales-rep for big-pharma worldwide. The calculus of primacy is very complex and uber sophisticated. And so are the methods of demand creation. Hard reality of terror, like 9/11, and not mere soft perception, as in propaganda alone, is necessary for selling malware solutions, for invasions, for imperial mobilization, and this was the lesson learnt from previous contagion experiments. It was also a lesson learnt from the art of war, and one can also read it in Hitler's Mein Kampf. 9/11 was not a virtual explosion of tall buildings. It was very real. As were the invasions and bombings of Afghanistan and Iraq... Hard terror is necessary to achieve what soft terror alone cannot achieve.

Please follow official directives from the state to deal with this contagion.... but as a common man, I caveat it with: follow sensible directives that will not reduce you into servitude and will not be used to harvest you into perpetual slavery with poisoned apples.

For instance, there is a distinct possibility that all human being who have been vaccinated, will be tagged with RFID chips worldwide, in Vivo, or in bracelet form, or in some other ID form, or all of these forms depending on the culture and their sophistication in deploying the digital age in each nation. All the rest of the concerns raised in my 2009 Swine Flu Chronicles Report with respect to the purpose and impact of vaccines, and how these might also selectively be used as calculated bioweapons for targeted biowarfare on Untermenschen races, tribes, and nations, apply here directly. See: [Learning From 2009 Swine Flu History For What's Coming In 2020 Coronavirus Pandemic In The Making](#).

However, unlike the Swine Flu of 2009 which was indeed a hype, a test case of public reaction, a limited public trial if you will of the effectiveness of psyops, I fear, and believe, that this corona virus plague from the laboratory is a real pathogen, a real bioweapon. This is of course, just a common man's opinion.

Therefore, please check first with your FDA approved and licensed medical practitioner, the state authorities, and your clergy. Don't believe anything a common man says. Especially on a website. You are responsible for your own decisions.

BIOWEAPON or All Natural Zoonotic?

But do stay human, do not become animals. Take care of your friends and neighbors and your surrounding communities right alongside your own family.

If we are to die, either by the virus, or by the vaccine disguised as antidote, we die with dignity and self-respect. Not like beasts raiding stores with gun in hand, robbing pantries at gun point, and causing riots. The mettle of a nation, of its public, shows only in times of crises. While the movies may glorify their own nation, the real test of manhood, of humanity, is at hand.

Be a human being first. We were not born animals. We have agency, we have will, we have consciousness, we are spiritual beings, and we have values that are not beholden to survival of the fittest mantra, nor to the idiocy of Dawkinsian clans selling Secular Humanism. In all likelihood, these will be the first animals on the scene. Humanity will be displayed by the man of belief who does not believe in materialism being the only form of existence. By Jews, Christians, Muslims, Hindus, Buddhists, ...

Survival, but only with dignity and self-respect, and with courage and empathy, or thank you very much but I choose to die as a human being first.

Before then, I shall resist all the temptation to behave as an animal. And I shall resist all predators and their diabolicalisms. And I shall be of service to fellow man.

Make the pledge. Make the pledge now before animalism overtakes you. Teach it to your children. To your community. To your leaders.

Show them that they have not reduced us to the beasts they think we are, and therefore, are not useless eaters to be herded as cattle to reservations and to the slaughter house.

Read dear friends. Read. And learn. And sharpen your natural instincts against all predators of man.

God Bless.

Zahir Ebrahim,
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Source URL: <https://web.archive.org/web/20200320215316/https://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2020/03/i-believe-this-is-bioweapon.html>

Letter to Virologists and Infectious Diseases Experts on the Coronavirus Pandemic in the Making

February 2, 2020 11:00 am | Last updated February 2, 2020 9:00 pm

My [Statement on the Coronavirus Pandemic in the Making](#), and the epistemological problem it generates, namely: when presented with a “Virus” or its antidote “Vaccine”, how would honest virologists and noble practitioners of medical science working on infectious diseases detect that:

a) the pathogen strain (in its unattenuated killer form) is not manufactured in the laboratory and not released either by accident or deliberately and not masqueraded as zoonotic, specifically, on what scientific-investigative basis and forensic criterion might they rule that out with 100 percent confidence that it is not a biowarfare related pathogen bred in the laboratory (perhaps as demand creation catalyst for its antidote vaccine where the biowarfare is more controllable and targetable) ;

b) that its antidote vaccine (the attenuated form of the active virus that is usually patented along with its complex adjuvants to make the cocktail almost undecipherable by others for all its modalities and its long-term intent) is not part of biowarfare regimen, specifically, on what scientific-investigative basis and forensic criterion might they rule that out with 100 percent confidence that it is not biowarfare related for controlled targeting of civilian populations and/or enemy (keeping aside the questions of testing, efficacy and iatrogenicity, as well as big-pharma profits and their legal immunity from prosecution in lawsuits) ;

is appended below.

The urgency of this inquiry is underscored by the Presidential Proclamation from the White House on [January 31, 2020](#), on Suspension of Entry of those who Pose a Risk of Transmitting 2019 Novel Coronavirus to protect the good peoples of the United States, me, from the terror of this *fourth horseman of the apocalypse* which the Proclamation succinctly described as:

Coronaviruses are a large family of viruses. Some cause illness in people and others circulate among animals, including camels, cats, and bats. Animal coronaviruses are capable of evolving to infect people and subsequently spreading through human-to-human transmission. This occurred with both Middle East Respiratory Syndrome and SARS. Many of the individuals with the earliest confirmed cases of 2019-nCoV in Wuhan, China had some link to a large seafood and live animal market, suggesting animal-to-human transmission. Later, a growing number of infected individuals reportedly did not have exposure to animal markets, indicating human-to-human transmission. Chinese officials now report that sustained human-to-human transmission of the virus is occurring in China. Manifestations of severe disease have included severe pneumonia, acute respiratory distress syndrome, septic shock, and multi-organ failure. --- The White House, signed by President Donald Trump

The Presidential Proclamation speaks of “link to a large seafood and live animal market, suggesting animal-to-human transmission.” To a commoner's mind such as mine on whose behalf the virologists and noble scientists not just at the CDC and WHO, but worldwide, are slogging day and night to keep us safe from all forms of terror, it appears, by repeated empirical experience, that many a man and woman of science and medicine, and not just the politicians and presidents, harbor the most innocent worldview of viruses, vaccines and Zoonosis, as captured in the following depiction: **trusting innocence simply unable to grasp the instinct for primacy.**



Caption Perspective: Oh what a difference even a slight shift can make! (Image courtesy of Desiree L. Rover's Presentation on Vaccinations, August 1, 2009)

Such innocence is of course the hallmark of politicians. But is that innocence in science professionals even remotely possible? No, of course not, because the narrow-gauge super scientists are rather well-read in the Anglo-Saxon primacy literature, and certainly not in-bred with incestuous self-reinforcement on the fundamental axioms that is the principal source of their fundings, publishings, and professional practice. I wonder which virologist would continue to be employed in CDC / WHO / and big-pharma / foundation funded research labs who might, god forbid, reach the conclusion that there is something fishy about this whole pandemic business, that it is not entirely divorced from political theses of eugenics and social engineering, and proceeded to inform the public? Ignoring subsequently sleeping with the fishes of course.

Bioweapons arsenal and ongoing development is a reality that none can deny with a straight face. We have as many creative modalities of killing as there are mad scientists. The following is a passage from my 2011 medical report referenced in my Statement on the Coronavirus below:

The larger and more pressing concern is the calculated and premeditated infection with deadly biowarfare contagion developed in research laboratories and injected with vaccines into human bodies under the deceit of healthcare (often in forced vaccination programs) – **biological-bullet** vaccine weapons that directly provoke the disease and its epidemic dispersion; vaccines as combination weapons (vaccines that become a weapon when combined in the

same person to trigger the immune system but not weapons by themselves) that induce the body's immune system to fight and kill its own healthy cells and tissues – **auto-suicide** binary vaccine weapons; vaccines which affect only certain types of people with specific DNA or specific biological or immunological characteristics for selective genocide in the same or offspring generation – **targeted-genocide** binary weapons; etceteras. It is in this context that vaccines may come to rival the proverbial *Deathstar* (from *Star Wars*, a single weapon of mass destruction at planetary scale). The killing modalities with vaccines are only limited by the mad scientists' collective imagination, and of course by the state of science. --- What's the truth about modern medicine? By Zahir Ebrahim

What is denied however, is that it is ever used. Those who deny it have obviously not studied the history of United States' bioweapons testing on unsuspecting civilian populations, or Great Britain's use of biowarfare in the trench wars of the twentieth century, or the white man's biowarfare on the indigenous populations of the North American continent exterminating over 10 million natives as barbarians. Quite a bit of that literature is in the public domain. A great deal more is classified state secret. And thus the aforementioned epistemological questions that must naturally arise to honest civilian scientists and concerned infectious disease researchers not directly involved in bioweapons, but rarely do. Their trained skeptical minds tend to become highly directional by the complete trust and faith they repose in their institutional gods that write their monthly paychecks.

I would welcome knowing of one such veritas scientist in the world who is part of established “respectable” science, comes up with answers to the aforementioned epistemological questions, reaches a different conclusion than the established worldview by just following the principles of science but with some detective wherewithal of the criminal mind borrowed from Sherlock Holmes and Hercule Poirot, and stays alive to inform the world about their detective work! Wouldn't that just be doing real science?

I shall continue sending this statement to prominent virologists and pertinent academics throughout the world, and at WHO, CDC, as I discover them and learn about their worldviews from their technical papers. Their intelligent analysis of this epistemological problem would surely be well-informed, expert, and should be the beacon of medical guidance for all lay persons like me who harbor a skeptical mind, putting to rest all this nonsense about biowarfare pathogens made in labs and only meant for Hollywood story-telling. There is of course no such crime against humanity in reality. And whether by commission (the actual criminals) or omission (all the innocent of knowledge domain experts who deem silence and ignorance to be the better part of valor), there is of course no

such thing as crimes against humanity. That is just victor's justice ex post facto. Before fait accompli, it is conspiracy theories of polymath nut-jobs and conscionable schizoids who have nothing better to do than be well-read and raise public alarm in self-defence.

Letter sent to the following (before I gave up on this futile exercise):

- **Dr. Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus**, Director-General, WHO, Feb 3, 2020 at 1:04 through 1:10 PM, via mediainquiries @who.int , via Dr. Fadéla Chaib chaibf @who.int , via Dr. Tarik Jasarevic jasarevict @who.int , via Dr. Christian Lindmeier lindmeierch @who.int, (no response from WHO)

Text: Kindly forward to the Director-General, WHO.

<https://sites.google.com/site/humanbeingsfirst/download-pdf/Letter-to-Virologists-and-Infectious-Diseases-Experts-on-the-Coronavirus-Pandemic-in-the-Making-ZahirEbrahim.pdf> . Thank you.

- **Dr Neil Ferguson**, Imperial College London, Feb 3, 2020 at 1:18 PM, neil.ferguson @imperial.ac.uk (no response)

Text: Hello. I read your Report 3: Transmissibility of 2019-nCoV, 25 January 2020, Imperial College London. Your inquiry approach is missing something that is of utmost importance to the well-being of the common man. I wish to draw your attention to the following letter on forensically dissecting coronavirus 2019-nCoV. FWIW: <https://sites.google.com/site/humanbeingsfirst/download-pdf/Letter-to-Virologists-and-Infectious-Diseases-Experts-on-the-Coronavirus-Pandemic-in-the-Making-ZahirEbrahim.pdf> I look forward to your comments to me and suggestions to your fraternity of specialists.

- **New Scientist magazine**, Feb 3, 2020 at 1:34 through 1:40 PM via newseditors @newscientist.com , catherine.delange @newscientist.com , kate.douglas @newscientist.com , tiffany.ocallaghan @newscientist.com , letters @newscientist.com (no response from New Scientist)

Text: I read several of your articles on the coronavirus epidemic which is fast

becoming a pandemic, the last one being: New coronavirus may be much more contagious than initially thought, 27 January 2020. All the coverage I have seen in New Scientist is missing something that is also of utmost importance to the well-being of the common man. I wish to draw your attention to the following letter on forensically dissecting coronavirus 2019-nCoV. FWIW: <https://sites.google.com/site/humanbeingsfirst/download-pdf/Letter-to-Virologists-and-Infectious-Diseases-Experts-on-the-Coronavirus-Pandemic-in-the-Making-ZahirEbrahim.pdf> I hope you can publish it. I can send it to you in any other format as well. Thank you.

- **Promed**, the Infectious Diseases Worldwide Experts mailing list and digest, promed@promedmail.org, Feb 1, 2020 at 3:16 PM and Feb 2, 2020 at 11:11 AM (censored – was it inappropriate to seek counsel from the most pertinent domain experts who should know?)

Text: Dear moderators ProMED: if you choose to not publish this on the digest, I would appreciate receiving a notice of why. Thank you.

- **Dr. Maimuna** (Maia) Majumder, Harvard Medical School Health Policy Data Science Postdoctoral Fellow and Virologist @childrens.harvard.edu, Feb 1, 2020 at 10:16 AM and Feb 2, 2020 at 11:18 AM (no response)

Text: Hello. I read an interesting statement in one of your technical papers on virology, something to the effect that let science determine vaccination. I don't recall the paper but that was my take-away sentence from it and the semantics has stuck in my mind. When I had read that, I couldn't have agreed with you more, except to make it more complete: let science and wherewithal (what we lay people call commonsense) determine it. I invite your intelligent comments on the following statement, and I invite you to read the report on Medicine and lend some scientific "commonsense" to it.

Statement on the Coronavirus Pandemic in the Making

January 30, 2020 2:00 pm

With the experiment in bioweapons grade viral dispersion and its subsequent control modalities in progress in China, for overarching context that is always missing from the public's reactionary discourses in all such experiments, I draw your attention to my [2011 study on the foibles of Modern Medicine](#). It lends the backdrop from my study of the [2009 Swine Flu vaccine experiment](#) initiated through Baxter “accidentally” releasing active contagion in its Swine Flu Vaccine that got detected and stopped in mid-stream by sheer luck (serendipity happens sometimes).

The China experiment is the next stage of this military-grade ops on unsuspecting population, or so it appears to me. Viruses don't just jump from animals to humans, which is the long running propaganda cover for experimenting with bioweapons and concomitant vaccination programs. And viruses certainly don't escape from BSL-3 (Swine Flu H1N1 virus of 2009) and BSL-4 (Novel Coronavirus of 2019-2020) level secured labs by accident, unless meant to escape.

I could be wrong of course... what does a common man know. The public mind must look to “experts” to inform it, right? Imagine the sheep asking the expert butcher if the knife he is sharpening is meant for spreading butter on toast and being satisfied by the response!

It may be too early to tell who is behind this new pandemic in the making, but nations and public is warned to not treat this as mere happenstance or “oops”, but as potentially a very lethal bioweapons social experiment and act accordingly to defend themselves. I don't know what that caution and self-defence should entail after the genie has been unleashed from the bottle. Check with your local physicians and WHO / CDC / representative for official divine guidance. Follow their experts' advice.

This [Report on Modern Medicine and its forensic examination, inter alia, of viruses and vaccines](#), is not medical or legal advice regardless of what it may state. It is only a layman's two cents, FWIW, to show you how easy it is to be taken every time. The cost to un caution and false premise in managing the pandemic crisis due to intense propaganda warfare and the Machiavellian making of the public mind, is irreversible to the public's well-being which no “oops” can makeup ex post facto.

To not treat this virus pandemic in the making – the inability to control the virus dispersion, its

remarkable virulence, its infection rate, its mortality rate (as publicly reported) – as anything but a diabolical social experiment would be unwise given the reality of the role of viruses and vaccines as biowarfare agents, and society's Machiavellian programming to create the public's own consent and demand for world government. This isn't as far fetched as the modern man of academic learning might think. The truth of this grotesque reality is easily demonstrated by this [2008 oped in the Financial Times: 'And now for a world government'](#).

It is simply naive to assume that vaccination – the programmed response to viruses – is purely a medical matter determined solely by science and the scientific process, and is devoid of all political agendas pursued by the oligarchy which owns both big-pharma and medical education, and also controls its regulation and administration at the global level through international bodies, to create an incestuously self-reinforcing closed loop of both theory and practice. Unless one understands that closed loop, it is difficult to entertain the idea that vaccination is a primacy driven ideology using science to enact that ideology under one pretext or another. Vaccinations, as the 2009 experiment in mass hysteria confirmed, is also a most effective mechanism for delivering viral payloads into human beings. Apart from spreading deadly pathogens in the name of pigs and bats and camels and monkeys with the scientific sounding explanation of Zoonosis to mask its biowarfare origins in laboratories, vaccination is another modality of biowarfare on unsuspecting civilian populations. Caveat Lector.

Report URL: <https://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/11/whats-thetruth-about-modern-medicine.html>

2009 Swine Flu vaccine experiment Report URL: <https://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/05/swine-flu-is-real-swine.html>

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org.

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

The Plebeian Antidote to Hectoring Hegemons!

Chapter VI

Learning From 2009 Swine Flu For What's Coming In 2020 Coronavirus Pandemic In The Making?

It's the same template and the same organizations for the same overarching agenda

First Published May 09, 2009 | Reissued February 07, 2020

Letter to Dr. Margaret Chan, Director-General, WHO, May 09, 2009

From: Zahir Ebrahim, Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

To: Dr. Margaret Chan, Director-General, WHO (Via your communications officers)

To: Cécilia Mazuy mediainquiries@who.int, Fadéla Chaib chaibf@who.int, Gaya Gamhewage gamhewageg@who.int, Thomas Abraham abrahamt@who.int

Date: Saturday, May 09, 2009, 1:33 AM PST

Subject: WHO Advisories

Dear Dr. Chan,

Learning From 2009 Swine Flu For What's Coming In 2020 Coronavirus Pandemic In The Making?

Hello. I write you respectfully to express immense concern, and without mincing words. I would like to draw your kind attention to the **letter to editor** which is reproduced below. It expresses grave reservations that the the World Health Organization is acting as a global sales-rep for vaccine manufacturers. I specifically draw your kind attention to the "[Note on abetting the Pandemic](#)" which is cited below.

I hope you might offer some cogent explanation, or refutation that can stand the test of commonsense and rationality. If you are unfamiliar with NSSM 200 (National Security Study Memorandum 200, April 24, 1974, written by Henry Kissinger) also noted below, I urge you to kindly perform your due diligence on the matter.

It is quite likely that you have become an unwitting pawn in the ruling oligarchs' game of population reduction. If you finally come to realize that through your due diligence, I urge you to make that information public from your high pulpit. At worst, you will lose your job. But your unconscionable inaction, or mere resignation in silence, will lead to a billion-plus exterminated, with WHO subsequently claiming all the credit for saving the rest. There is likely a Nobel Peace Prize lurking in there somewhere for all this 'saving'. And the cycle will repeat.

Minimally, as a conservative stance, I would hope that you will at least join me (one who is a nobody), in urging the developing nations to follow the rational recommendation noted in the letter below, namely, to break-free of the patent-strangulation regime by legal fiat, and manufacture their own vaccines as an existential matter for them.

It takes an uncommonly courageous Socrates to speak the truth, and to boldly invite the same fate. But your voice is far stronger than an ordinary plebeian's.

Sincerely,

Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Enclosure: [Letter to Editor: Response to 'Rich Nations Could Claim Most of Swine Flu Vaccine' May 07, 2009](#)

Letter to Editor: Response to 'Rich Nations Could Claim Most of Swine Flu Vaccine' May 07, 2009

May 07, 2009 | Updated May 08, 2009

In response to the Associated Press news bulletin of [May 06, 2009](#) by David Brown on rich nations could claim most of swine flu vaccine, I would suggest: **let them.**

While monopoly at legal-gun-point of patents-regime by rich nations on the basic seeds and germs of life is a legal travesty, and in my view a monumental global crime against humanity*, in this instance of pandemics, the matters have an additional dimension. One of calculatingly getting the unsuspecting 'untermensch' people to use inimical vaccination under pretext of pandemics to wreck a greater havoc. The overarching backdrop of this counterpoint is spelled out in Project Humanbeingsfirst's analysis of the pandemic titled "[The Swine Flu Chronicles 2009](#)" (<https://tinyurl.com/d4cg99>).

This agenda is underscored by the statement made today [May 08, 2009](#) by the Director-General of WHO, Dr. Margaret Chan, in her Address to the ASEAN+3 Health Ministers' Special Meeting on Influenza A(H1N1) in Bangkok, Thailand: ***"The world is better prepared for an influenza pandemic than at any time in history,"***. And in an earlier statement for public guidance issued on [May 01, 2009](#), [No rationale for travel restrictions,] WHO advisory had stated: ***"The focus now is on minimizing the impact of the virus through the rapid identification of cases and providing patients with appropriate medical care, rather than on stopping its spread internationally. Furthermore, although identifying the signs and symptoms of influenza in travellers can be an effective monitoring technique, it is not effective in reducing the spread of influenza as the virus can be transmitted from person to person before the onset of symptoms."***

So, when the good Director-General of WHO said that ***"world is better prepared for an influenza***

pandemic than at any time in history,” she actually meant through selling to the world's peoples – two-third of us in the developing nations – ***“appropriate medical care”*** on which the Western pharmaceuticals not only have patent monopoly, but also demand monopoly by the ***“rich nations”*** as noted by the Associated Press. WHO's statement on its 'scientific' preference to treat rather than interdict ***“the spread of influenza virus”*** is further unraveled in the Swine Flu Chronicles 2009, [“Note on abetting the Pandemic”](#).

Therefore, along with the scare-mongering Associated Press news bulletin, the import of the overarching statements emanating from the World Health Organization after all the wordsmithing is unraveled, appear to me to be of the pure psy-ops genre for demand creation for vaccines.

Attempt is being made to scare and cajole the developing nations – unsurprisingly also on the target list of [NSSM-200](#) (<https://tinyurl.com/cmrrp63>) for population reduction – into panicking and frantically demanding their share of the vaccines from the rich nations as the preferred WHO recommended solution to the 'Fourth Horseman of the Apocalypse'. Victims willingly demanding and paying for the poison – almost like J.P. Morgan deliberately cultivating a run on the banks to seed the First Great Depression.

Here, it is the work of the 'Mighty Wurlitzer'*** to seed the Great Population Reduction. There are many incremental stages to reach that ultimate culmination before these seeds of destruction might be fully harvested, just like there have been many incremental buildup-and-teardown-cycles to making America bankrupt by stages. It was seeded in the 1913 Federal Reserve Act by one generation of international banksters, before it could be harvested by another generation of international banksters, their own familial grandchildren, in the Great Bailouts of 2008-2009. And that too is only the prelude to the international banksters cementing their complete anaconda debt-grip upon the world which will culminate in their cherished dream of 'one-world' government, and a world population cut in half or less.

The only rational way out of this impasse is for self-respecting nations, ones run by ruling-elite who do care about their peoples, their culture, their heritage, their traditions, who represent the hopes and aspirations of their 'wretched of the earth' for a better future rather than a non-existential one, to urgently break out of this false-paradigm of destructive globalism legally forced upon them.

Boldly abrogate the patent-regime of profit-strangulation-destruction by exercising legal fiat domestically in every developing nation. Make your own vaccines, develop your own seeds, create your own 'WHO', and trade and partner among your own nations of the Global South to

create a massive trading bloc. Use that Global-South Alliance (GSA) to negotiate equitable and fair terms of trade with the rich white man's nations of the Global North who now hold all the power and thus dictate all the terms. Protect that decision of independence with your militaries if necessary – in a Full Spectrum Alliance – for, modern warfare runs silent, runs deep, just as it runs loud leaving deep craters in the tabula rasa of the 'lesser' humanity!

There is an interesting lesson in the Qur'an, inter alia, verses 13:11 and 52:14, once again drawing upon a spiritual wisdom to unravel modernity and to guide oneself into a commonsensical rational thinking mode that is being systematically killed off among the victims regardless of their religion and spiritual base. Rely on one's own forces (**“Verily never will Allah change the condition of a people until they change it themselves.”**). Since the enemy's modus operandi principally is *'divide et impera'*, so unite against that common enemy just as they unite amongst themselves behind institutions, foundations, and fortified treaties to divide and fight you, waging silent and loud wars by way of deception (**“They will not fight you (even) together, except in fortified townships, or from behind walls. Strong is their fighting (spirit) amongst themselves: thou wouldst think they were united, but their hearts are divided: that is because they are a people devoid of wisdom.”**).

Every civilization, including the Western peoples, will find much common ground in these timeless commonsensical general principles of the art of war and self-defense which recognize realpolitik of every bullying generation, to orchestrate both their foreign and domestic policies. For indeed, every heritage is surely replete with such pragmatic wisdom, as much as they are replete with lofty platitudes which have shown to have little effective power in the real-world run by devils' vicegerents who call themselves the 'Hectoring Hegemons', and who, by way of empiricism, can only be checked effectively with counterpower. Here is [Patrick Henry's](#):

“--we must fight! I repeat it, sir, we must fight! An appeal to arms and to the God of hosts is all that is left us! They tell us, sir, that we are weak; unable to cope with so formidable an adversary. But when shall we be stronger? Will it be the next week, or the next year? Will it be when we are totally disarmed, and when a British guard shall be stationed in every house? Shall we gather strength but irresolution and inaction? Shall we acquire the means of effectual resistance by lying supinely on our backs and hugging the delusive phantom of hope, until our enemies shall have bound us hand and foot? Sir, we are not weak if we make a proper use of those means which the God of nature hath placed in our power. ... It is in vain, sir, to extenuate the matter. Gentlemen may cry, Peace, Peace--but there is no peace. The war is actually

Learning From 2009 Swine Flu For What's Coming In 2020 Coronavirus Pandemic In The Making?

begun! ... Why stand we here idle? ... Is life so dear, or peace so sweet, as to be purchased at the price of chains and slavery? Forbid it, Almighty God! I know not what course others may take; but as for me, give me liberty or give me death!"

* Watch [Monsanto Sues](https://tinyurl.com/d8tptz) (<https://tinyurl.com/d8tptz>), [1300 Indian farmer suicide](https://tinyurl.com/6n599k) (<https://tinyurl.com/6n599k>), and read about [Vandana Shiva's odyssey](https://tinyurl.com/42qpnl) (<https://tinyurl.com/42qpnl>).

** [Mighty Wurlitzer](#): honorific of Frank Wisner, the first chief of political warfare for the Central Intelligence Agency, used to describe the C.I.A.'s plethora of front organizations and newsmedia stooges that he was capable of playing (like a great organ with many keyboards) for synthesizing any propaganda tune that was needed for the day. See '*The Mighty Wurlitzer: How the CIA Played America*' by Hugh Wilford, 2009. The fact that such Message Machine is not ancient history but very much current affairs, is underscored by this NYT headline "*Behind TV Analysts, Pentagon's Hidden Hand*", Sunday, [April 20, 2008](#).

Thank you.

Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Why to say 'No' to the Swine Flu Vaccine – The Conclusion from 2009

H1N1 Conclusion: Whether or not there is a real Swine Flu pandemic raging, whether or not it may be real biowarfare, or mainly psyops being waged upon

the unsuspecting world's public in combination with other fabricated global threats, I am saying 'NO' to Swine Flu Vaccination. All this heavy-handed hullabaloo appears to me to be yet another “*war on terrorism*” to fabricate a global pretext for quite a different agenda from the publicized one. The real agenda this time around being to inoculate the world public with something inimical in the guise of fighting the pandemic. This is more than simply an attempt to sell vaccines of dubious efficacy for hefty corporate profiteering. It suspiciously appears to be the new “*imperial mobilization*” of the long wished for population reduction agenda, ‘to “*prime*” populations worldwide’. The threat of the A/H1N1 virus killing some (or many) people may well be real even if manufactured, just like 911 – the “*new pearl harbor*” – was a real demonstration of fabricated threat by controlled demolition which killed many thousand people. But under these dubious 'sky is falling' conditions made to “*look like a great 'booming, buzzing confusion' to use William James' famous description of reality,*” the most rational and commonsensical course of action under conflicting claims of vaccine safety and efficacy backed by draconian 'police-state' policies to forcibly administer it: 1) take one's statistical chances with the “pandemic” rather than with its forced “cure”; 2) permit the human body's own natural defenses to fight the disease if infected, rather than be aided into oblivion by its purported helper, the vaccine! [See note on vaccination here.](#) [See note on microchip implants here.](#) [See final note: on fait accompli.](#) [See The Ultimate Revolution in the Making here.](#)

Preamble: Making sense of it all in full context



Swine Flu Song

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tbt_PuVAVTU]

“It’s a virus that we’ve never seen before. There’s no background immunity in the population and it is spreading from human to human, all of which has the potential for a pandemic.” -- Dr. Anthony Fauci, Director, U.S. National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (CNN, [April 29, 2009](#))

“I have decided to raise the current level of influenza pandemic alert from phase 4 to phase 5. ... All countries should immediately activate their pandemic preparedness plans. Countries should remain on high alert for unusual outbreaks of influenza-like illness and severe pneumonia. ... It is possible that the full clinical spectrum of this disease goes from mild illness to severe disease. ... From past experience, we also know that influenza may cause mild disease in affluent countries, but more severe disease, with higher mortality, in developing countries.” -- Statement by WHO Director-General, Dr. Margaret Chan [29 April 2009](#)

“WHO is not recommending travel restrictions related to the outbreak of the influenza A(H1N1) virus. Today, international travel moves rapidly, with large numbers of individuals visiting various parts of the world. Limiting travel

*and imposing travel restrictions would have very little effect on stopping the virus from spreading, but would be highly disruptive to the global community. ... Influenza A(H1N1) has already been confirmed in many parts of the world. **The focus now is on minimizing the impact of the virus through the rapid identification of cases and providing patients with appropriate medical care, rather than on stopping its spread internationally.** Furthermore, although identifying the signs and symptoms of influenza in travellers can be an effective monitoring technique, it is not effective in reducing the spread of influenza as the virus can be transmitted from person to person before the onset of symptoms. **Scientific research based on mathematical modelling indicates that restricting travel will be of limited or no benefit in stopping the spread of disease.** Historical records of previous influenza pandemics, as well as experience with SARS, have validated this point.” -- WHO Advisory, [May 01, 2009](#)*

*“... **[Population growth is] the gravest issue that the world faces over the years ahead.** ... It is not a world that any of us would want to live in. Is such a world inevitable? It is not sure but there are two possible ways by which a world of 10 billion people can be averted. **Either the current birth rates must come down more quickly or the current death rates must go up.** There is no other way.” -- Robert McNamara, Speech to International bankers as Head of the World Bank, [October 2, 1970](#). Also quoted by Antony Sutton in '[Trilaterals over America](#)', pg. 79*

Richard N. Gardner of the Council on Foreign Relations outlined the subversion processes for ending national sovereignty in CFR's Foreign Affairs in April 1974 in his article "[The Hard Road To World Order](#)" thusly (see Zahir's full deconstruction [here](#)):

“In short, the ‘house of world order’ will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.

Of course, for political as well as administrative reasons, some of these

specialized arrangements should be brought into an appropriate relationship with the central institutions of the U.N. system, but the main thing is that the essential functions be performed.

The question is whether this more modest approach can do the job. Can it really bring mankind into the twenty-first century with reasonable prospects for peace, welfare and human dignity? The argument thus far suggests it better had, for there seems to be no alternative. But the evidence also suggests some grounds for cautious optimism.” (pages 558-559)

And we observe today that a very real **“booming buzzing confusion”** uncannily abounds, usually coordinated by the **“central institutions of the U.N. system”**, amidst the usual platitudes of **“prospects for peace, welfare and human dignity”**.

Who can make any rational sense of all these global events in real time, and all compressed in a short decade initiated by the crisis of 911 – from global war on terror, to global financial collapse, to global warming and global 'carbon credits', to now global pandemic? Does it require a brilliant man or woman to do so? An Einstein? A Rosalind Franklin? I have reached the conclusion as an ordinary human being of no particular notable brilliance, that it perhaps does only take an un co-opted plebeian to do so. Below is my analysis of the global pandemic now intruding in our lives just like the 'war on terror'. An alert just came home from my kid's school outlining the procedures they will follow in case the school has to shut down. Several schools in the vicinity have already shut down. So what is it all about? If you go to the 'experts', you will surely learn it.

Therefore, while I am not a biologist, in the past few days, I have had to become one by necessity of being a parent, to protect my family; so I know only as much as the title prominently displayed on my forehead, which spells **'expert'**. Watch out for us 'experts' – we can suspend the laws of physics just because we say so. Our degrees, Nobel prizes, and elitists' applause are our credentials! So when an 'expert' from our learned ranks, one with known agendas written in our own hand writings but in other peoples' blood, brings you the news, look into it with great care and due diligence – lest you shortly regret having taken actions (or inactions) based upon it.

I find the afore-paraphrase of verse 49:6 from the Qur'an (the holy bible of the priests of 'Islamism', but for most Muslims to be left on the highest bookshelf for occasional dusting and annual pious rehearsing on death anniversaries and fasting) phenomenally insightful in unraveling current affairs (**“O ye who believe! if a wicked person comes to you with any news, ascertain the truth, lest ye harm people unwittingly, and afterwards become full of repentance for what ye have**

done.”).

However, since I don't know in general, who is wicked and who isn't, as wickedness today comes wrapped in holy white, science, experts, presidents, shills, and "errand boys", and since our times have shown that it is almost trivial to make patsies of even the most sincere peoples to serve the devil's agenda, I apply this wisdom to all news and all bearers of news, all science and all bearers of science, and I don't much care for what's stamped on their lauded forehead.

Atheists and Agnostics of course may prefer to rely on Bertrand Russell's discourse on commonsense to adjudicate wisely.

If only we, the 'untermenschen' of humanity, the 'cattle' being led to the slaughterhouse, had collectively substituted some iota of commonsense from the very day of 911 for all the mantras by experts who shilled and continue to shill, who killed and continue to kill, for empire, we surely wouldn't be here today, “... ***on the verge of a global transformation [awaiting] the right major crisis and the nations will accept the New World Order.***” Please read on to understand how the multitude of crises perch humanity on that very cusp of *global transformation* with its oft-stated agenda for [population reduction](#) (also see analysis of [NSSM 200](#)). The latter is evidentially accomplishable as germ-warfare not only on '[target ethnic groups](#)', but also on [global crop](#), and thus global food production. And all attributed to natural causes, happenstance, incompetence, or unforeseeable blunder.

In this pandemic which is so deliberately being allowed to spread to other regions of the world, we might well be looking at the formation of a pretext for a new global central authority to supersede the largely advisory WHO, as direct global controllers of global public health, just as is now proposed for global central-banking to control global economics, global carbon credits to control global production (emissions), and the global war on terror which has already become the global truth du jour to control and deny essential liberties, all together constituting a global police state. '*One-world government* if you will (see Gideon Rachman's Financial Times Oped [And now for a world government](#), December 08, 2008). In another 100 years, perhaps only 20, even to fart would require some 'fart-credit' from a global authority as it monitors the affluent on its ubiquitous sensors triggered off [RFID implants](#) in all that is living, and all which is commodified!

Some of our generation, caught *Between the Two Ages* (see Zbigniew Brzezinski's [Between Two Ages](#), 1970), experience that angst in anticipation of global servitude to the Pharoahnic oligarchs. But our grandchildren will be taking it as their normal way of life without a second thought, despite their yoke of chains from uterus to grave. And '*that's just the way it is*' being planned.

To derail it today, as well-nigh a fait accompli as it appears, is still far easier than it will ever be in the future – until such time when another Age will finally beckon. When, once again, **“two cultures and religions [will] overlap ... when a whole generation [will be] caught in this way between two ages, two modes of life,”** to resist change, but the new will finally overthrow the old at great human cost and bloodshed. No empire lasts forever.

Note on abetting the Pandemic

The American Heritage Dictionary defines Pandemic as: *'Medicine: Epidemic over a wide geographic area and affecting a large proportion of the population: pandemic influenza.'*

The rapid spread of the swine flu 'H1N1' epidemic to other parts of the world is largely through Air Travel. With all the Homeland Security Apparatus so ubiquitously deployed at all the International Airports of the world to fight the global 'war on terror' against the 'Islamists', it ought to be rather trivial during check-in at departure points at all the international airports in the world to ascertain if a traveler has been to Mexico and deny boarding (for the immediate near term). The same type of form that is used during entry into the United States, and into most other countries, which asks the traveler questions on their whereabouts can be used at departure points, augmented by the same type of questions that are asked to fight the 'war on terror': *did you pack your own bags, do you know anyone who has been to Mexico recently?* And repeated a few times through the many steps of screening where they force you to take off your shoes, blow air up your skirt, and get their jollies looking at your imperfections through body imaging to identify 'suspicious' peoples who need to be interviewed further, before being let on board.

They do this routinely – I am subjected to it frequently whenever I travel – why not here? They can trivially shutdown schools as preventive measure and inconvenience thousands of students domestically, as noted in this [AP report](#) of May 02, 2009 (cached [here](#)): *“The Education Department said that more than 430 schools had closed affecting about 245,000 children in 18 states. ... The*

government issued new guidance for schools with confirmed cases, saying they should close for at least 14 days because children can be contagious for seven to 10 days from when they get sick.” But they cannot detect and prevent a handful of travelers from getting on the plane through judicious assessment? Given the seriousness of the matter by their own admission: ***“It’s a virus that we’ve never seen before. There’s no background immunity in the population and it is spreading from human to human, all of which has the potential for a pandemic.”*** If the authorities were really concerned about the pandemic, a sensible human being among them would surely have reflected that some obvious policing and restrictions at points of embarkment would detect most of the obvious first order virus-carriers who are now reported, after the fact, to have been to Mexico. This is the low-hanging fruits of the tree which are not being plucked! It is interesting that almost all confirmed cases occurring in other countries of the world outside of Mexico and the United States, are directly traceable to visitors who have been to Mexico, or came in contact with someone who arrived from Mexico (see [FluTracker](#) and click on the balloons).

It does not take a rocket scientist to figure out that the pandemic can be immediately mitigated by deploying a rational commonsensical first-order check on Departure Airports where the infrastructure to do so is already in place! This is the same sort of commonsense that is seen missing in all the crises since 911 which are subsequently blamed on incompetence, happenstance, blowback, complexity, unforeseeability, etc., and for which some [global solution is hurriedly proposed](#). In fact, its immediate analogy is to both 911 and the financial crisis: the many deliberate stages (see [Monetary Reform Bibliography](#)) which were setup to calculatingly lead to the banking collapse, the solution to which, now the same financial ruling elites claim is a global monetary system in the hands of the same banksters. Similarly, according to the 'official dissent' to the official story of planes highjacking, the authorities deliberately monitored the highjackers for months rather than interdict them before 911 for various justifications. Their not heeding many a warning coming from intelligence sources is deemed “incompetence”, and the rationale for the attacks, “blowback”. The one common sacred-cow axiom among all these manufactured explanations: 911 was an invasion from abroad, the work of 'Islamist terrorists', rather than an inside job for [“imperial mobilization”](#). The same thing is happening here: Pigs are being blamed as the first-cause prime-movers of this epidemic, and various justifications employed to monitor its spread, rather than interdict its carriers to prevent it from becoming a pandemic.

Whether it is the pigs in the pen or in the lab who unleashed it, the first-cause of swine flu in becoming a pandemic is evidentially the traveling-humans (not pigs, unlike the case of bird flu where birds are the prime cause of its spread), flying their payload from country to country in airplanes after passing through international airports which are controlled tighter than Fort Knox.

Therefore, the following advisory by WHO on “[No rationale for travel restrictions](#)” is not only irrational, and their justification specious, but outright criminal, for it is directly abetting in the spread of the pandemic in the name of science and mathematics. The same sort of “**Scientific research based on mathematical modelling**” gibberish was used to sell mega-loans to the poor developing nations to put them under massive debt to the [World Bank](#), and then let the IMF let loose upon them to plunder at will (also see John Perkins' [EHM](#), page 101, 2004). The same sort of “**Scientific research based on mathematical modelling**” gibberish that is used to teach modern economics to generations of IVY and LSE students to justify making the gluttonous banksters richer and richer through their calculatingly induced [credit-expansion-contraction-cycles](#) (just as famously predicted by [Thomas Jefferson](#)) under their direct monopolistic control of the global [central banking syndicate](#). And the same sort of “**Scientific research based on mathematical modelling**” gibberish that was employed by [NIST](#) and [Popular Mechanics](#) which 'proved' the absurd 'pancake collapse' of the WTC towers ([see latest reality-check here](#)). All the murderous pretexts are compressed in one short decade. What's the rush? Isn't the new 'one-world' empire supposed to last a thousand years?

Today, as yesterday, one can find legions of scholars, scientists, Nobel Laureates, and many an erudite “[errand boy](#)” swearing by these “**Scientific research based on mathematical modelling**”, while humanity is starved, robbed, decimated, victimized, and now gratuitously subjected to pandemics that are [manufactured in Western laboratories](#) [Experts fear escape of 1918 flu from lab] for the oligarchs' [global population reduction agenda](#).

A mathematics that is deployed in social sciences and which fails the test of commonsense, is a knife calculatingly constructed and sharpened to slaughter the sheep, not to pair fruit.

I respectfully challenge WHO to rise to the occasion despite being an agency of the United Nations, constructed by the financial oligarchs, to calculatingly orchestrate world governing bodies and global structures. I ask its respected Director General, Dr. Margaret Chan, who is entirely and monopolistically determining global policies which affect all nations – her 'advisory' is gospel for almost every country – to give humanity some respite, for she may not be aware of the overarching agendas any more than any other highly compartmentalized black-ops' unwitting executioners.

Note on CDC Reported Numbers

There is much discrepancy in reportage. The [FluTracker](#) using its own heuristics shows the spread of disease faster than officially reported. [This report](#) (cached [here](#)) by Reuters noted “176 deaths” in Mexico on April 30, 2009, whereas the officially reported “laboratory confirmed” deaths in Mexico as of May 05, 2009, according to WHO Advisory [Update 16](#), is still only 29. The [FluTracker](#) shows “fatal cases” in Mexico to be 105 on the same date (20:41 EDT). Why this count anomaly?

See [this report](#) dated April 30, 2009, to understand one possible reason for the discrepancy in number of reported deaths, and [this remarkable disclosure](#) dated May 01, 2009, for why not to put too much faith in such 'official' reportage, as *“The specimens are coming in faster than they can possibly be tested,” ... CDC lab can only test about 100 samples a day.’ The latter report sums it up: ‘Why does any of this matter? Because people are under the great misimpression that H1N1 has killed “only” 7 people (or 10 as of today, as the CDC has added 3 deaths to its “confirmed” list). Therefore, people say, it’s no big deal.’*

This [reporter's experience](#) in New York City suggests the same: *“I called New York City authorities, who told me they don't need to test everyone with the A/H1N1 virus and can't. They said they test only to identify and contain areas of outbreak.”* The reporter, JOE LAURIA, Wall Street Journal's United Nations correspondent, who apparently caught the flu himself and spent 4 days in isolation in a New York hospital, describing his travails in [“Reporter Tries to Get Tested for Swine Flu”](#), dated May 04, 2009, quotes Don Weiss, director of surveillance in New York City's bureau of communicable disease: *“There are probably 10,000 people with the flu in New York, [w]e just don't have the capacity to test that. People with the flu should stay home and call their doctor.”* Lauria further paraphrased Weiss: *‘The only place in the world where testing is being done is at the federal Centers for Disease Control and Prevention in Atlanta, where a test had to be designed and produced for the new flu strain.’*

The following is illustrative. The [FluTracker](#) map shows **“1069 confirmed cases”** in the United States as of May 6, 2009 08:08 EDT. The WHO advisory [update 17](#) of May 06, 2009 reported **“403 laboratory confirmed human cases”** by the CDC in the United States. According to the FluTracker website: *“The map was compiled using data from official sources, news reports and user-contributions.”* Zooming into the map shows individual case stories. For instance, Missouri shows **“2 confirmed cases”**, both in its Howard County as of May 2, 2009. The [link](#) provided to the news

report states: *“The two cases were found during testing of specimens sent to the State Public Health Laboratory by doctors whose patients reported flu-like symptoms. Tests showed that the flu virus afflicting the two patients is a previously unseen type. Specimens from each patient will be sent to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention for confirmation that it is the swine flu that has now spread to at least 20 states, including Missouri.”*

Therefore, when the FluTracker map annotated Missouri with **“2 confirmed cases”**, it actually meant two *“patients reported flu-like symptoms”* which was confirmed by *“State Public Health Laboratory”* to be of *“previously unseen type”* virus. But the viral type has not yet been positively identified as 'H1N1' which only CDC is capable of doing (as per [“Reporter Tries to Get Tested for Swine Flu”](#) and [this](#)), and the specimens will be sent off to CDC for confirmation. As one can easily see, this kind of information can be quite useful provided one is able to discern hearsay from facts, as was possible to do in this instance. Thanks to [Dr. Henry Niman](#) for voluntarily compiling this data in the FluTracker.

Note on vaccination

In general, vaccination, when the intent of the health authorities is to preserve and protect, rather than to infertilate and eliminate, is only dangerous with respect to its side-effects. That is a matter of normal practice of modern medicine and pharmacology (the study of how drugs act on biological systems) – the intent being rooted in the 'Hippocratic Oath' to genuinely help people live longer and disease-free lives, and to minimally do 'No Harm' through medical intervention. Many a routine childhood vaccine (used to) come under this category. The evidence of the efficacy of vaccination is empirical – longer lifespans, elimination of many childhood diseases. [1]

However, when the health authorities are themselves beholden to powers from above, and their intent is suspect under certain circumstances, such as the case of the long standing United Nation's agenda for population control, fertility control, and ultimately, population reduction (as for instance

outlined by Henry Kissinger in the now declassified [National Security Study Memorandum NSSM-200 of April 24, 1974](#)), the advice of the health authorities may not be trusted, especially when it is international. Even if, such advice is given with the best of intention by the peons (Doctors) working in those institutions. These specialists are merely the 'errand boys' doing their compartmentalized jobs for which they have trained as narrow experts. They are part of the establishment, and their rewards and careers are rooted in unquestioningly following the mainstream practice of medicine which is entirely run under the aegis of central organizations like the FDA and the AMA. So who can one go to for advice to ask what actual chemical cocktail is in a vaccine?

I tried that for the Hepatitis B vaccine which was needed for my kid in order to volunteer at a local hospital. I consulted all who were in reach of my phone, and I am rather well connected by plebeian standards. All I was informed was what they were themselves told by the FDA, or had read in the brochures that were dropped off at the doctors' front office by the pharma-reps.

So what's wrong with FDA (and pharmaceuticals) running the show? Well, they are beholden to many a corporate interest, atop of which, sit the Rockefeller interests which control much of the global pharmaceutical industry (see Eustace Mullins, *Ibid.*) and their research in drugs and in patenting life, which in turn plugs in seamlessly with the bioweapons research interests and primacy agenda of the national security state. [2] The interlocking is so complete among the various participants, from academia to industry to weapons labs, and also so opaque, that vaccines are like a cookie. One just can't know what's in them, or why different chemical/molecular formulations exist in a particular vaccine, or their long term impact, even if one is a well-intentioned scientist in a relevant discipline. Probably the only ones to really know are the those who perfected them in the research labs and they aren't gonna tell you – patents of course, but more pertinently, classified information. Even giving samples of [bird flu vaccines](#) [US controls bird flu vaccines over bioweapon fears] to other nations deemed hostile to the United States and Western interests, is considered a threat to its national security! So vaccines can evidently be agents for both short and long term [biowarfare](#) [Germ warfare `could target ethnic groups'] as much as they can be antidotes for diseases. Under the best of circumstances, under non-mala fide intent as stated earlier, only its harmful side-effects and efficacy are rational determinants in the decision making of whether to take it or not. Religious beliefs are beyond the realm of this analysis.

Therefore, most people base their decision on trust in these governing bodies – that they would not deliberately harm them (inadvertently perhaps, for profit motive surely, but not [calculatingly to implant an RFID chip inside them on contrived pretexts](#), or reduce the fertility rate among an ethnic group or entire population). This trust occurs for the same reason as the Americans' "United We

Stand”.

Under such dubious circumstances, avoiding vaccination, especially of potentially biowarfare related pathogens, is minimally a double edged sword. Since one is not privy to what's in the vaccine, therefore, unless one can get it from the same batch as taken by the Rockefellers, the Rothschilds, and Henry Kissinger, taking one's chances with the statistical odds becomes a commonsensical prudence for those not in that club.

Also see Zahir's comments of May 07, 2009 under [Rich Nations Could Claim Most of Swine Flu Vaccine](#).

Footnotes

[1]: Eustace Mullins offers a rational counterpoint to this position in his carefully researched book [“MURDER BY INJECTION The Story of the Medical Conspiracy Against America”](#), 1988, wherein he argues that vaccination (immunization) is outright responsible for many of modern systemic diseases, and that it was the Rockefeller interests who pushed it upon America (and through their control of the UN upon the rest of the world). That blanket position on vaccination being a poor medical practice ab initio, a quackery, stands on its own and is not considered nor challenged here. The point of focus in this note is decision-making under possible mala fide intent (not quackery) when people do follow and accept the conventional practice of vaccination – as I do. Perhaps a rethinking is required there too, but I haven't researched quackery as yet! [This video documentary](#) by Dr. Leonard G. Horowitz about medical madness, cloaked in bioterrorism preparedness, certainly leads in that direction. So does this video documentary [Vaccination - The Hidden Truth](#) made by the [Vaccination Information Service](#). It is not clear to me if these opinions are confusing due process of medical science which does entail a complex cost-benefit-[risk](#) statistical analysis for public-health that is surely plagued by failings in commonsense and excessive greed when led by large pharma, with the political abuse of medical science in general to alias hidden war agendas on unsuspecting populations. My immediate concern is the latter which includes an entire gamut of political abuse, from eugenics to GMO foods to epidemics – which harvests justifications and techniques from science and technology – all for population culling and elimination. It's akin to abusing Islam to create the fabled enemy of 'Islamism' for a war-making agenda – whether or not there is some inherent deficiency in the religion is irrelevant and orthogonal to its political abuse for “imperial mobilization”.

[2] For the relationship between state sponsored terrorism and its instrument of biowarfare as silent-


wars inflicted upon civilian populations, see Francis Boyle's Faculty Lecture on [Bio/Warfare/Terrorism/Weapons](#), April 18, 2002, and his book [Biowarfare and Terrorism](#), 2005; also see Stephen Lendman's excellent bird's-eye view in [The Bush Administration's Secret Biowarfare Agenda](#), July 28, 2008. The fact that the ***"WHO is sending 2.4m courses of antiviral treatment to 72 nations around the world, Dr Ryan said, among them many developing countries"*** ([BBC News](#), May 02, 2009), is cause for serious alarm given the primacy objectives of the national security directives of the United States Government coupled with its long standing agenda of population reduction in developing nations. The document [NSSM 200](#) provides only a peak into the primacy thinking of hectoring hegemonies, and while that has been declassified, what remains classified is hinted at by the impetus for full spectrum hegemony outlined in PNAC and evidenced in all the wars and global crises since 911. All leading to a New World Order of the globalists in which their nihilistic social Darwinianism will dictate both their universal morality to be enforced, and the universal imperatives by which to do so. Those very imperatives are being implemented unchallenged as I write this. This is the most bizarre aspect of this grotesque modernity – victim nations and populations willingly being led to the slaughter! Also see ["Population control, US bio-weapons research, McNamara, Rockefeller, and AIDS"](#), especially [Addendum3](#) where the following observation is noted:

“... there are many many instances where the World Health Organization – which is again largely funded by the Rockefeller Foundation, Ford Foundation, and the Rothschild group in London – to absolutely control populations for specific political purposes worldwide, ... [fabricate] specific programs designed to kill large amounts of people, and it does this, in every single case, by means of vaccination introduction. **We can see a pattern, a modus operandi, in this: Introduce a vaccine to unsuspecting population centers, cause massive sickness, massive death, massive panic, massive chaos, and then they step in and have the agenda completed.** It's the same old problem-reaction scenario: They create the problem, they dictate the response, and then they have the prescribed action already made.”

Postscript 2010: 'Reconstruction of a Mass Hysteria – The Swine Flu Panic of 2009'

Saturday, March 13, 2010

Fortuitously for mankind, the 2009 Swine Flu Pandemic “clinical trial” actually was defeated by circumstances which could not be controlled by the vaccination committees peddling the pandemic despite all their advanced preparations. Even the DER SPIEGEL staff could only conclude in their best innocence after their exhaustive study which appeared in their March 12, 2010 issue: **“No one at the WHO, RKI or PEI should feel proud of themselves. These organizations have gambled away precious confidence. When the next pandemic arrives, who will believe their assessments?”**



Caption Reconstruction of a Mass Hysteria – The Swine Flu Panic of 2009

Learning From 2009 Swine Flu For What's Coming In 2020 Coronavirus Pandemic In The Making?

(image source courtesy of [DER SPIEGEL staff](#))

'Indeed', voiced Zahir Ebrahim of Project Humanbeingsfirst.org, the *plebeian antidote to hectoring hegmons*, and he was overheard by the “[*anti-vaccine movement*] **Crazy people who think the vaccine will kill you**” while administering that one word coup de grâce to the Council on Foreign Relations and its financiers for their incestuous disinfo session on [Pandemic Influenza](#) held on October 16, 2009 in New York.

To understand the prescience with which the coup de grâce was so swiftly administered by “**Crazy people**” in realtime rather than DER SPIEGEL's belated catchup analysis of [Hysteria vs. Reality](#) in its 'limited hangout' variation, please see: [The Swine Flu Chronicles 2009: Why to say 'No' to the Swine Flu Vaccine](#).

To acutely comprehend the vagaries of 'limited hangout' as an essential political science instrument of Machiavellian statecraft when massive covert-ops go awry, please see: [Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory](#).

The United States and the EU Governments, as the front errand boys of the oligarchs, are guilty of a monumental conspiracy against the people of the world. Twice now their fabricated crises have unarguably come unraveled before the public's eyes – global warming, and global epidemic – each time leaving behind the legacy of hurriedly enacted laws which piece-meal move the world closer to “**an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece.**” The Catastrophic Terrorism of 911 which has been perched at the cusp of controlled demolition since [D-Day](#) – and the body of draconian laws and military invasions stemming from it which have already seeded the first truly global police state in the history of mankind since the “**World government could only be kept in being by force**” – is finally also making its way into the [Beltway's own MSM](#).

The next manufactured global crises or Catastrophic Terrorisms to be inflicted upon mankind, after food and water shortages, may well be alien landings, and/or interplanetary collision, in conjunction with the already planned nuclear world war with Iran and Russia in the midst of an orchestrated currency collapse, so as not to lose any of the rapid momentum to the unified one-world order. 2009 was its first year of formal public unveiling, termed [Global Governance](#):

[Global Governance - EU President Admits One-World Government is Here](#)

[<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QEqFtVrAgSo>]

Learning From 2009 Swine Flu For What's Coming In 2020 Coronavirus Pandemic In The Making?



And yet, [none still dare call it conspiracy!](#)

Full Report The Swine Flu Chronicles 2009:

<https://web.archive.org/web/20190203032811/http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/05/swine-flu-is-real-swine.html>

Postscript 2010 URL: <https://web.archive.org/web/20190203045527/http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/03/swineflu-postscript-hysteria-vs-reality.html>

Source URL: <https://web.archive.org/web/20200321191053/https://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2020/02/learning-from-2009-swine-flu-history.html>

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

The Plebeian Antidote to Hectoring Hegemons!

Chapter VII

Swine Flu: That Great Debate of 2009 – A Portent Template for Pandemic 2020 Debate

Swine Flu: That Great Debate of 2009

Swine Flu: Fait Accompli

He had already said “[It's fait accompli](#)” --- vs. --- She consistently lied “[It's voluntary](#)”

The merit of the [CASE IS IRRELEVANT](#) because the [mercenaries](#) and vulgar propagandists'
[half truths always triumph over full lies!](#)



Gary Null Speaking Out at the NYS Assembly Hearing | 10-13-2009

[<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=y3XIJB7J5-o>]

The 'empire' plays to win strategically and can't be derailed by a handful of reactionary truth tellers after the manufactured crises have been affected – for had truth-telling any efficacy, Socrates might have been handed a trophy instead of the Hemlock, and Jesus the kingdom instead of the cross. The key to the success of Hegelian Dialectic(s) over time, is the diabolical *Fait Accompli* sewn in baby-steps. The impact of the invasion of Iraq upon its peoples cannot be reversed by withdrawing the American troops anymore than the impact of the Pandemic can be reversed by arguing whether the vaccine is good or bad. The Pandemic has already created its intended *fait accompli* – for, 90% of the masses are not only ready today to take the vaccine but also demanding it. The secretive agenda probably is to inoculate at least 50% of the targeted populations in this generation, priming them for at least two things: microchipping, and staging for long term population reduction/culling through some complex biowarfare protocol. They will surely achieve 70 to 80 percent success towards that goal in this round of the pandemic pandemonium. And this is a conservative estimate. That's pretty damn remarkable social engineering for one baby-step *fait accompli*. More baby-steps to come. The overarching agenda for world-government and its multi-dimensional goals is long-term, across multiple generations, and hinges on irreversibility of small baby-steps to eventually raise the complete Phoenix of the New Atlantis from the systematically burnt ashes in stages of the old order. Under the guise of this Pandemic look how much has already been achieved: the immense loss of national sovereignty to WHO. Under its level-6 Pandemic alert, WHO now controls the emergency response stratagems in all nations that are signatory to its convention. That's most of the world!

As validation of what is stated above, just look at the long lines demanding the vaccine after the declaration of emergency by the President of United States.



And the mass psychology driving the long lines is an [Edward Bernays](#) special. In [CFR shill's own very perceptive words](#) of October 16, 2009:

“... Having said that, does it work to mandate [vaccination]? I think what

would work better would be to say that there was a shortage and people tend to buy more of something that's in demand. (Laughter.) We saw that -- there was one season where, really, people lined up all night to get a flu shot.

... we thought we were going to need two doses for every adult and since we are – only one dose, so, actually, we have twice as many doses and enough for the whole population at this point, I understand.”

Watch the entire incestuously-reinforcing CFR disinfo session on [Pandemic Influenza](#) this past October 16, 2009:



No one from the learned audience in the above video asked the distinguished panel of experts carefully assembled by the CFR (whose bios, it was explicitly stated, is on the web and “*in your packets if you are here*”), that how did they suddenly learn that now the public needed only a single doze of inoculation, when just a few months ago it was being heavily promoted as requiring multiple dozes?


No one asked them that where did they get the new scientifically valid data (as opposed to anecdotal one) to change their expert recommendations so rapidly within the span of just a couple of months, when the vaccines for this new pathogen are themselves very new and haven't been around long enough to carry out controlled double-blind studies of their efficacy over the long term to know how many dozes are needed – since the damn virus itself is new and never seen before on planet earth?

And if the older vaccines are imagined by their manufacturers to be very broad-spectrum, as the President of the United States presumed when he ordered several billion dollars worth without testing on the actual new virus, then why did the officials not know how many dozes will be needed just a few months ago? What new data was received in the span of just a few short months between the summer and fall?

And the most crucial aspect to this question, no one asked the distinguished panelists who spoke in all sorts of foreign accents, that if, by their own admission, this 2009 virus is **“novel”** and **“lacks certain signatures which other pandemic influenza viruses have ... which suggest that this virus is not a 1918 like virus ... or 1957 or 1968 virus”**, then they could not have possibly known of the older vaccines' efficacy on this new virus a priori any more than the newly patented vaccines, and therefore, when did they actually test the vaccines on the new virus and upon whom?

Because, practically speaking, how could they have tested the vaccines on the new virus (for any length of time) when they didn't even know, and surely now don't know, who actually has the virus and who doesn't since **“the CDC abruptly advised states to stop testing for H1N1 flu, and stopped counting individual cases”** way back in [late July 2009](#)?

“(CBS, Oct. 21, 2009) If you've been diagnosed “probable” or “presumed” 2009 H1N1 or “swine flu” in recent months, you may be surprised to know this: odds are you didn’t have H1N1 flu. In fact, you probably didn’t have flu at all. That's according to state-by-state test results obtained in a three-month-long CBS News investigation.”



CDC GUESSTIMATED H1N1 CASES and REFUSED CBS FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT REQUEST !

[<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zcHdmnTbH9Q>]

So, where did the clinical testing data magically come from between the summer and fall, for the expert's statement: **“we thought we were going to need two doses for every adult and since we are – only one dose,”** – [except out of thin air](#) no differently than the missing WMDs in Iraq after the domain experts from that officialdom had [similarly asserted](#) that their “data” incontrovertibly indicated that these were there and about to be launched upon the United States unless the superpower

invaded Iraq with the most absolute “shock and awe” immediately?

But the ubiquitous Dr. Nancy Snyderman of NBC says that **“this is one time there is no conspiracy, listen to our government agencies, these guys are telling the truth. You know there is no conspiracy here folks. Get your damn vaccine.”** Should we [believe her](#) and dreadingly also await the inevitable ex post facto [“oops!”](#) for this new nemesis of mankind?

Obviously not one science person in the audience, and those supposedly calling in their questions on Twitter, knew how to add 2 + 2 to become skeptical of the panelists' techno-babble gibberish anymore than any mainstream scientists have been of the [official NIST report](#) on the how the WTC towers catastrophically collapsed into their own footprints due to a short fire. No one among the questioners straightforwardly suggested to the expert CFR panelists that as per their own admission (quoted above), the simplest convincing explanation appeared to be their own one made in jest, that they were indeed artificially manufacturing demand for the swine flu vaccines for their own agendas!

But that's not the end of straightforwardness if one was actually permitted and inclined to ask questions freely as a science person is imagined to be wont. It could have gone straight down hill from there if even one person present had any courage to boldly ask the obvious followup questions and pushed the envelope of the CFR assembled experts' credibility right to the edge. One could, for instance, have put the following biowarfare related burning questions residing on many a skeptic's mind to the CFR panelists and given them an opportunity to counter all the nay-sayers rationally with reproducible scientific evidence rather than disparagingly as was actually done (see below):

a) what role did the secret [bioweapons laboratories](#) and their mad scientists play in fabricating this *never before seen in nature* hybrid virus, as by their own admission its signature is different from all other past pandemic viruses;

b) could they prove that the new virus occurred entirely naturally and that bioweapons labs played no black-ops role in its synthesis and dissemination when it is only they who possessed all the samples from the previous pandemic viruses and could indeed have more easily fabricated the new pathogen to their desired level of deadly pathogenicity than the poor pigs relying only on their natural pig-pens – just like 911 is more straightforwardly explained as an *inside job*, a *coup d'etat*, than as the surprise attack of a disgruntled Ali Baba upon the most armed to the teeth superpower from his underground caves in the Hindu Kush;

c) that, there definitely appeared to be so much incredulity in common in the officially designated nemesis in both cases, Osama Bin Laden and the pigs, that the public insists on seeing some verifiable proof this time around before submitting to the officialdom's remedies and could they kindly provide that proof since their own glowing bios, though undoubtedly stellar, were not sufficient evidence;

d) how could the big-Pharma have filed so many patents for the vaccines before the existence of the new virus itself was known, and remarkably, also just in time to profit from the sudden appearance of the virus as a pandemic, with WHO, CDC, and all governmental agencies coercively pushing these vaccines as the only solution upon the global public while giving blanket immunity from liability to the big-Pharma whose stock has gone soaring, and that why might not one conclude from all this that the pharmaceutical companies knew about the virus and its pandemic breakout schedule ahead of time and they are being given immunity from lawsuit because the vaccines are indeed dangerous and untested;

e) how could they make such [accurate predictions](#) of when the viral pandemic would burst on the world scene, even as a hypothetical case study, as for instance in the Air Force's April 1996 planning document ***“Alternate Futures for 2025”*** pg. 54 which stated: ***“in 2009, when an influenza pandemic struck”***, and in IBM's 2006 interdepartmental memo whose very first bullet item stated: ***“There is a 100% chance that a Pandemic will occur within the next 5 years”***;

f) that could they explain scientifically, how such predictions could be accurately made to come to pass by just the laws of chance on something as unpredictable as a mutating virus, without someone deliberately bringing it to fruition?

One could have further challenged the distinguished CFR panelists at this point by asking whether they were aware that the pandemic served CFR's own diabolical agenda of creating ***“an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece [to] accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault”*** as was blatantly stated by CFR's Richard Gardner in the April 1974 Foreign Affairs article *Hard Road to World Order*, and that they, as stellar scientists, but perhaps un-

learned in the ways of *Machiavellian social engineering*, were being played as mere pawns for creating justifications towards that globalists' agenda?

Obviously, not one person in that carefully screened audience had ever read anything other their own science and medical text books. But strangely, no one from the internet Tweeted in those kinds of questions either (sic!). Indeed, one erudite questioner in physical attendance, someone who identified himself as being affiliated with Cornell Medical School (the man pictured in the CFR video image above), even preemptively described any skeptical questioning by the ever growing coalition of bipartisan nay-sayers in the world, as a sort of **“Hitler-Stalin Pact”** in this disparaging way:

“I think we are all aware that the anti-vaccine movement is having a field day on the internet and on media outlets like Fox News and so on, and causing reductions in vaccine uptake. And it appears a pretty unholy alliance of the ultra-right and the ultra-left working together as the sort of Hitler-Stalin pact (laughter), and I am not sure that we are countering these people very well ... and you have to take these people on in a different style than the scientists are used to. ... Crazy people who think the vaccine will kill you! ... How best we can to stop the anti-vaccine movement?”

It is surely not beyond the wit of those **“crazy people”** left standing after all that propaganda and giving the CFR's *dog and pony show* only some minor indigestion from their over-exuded hubris, to imagine that the following riot preparations, ostensibly for the masses demanding vaccine and not getting it – again an [Edward Bernays Special Edition](#) – is in reality for the **“Hitler-Stalin pact”** malcontents unwilling to play ball and actually refusing it:



The Swine Flu Conspiracy

[<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CMqYInAiUU>]

But Judge for Yourself if unconvinced that there is more to this pandemic story, and consequently, more to the bizarre impetus behind the *hook or by crook* vaccinating the world's populations under its **'booming, buzzing confusion'** than meets the eye. Or, if you'd rather skip to [The Conclusion](#), or jump to the [Preamble](#) to better grasp the overarching dynamics behind the swine flu first, do it now.

Okay: So join Miss Piggy, Arnold Ziffle, Porky Pig, Pooh's Piglet and the Putnam Gang


Swine Flu: That Great Debate of 2009

THE AFFIRMATIVES

"This is one time there is no conspiracy, listen to our government agencies, these guys are telling the truth. You know there is no conspiracy here folks. Get your damn vaccine" — Dr. Nancy Snyderman, NBC's Chief Medical Editor, August 2009



Swine Flu: That Great Debate of 2009 – A Portent Template for Pandemic 2020 Debate



THE NEGATIVES

“Sky rocketing stock values of Novavax Inc., precipitated by dozens of flu deaths in Mexico, implicates a leading Anglo-American network of genetic engineers in a conspiracy to commit genocide” — Dr. Leonard Horowitz, April 2009



Swine Flu: That Great Debate of 2009 – A Portent Template for Pandemic 2020 Debate




H1N1 Martial Law?

[<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JjVuBg4LpcQ>]



Swine flu vaccine could have major medical side effects

[<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=56wulgJGvrM>]



(PDF of the above [powerpoint presentation](#) is [here ~5 MB](#))

[<https://web.archive.org/web/20091229211909/http://www.swineflushots.us/PPT/Swine-Flu-Vaccination-Campaign.ppt>]

[<https://web.archive.org/web/20140629081823/http://humanbeingsfirst.files.wordpress.com/2009/10/cacheof-swine-flu-vaccination-campaign-by-swineflushots-us.pdf>]

FINAL ROUND

He said “It's a recipe for disaster” --- vs. --- She said “legal immunity”, no sweat!

He said “It's fait accompli” --- vs. --- She said “It's voluntary”

He files lawsuit in New York to halt the vaccination campaign “None of the vaccines against H1N1 have been properly tested” --- vs. --- She insists on vaccine safety with great authority as “the most important tool against the pandemic”!

He reviews “Early and Current Fears about Vaccine Dangers” --- vs. --- She delivers the current data “As of 11 October 2009, worldwide there have been more than 399232 laboratory confirmed cases of pandemic influenza H1N1 2009 and over 4735 deaths reported to WHO.”

He finally argues “remember the swine flu scare of 1976?”



The swine flu fraud of 1976, on 60 Minutes

[<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8eIE7Ct1jWw>]

--- vs. --- And she strongly recommends “trust your government, trust the scientists, and take 3 shots”!



Are you pulling your remaining hair out by now?

THE JUDGES



Swine Flu Song

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tbt_PuVAVTU]

[Email a friend an invitation to come and judge with Miss Piggy and the Putnam Gang](#)

THE CONCLUSION

H1N1 Conclusion: Whether or not there is a real Swine Flu pandemic raging, whether or not it may be real biowarfare, or mainly psyops being waged upon the unsuspecting world's public in combination with other fabricated global threats, I am saying 'NO' to Swine Flu Vaccination. All this heavy-handed

hullabaloo appears to me to be yet another “*war on terrorism*” to fabricate a global pretext for quite a different agenda from the publicized one. The real agenda this time around being to inoculate the world public with something inimical in the guise of fighting the pandemic. This is more than simply an attempt to sell vaccines of dubious efficacy for hefty corporate profiteering. It suspiciously appears to be the new “*imperial mobilization*” of the long wished for population reduction agenda, ‘*to “prime” populations worldwide*’. The threat of the A/H1N1 virus killing some (or many) people may well be real even if manufactured, just like 911 – the “*new pearl harbor*” – was a real demonstration of fabricated threat by controlled demolition which killed many thousand people. But under these dubious 'sky is falling' conditions made to “*look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality,*” the most rational and commonsensical course of action under conflicting claims of vaccine safety and efficacy backed by draconian 'police-state' policies to forcibly administer it: **1) take one's statistical chances with the “pandemic” rather than with its forced “cure”;** **2) permit the human body's own natural defenses to fight the disease if infected, rather than be aided into oblivion by its purported helper, the vaccine!** (See [Note on Vaccination](#) for additional clarity)

- [Read the forensic points upon which this conclusion is based](#)
- [Read more gory details of the deconstruction of this psyop in the April–May 2009 Swine Flu Report here](#)
- [Understand the crucial bottom-line here](#)
- [See the deconstruction of the Digital Cacophony here](#)
- [See the construction of the Ultimate Revolution here](#)
- [See That Great Debate of 2009 here](#)
- [AFP October 15, 2009: Lawsuit seeks to halt US swine flu vaccination campaign](#)
 (“*None of the vaccines against H1N1 have been properly tested,*” The suit was

Swine Flu: That Great Debate of 2009 – A Portent Template for Pandemic 2020 Debate

brought on behalf of a group of doctors, nurses and other medical personnel in New York, where health care professionals who see patients are required to be vaccinated against H1N1, ...) ([cached](#))

- **New Discovery Nov 05, 2009: [Guilty of Insider Trading “Morning Joe” Features Dr. Nancy “Get your damn vaccine” Snyderman](#) and [NYT report on Dr. Nancy Snyderman August 21, 1999](#)**
- **Postscript, March 13, 2010: ['Reconstruction of a Mass Hysteria – The Swine Flu Panic of 2009'](#)**
- **Further Study:**



Caption Click on the above images to watch the most important swine flu exposé videos with a long historical perspective

- ◆ English Transcript BELL-TOLLING for Swine Flu [PDF](#)
- ◆ Dr. Teresa Forcades' Thoughts and a Proposal [PDF](#)
- ◆ NEJM: Historical Perspective – Emergence of Influenza A (H1N1) Viruses ([online](#)) [PDF](#)
- ◆ NEJM: Emergence of a Novel Swine-Origin Influenza A(H1N1) Virus in

Humans ([online](#)) [PDF](#)

- ◆ BMJ: WHO has revised its definition of pandemic flu [PDF](#)
- ◆ Baxter admits flu product contained live bird flu [PDF](#) | [Bloomberg](#)
- ◆ Educate Yourself Flyers [PDF](#)
- ◆ Doctors blast anti-vaccination sceptics [PDF](#)

Source URL: <https://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/10/swine-flu-that-great-debate-of-2009.html>

Swine Flu: The Digital Cacophony of Medical Experts, Public Relations

September. 28, 2009

The following headlines this morning, [Monday, September 28, 2009](#), are self-explanatory as the Mighty Wurlitzer gets into its full articulated stride:

[NYT Sept. 28, 2009: Don't Blame Flu Shots for All Ills, Officials Say](#) ("As soon as swine flu vaccinations start next month, some people getting them will drop dead of heart attacks or strokes, some children will have seizures and some pregnant women will miscarry. But those events will not necessarily have anything to do with the vaccine. That poses a public relations challenge for federal officials, who remember how sensational reports of deaths and illnesses derailed the large-scale flu vaccine

drive of 1976.”) ;

[Boston Globe Sept. 28, 2009: Second wave of swine flu pandemic begins to hit US – Surge in cases closes schools, strains hospitals](#) (“After months of warnings and frantic preparations, the second wave of the swine flu pandemic is starting to be felt around the country. Doctors, health clinics, hospitals, and schools are reporting rapidly increasing numbers of patients experiencing flu symptoms.”) ;

[AOL Money Sept. 28, 2009: Intense tracking for swine flu shot's side effects](#) (“More than 3,000 people a day have a heart attack. If you're one of them the day after your swine flu shot, will you worry the vaccine was to blame and not the more likely culprit, all those burgers and fries?”);

[The Scientist, Sept. 23, 2009: Swine flu windfall](#) (“Though a worrisome flu season is knocking at the Northern Hemisphere's door, the five biopharmaceutical companies awarded massive contracts by the US Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) for development and production of more than 195 million doses of swine flu vaccine can't really complain.”)

As you peruse the above articles, you probably also ought to read this [Note on the Mighty Wurlitzer](#) and watch the first two parts of the BBC documentary on Edward Bernays, *Century of Self*, to catch a glimpse of what Public Relations really means.

It is not a benign advertising/marketing gimmick that most people are led to believe. It is an insidious, and at times diabolical, attempt at mass behavior control by manipulatively tapping into man's irrational self. It plays upon hidden and overt emotions, unstated fears, shameful desires, and ingrained and cultural myths to inculcate the necessary perceptions of interest, whether for selling a product, or for selling a political dogma.

A new public myth is orchestrated in society – much like the “[war on terror](#)” mythology – based upon which a majority of the public reacts all too predictively to the continually fabricated Public Relations stimuli, or PSYOP. Overarchingly, this not only sets the stage for reinforcing the on-going current affairs narratives, but also creates the precedent for writing the preferred historical narrative. The 'ubermensch' political scientists and psychologists have figured out that on the whole, **'Public Assumptions' Shape Views of History: “Such presumptions are beliefs (1) thought to be true (although not necessarily known to be true with certainty), and (2) shared in common within the relevant political community. The sources for such presumptions are both personal (from**

direct experience) and vicarious (from books, movies, and myths)."

That was stated in [October 1998](#) at the conference on contemporary political history organized by the Miller Center of Public Affairs at the University of Virginia, by the future 9/11 Commission Executive Director Philip Zelikow who emphasized that the public understanding of history is shaped by what are sometimes referred to as "*public myths*." Zelikow was a participant in the so called 'Catastrophic Terrorism' Study Group in 1997-98, and subsequently presided in the "Warren Commission" for his own study group's predicted 'Catastrophic Terrorism', which just happened to be 911!

This prediction-fulfillment cycle of political science is one of the most diabolical constructs of modernity, far outstripping Nostradamus in its accurate prescience for the near term, whereby, the failure to interdict the prediction is attributed to "*chaos theory*" of unavoidable happenstance, incompetence, and if all that stretches the incredulity of the public, to "blowback" of ill-conceived or greedy policies. When the unfortunate history of this Swine Flu Pandemic is similarly written, inter alia, the afore-cited headline news stories will help explain why so many people got exterminated from earth as an 'act of god', a lousy diet of "*all those burgers and fries*", and in the developing nations due to "*'complicating factors,' such as malnutrition, poor housing or crowded conditions, [as] 'flu was classically called a crowding disease in the 19th century.'*" as per [CNN April 28, 2009](#).

According to the United States Air Force [planning document](#) "***Alternate Futures for 2025: Security Planning to Avoid Surprise***" dated April 1996, only 30 million people died in the pandemic of 2009! It noted in its chapter titled "Digital Cacophony" on page 54: "***Technology could not solve some old problems, as in 2009, when an influenza pandemic struck in southern China, then rapidly spread worldwide. Three hundred-thirty million people were affected and over thirty million died. No one ever determined if the virus was a natural mutation or bioengineered. Many feared the latter.***"

That new prediction-fulfillment episode is now upon us even as I write this. Also note that amazing prescience re-surface in this 2006 IBM [inter-departmental memo](#) titled "***Services & Global Procurement pan IOT Europe, Pandemic Plan Overview***" whose very first bullet item stated: "***There is a 100% chance that a Pandemic will occur within the next 5 years***". Thanks to whosoever leaked this revealing IBM memo – also [cached here](#) – which begs the obvious question how can a corporation which makes computers predict that a pig or another creature somewhere on the planet is going to acquire a pandemic inducing virus within the next 5 years with 100% certainty? And, have that event actually transpire right at the mid-point of the prediction?

Swine Flu: That Great Debate of 2009 – A Portent Template for Pandemic 2020 Debate

“Chaos theory” of course, the non-sequitur notwithstanding, explains all these predictions very well (sic)! And “simulations” of course (sic)!

One only wishes that political science was merely science fiction to be enjoyed in large-canvas movies like the Star Wars “*Revenge of the Sith*” and the latest 2009 Star Trek which killed off billions of inhabitants of an entire planet. All this vicarious dulling of public sensibilities to massive deaths I wish was merely for the mass entertainment of a blood-thirsty people!

Source URL: <https://web.archive.org/web/20180929172657/http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/09/swine-flu-digital-cacophony.html>

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

The Plebeian Antidote to Hectoring Hegemons!

Chapter VIII

Population control, US bio-weapons research, McNamara, Rockefeller, and AIDS?

December 15, 2008 | Addendum3 added May 05, 2009

“It is not a world that any of us would want to live in. Is such a world inevitable? It is not sure but there are two possible ways by which a world of 10 billion people can be averted. Either the current birth rates must come down more quickly or the current death rates must go up. There is no other way.” (Robert McNamara, Speech to International bankers as Head of the World Bank, [October 2, 1970](#). Also cited by Antony Sutton in '[Trilaterals over America](#)', pg. 79)

“Ironically however, the very innovations that are making possible dramatic improvements in human well-being are also creating new problems which raise the spectre of an alarming and possibly catastrophic disaster to the biosphere we live in. And herein lies the dilemma that we all face. Let me illustrate. Improved public health, has caused the world's infant mortality rate to decline by 60 percent over the last 40 years. In the same period, the world's average life expectancy has increased from 46 years in 1950s to 63 years today. This is a development which as individuals we can only applaud. However the result of these positive measures is that the world population that has risen during the same short period of time geometrically to almost 6 billion people, and can exceed easily 8 billion by the year 2020. The negative impact of

Population control, US bio-weapons research, McNamara, Rockefeller, and AIDS?

population growth on all of our planetary eco-systems is becoming appallingly evident. The rapid growing exploitation of the world's supply of energy and water is a matter of deep concern. And the toxic by products of widespread industrialization and increased atmospheric pollution to dangerous levels. Unless nations will agree to work together to tackle these cross-border challenges posed by population growth over consumption of resources and environmental degradation, prospects for a decent life on our planet will be threatened." (David Rockefeller, [UN Ambassador's Dinner](#). See transcription of remaining speech in the [Monetary Reform Bibliography](#), and the complete context of David Rockefeller's concerns in [NB: On Global Warming](#) which is but one of the pretexts, along with the financial collapse, and war on terror, for [proposing world government](#).)

With the preceding words of the famous Trilateralists as context, the following are Project Humanbeingsfirst's comments to Sheila Casey's '[Everything you know about AIDS is wrong](#)'

Date December 15, 2008.

Hello.

Two additional data points on your conclusion "*If HIV does not cause AIDS, then we will have witnessed the biggest medical and scientific blunder of this century*" beg the same forensic questions as **'Is war on terror a blunder? Is the financial collapse a blunder? Was the response to 911 a blunder? When science becomes beholden to politics, as the case of NIST reports on how the towers collapsed, or Popular Science report in its fascinating centerfolds, are those blunders?'**

A) See Slate.com article: 'The AIDS Conspiracy Handbook',
<https://web.archive.org/web/2008/http://slate.com/id/2186860/>

B) See Ali Al-Bayati's report: 'HIV Does Not Cause AIDS',
<https://web.archive.org/web/2008/http://scribd.com/doc/6337996/AIDS>

Excerpt from pages 7:8 of Dr. Al-Bayati's interview is noted below. I found his approach to analysis

very interesting as a lay-person – because Al-Bayati's approach is similar to mine in general – Differential Analysis. I frequently employ this technique and its variants as a researcher to ferret out hidden and obfuscated information when I don't have specialized first-hand knowledge of my own in a particular domain and must look at what others have written about it. Since all issues appear to be permeated with politics nowadays – from 911, to war on terror, to global warming, to financial crisis, to population control, to world-government, to anything which pertains to formulating national or global policy by any ruling-elite – it has become necessary to engage in processes such as what Dr. Al-Bayati has done.

Additionally, I employ the differential analysis of the Hegelian Dialectics too. Furthermore, often times, the BS of the "right" is identified by the investigations of the "left"; and the BS of the "left" is identified by the investigations of the "right"; i.e., the antagonists sometimes unveil each others failings and wrong-doings. At least to the first order, other than what is of course held as common sacred axioms among them for which other forensics techniques of discovery are necessary. And the differential analysis of such matters enable extracting useful weak signals in an otherwise vast sea of background noise (borrowing the signal processing metaphor from electrical engineering).

While I haven't paid much attention to this topic of AIDS beyond superficial read every once in a while when someone important says something silly, and I ponder upon what usually ends up appearing to be another circuitous argument being made in favor of drug companies rather than science, reading your educated perspective and a bit of searching on the web led me to this fascinating interview and the document which contained it. So I share this interview here. I still haven't done my own due diligence on the matter, but Dr. Al-Bayati's approach is something I wanted to draw attention to, for the same approach can be applied to many matters du jour.

Thank you.

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Begin Excerpt:

The Real Cause of AIDS

Dr. Mohammed Al-Bayati interviewed by Steven D. Keller June, 2001 AidsMyth.com

Population control, US bio-weapons research, McNamara, Rockefeller, and AIDS?

Steven:

Thank you for giving this interview. First of all I would like to establish with the readers who you are, your credentials and what led you to take a serious look at AIDS and its causes?

Dr. Al-Bayati:

I am a pathologist and a toxicologist with a Ph.D. in comparative pathology from the University of California Davis and a dual board certified toxicologist (DABT & DABVT). I have over twenty years experience in research, teaching, diagnostic, and doing consulting work in the fields of toxicology and pathology. I have also served as an Expert Witness on several cases involving the exposure of people to chemicals in the workplace, exposure of people to wrong therapeutic agents, and reaction of people to the side effects of therapeutic agents. In these cases, I identified the cause(s) of illnesses and provided the treating physicians and attorneys with reports describing my findings. In these reports, I also presented to the treating physicians my recommendation for monitoring and treating these illnesses. In 1997, I established my toxicology consulting firm (Toxi-health International) in Dixon California (10 miles west of the University of California Davis) and my website contains a description of my company's wide range of services (toxi-health.com). In October of 1997, I evaluated the medical record and the case history of a 60 year-old-white male who was suffering from pulmonary fibrosis. He was treated with immunosuppressant medications (Azathioprine and prednisone). He consulted with me to find out if his exposure to chemicals in his workplace such as Jet Fuel and/or his medications has initiated or contributed to his illness. My communication with his treating physician, lead to the termination of his treatment with prednisone and Azathioprine. On May 19, 1998, 22 days after the last dose of prednisone, his CD4+ T cells and CD8+ T cells improved. In addition, his fungal infection and pneumonia were resolved following treatment with short course of antibiotic (Doxycycline 200mg per day for 2 weeks) and topical antifungal agent (Loprox). This case led me to evaluate the medical literature on AIDS worldwide to find out if there were other individuals with AIDS, who were HIV-negative, and to investigate the causes of AIDS. Prior to this time, my belief was that HIV caused AIDS as we have been told by the United States Centre for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the AIDS establishment since 1984. My investigation of the causes of AIDS worldwide took about two years and I presented

Population control, US bio-weapons research, McNamara, Rockefeller, and AIDS?

my findings in my book " Get All The Facts: HIV does not cause AIDS" and in my articles. The first twenty pages of my book and the articles are posted on my website (toxi-health.com) and news-gap.com. My findings show clearly that HIV is not the cause of AIDS.

Steven:

I've read your book, "Get All the Facts: HIV Does Not Cause AIDS" and I was really impressed by the information you found in your research. What can a toxicologist/pathologist offer to the current sea of information without getting bogged down by the populous information that we already have?

Dr. Al-Bayati:

We can play a very important role in evaluating the medical information presented by physicians, scientists, and researchers and to provide correct interpretations of the information to get to the correct cause(s) of illness. For example, the CDC and the AIDS establishment stated that the epidemiology of AIDS indicates that AIDS is caused by virus called HIV, but my evaluation of the epidemiology of AIDS revealed that HIV is not the cause of AIDS. The correct approach that should be taken to solve AIDS, or any other complicated chronic medical problems, is by evaluating all medical evidence concerning each risk group, namely, a differential diagnosis. I used this approach in this case to figure out the causes of AIDS in each risk group. I have found that the epidemiology and other medical evidence indicate clearly that HIV is not the cause of AIDS and that AIDS is caused by the use of immunosuppressive medications that have been used to treat wide range of illnesses caused by the use of drugs and alcohol. In Africa, AIDS is caused by severe malnutrition and the release of endogenous cortisol. Any individual suffering from severe malnutrition has AIDS regardless if he or she is HIV-positive or HIV-negative. In addition, AIDS in people suffering from malnutrition can be reversed by giving proper nutrition and supportive medical care. I gave many examples in my book to illustrate these points.

Steven:

Given what has happened to Dr. Peter Duesberg and having his funding scaled back because of his viewpoints and public questioning of HIV and AIDS, are you not concerned for your job security? Are you not stepping on the toes of the mainstream

Population control, US bio-weapons research, McNamara, Rockefeller, and AIDS?

view that a virus is what actually causes AIDS?

Dr. Al-Bayati:

Prior to November of 1997, I believed that HIV was the cause of AIDS and I did not have any intention to investigate this issue. However, I discovered in November of 1997 that AIDS can be caused by other agents, and that HIV is a harmless virus. I also realised that AZT and the anti-viral drugs are killing people, which changed my direction. It became my duty as a scientist to investigate this issue, to find out the truth, and to present my findings to our government and to the public. I have been spending a tremendous amount of time and money on this issue for the last four years without any financial help from any source. This has been extremely hard on my family, but what keeps me going is the reward of saving lives and our vital resources. ...

End Excerpt

An Addendum to the above comment.

While briefly searching further for another ten minutes using an internet search engine, I found the following interesting perspective to Dr. Duesberg's (and Al-Bayati's), along the lines of item A noted above – the Slate.com's dismissive article of 'tin-hatted' conspiracies surrounding the AIDS question, but far more substantive

<https://web.archive.org/web/2008/http://hiddenmysteries.org/health/aids/aids-manufactured.html>

The following introductory passages immediately held my attention, especially this observation: *"If Duesberg is right and HIV does not cause the disease, the question of whether the virus originated in the laboratory is irrelevant. ... Was that why it received so much attention, while [Jakob] Segal was completely ignored?"*

Begin excerpt:

On June 9, 1969, Dr. D. M. MacArthur, then Deputy Director of Research and Technology for the Dept. of Defense, told the House Subcommittee on Appropriations:

"Molecular biology is a field that is advancing very rapidly, and eminent biologists believe that within a period of 5 to 10 years it would be possible to produce a synthetic biological agent, an agent that does not naturally exist and for which no natural immunity could have been acquired... a new infective microorganism which could differ in certain important aspects from any known disease-causing organisms. Most important of these is that it might be refractory [resistant] to the immunological and therapeutic processes upon which we depend to maintain our relative freedom from infectious disease... A research program to explore the feasibility of this could be completed in approximately 5 years at a total cost of \$10 million."

This was scandal enough. It does not mean that Segal is right, but it does mean the US government wanted, and considered it feasible, to create an AIDS-like virus as early as 1969.

It would not be surprising if the government wanted to keep this quiet, but what about the press? I could find only two references to MacArthur's testimony, in a book by Robert Harris and Jeremy Paxman (*A Higher Form of Killing: The Secret Story of Chemical & Biological Warfare*, NY: Hill & Wang, 1982), and in a couple of articles by Robert Lederer and Nathaniel S. Lehrman in *Covert Action Information Bulletin* (28, summer 1987, and 29, winter 1988).

Segal had been similarly ignored. Through the Amerika Haus library in Frankfurt I ran a DIALOGUE search of the indexes of major US newspapers, magazines and journals for the name Jakob Segal, and it came up negative. At least he had been mentioned a couple of times in *Der Spiegel*. In America he was apparently completely unknown.

I found this intolerable. I did not agree with Segal; I only wanted to see his arguments discussed by people competent to make a judgement. Then I and the rest of the reading public could decide which arguments were more convincing. I thought that was the way free speech worked. Here was a guy saying the US government created AIDS, and claiming to have proved it scientifically, and he was being ignored.

By contrast, I had read about the storm of controversy that Peter Duesberg's theory had caused. He suggested in 1987 that AIDS is not caused by a virus at all--certainly at least as speculative a thesis as Segal's. But there is a significant difference. If Duesberg is right and HIV does not cause the disease, the question of whether the

Population control, US bio-weapons research, McNamara, Rockefeller, and AIDS?

virus originated in the laboratory is irrelevant. In that sense, it is the antithesis of Segal's theory. Was that why it received so much attention, while Segal was completely ignored? ...

End Excerpt

Addendum2 to the above comment.

Everything you have written about the AIDS virus appears to me to be really only the first attempt at rationally assembling the jigsaw puzzle.

Another hour of browsing uncovered Robert McNamara's role in the population-control planning agenda which he pushed as the Secretary of Defense for JFK/Johnson, and later as the WB president. Daniel Ellsberg in his book 'Secrets' revealed the unsavory character of Robert McNamara in perpetuating the myths surrounding Vietnam. To all intents and purposes, I can't help but draw, albeit only circumstantially at this point, rational links between McNamara's spade-work which preceded both, Dr. D. M. MacArthur's testimony before the appropriations committee in 1969 which got the latter \$10 million for research on molecular-biological weapons (bioweapons), and the orchestration of NSSM-200 under McNamara's pal and fellow-trilateralist, Henry Kissinger in 1974. Both Kissinger and McNamara work for the same banking cartel and ideological interests funded by the same monies. I have already cited David Rockefeller's role in the Population-control agenda at the UN and some analysis of NSSM 200, in my [writings](#). All these are too intimately interlinked to dismiss its relationship with the appearance of AIDS soon-afterwards in the early 1980s.

While surely no hard receipts will ever be found, careful analysis of hidden motivations and hidden agendas, rationally linked to observable empirical evidence, is usually sufficient to show, at least to the thinking peoples, what is more likely to be true than not. This type of forensic analysis is not sufficient for the likes of prof. Noam Chomsky, journalist Robert Fisk, historian Howard Zinn, et. al., who all want hard receipts, or disclosures in the NYT, before they will think of it as anything other than "conspiracy theory". But I feel differently – as disclosed in the analysis '[The Enduring Capitalist Conspiracy for World Government](#)' available on my website (<http://humanbeingsfirst.org>). And among the principal agendas of concern to the world's misanthropes, is population reduction – from Bertrand Russell in the past century to the almost centenarian Rockefeller in this century. That is well documented in their own words.

The linkage to all types of bioweapons, including to GMO-food grains and terminator seeds, in a bigger picture of population reduction agenda, can make better and more realistic sense of all this – including Duesberg's work which shows that HIV may not be the principal cause of AIDS – than the "blowback" version of this concluding sentence *"If HIV does not cause AIDS, then we will have witnessed the biggest medical and scientific blunder of this century."*

What do you think?

Here are some relevant passages on McNamara. His biography 'Promise & Power Life & Times of Robert McNamara' should make excellent bedtime reading I am sure.

<https://web.archive.org/web/2008/http://apfn.org/apfn/aids.htm>

Begin Excerpt

In understanding the roots of the most massive genocide program ever undertaken MK-NAOMI / AIDS, we must look at the goals of those funding the operations. Litton Bionetics (Now Litton Industries/ Northrop Grumman/ Medpath /Dow Corning) received contract # NIH692160 Dr. Robert C. King (documented p.105-105 US Special Virus Cancer Program Report 1971) entitled: "Studies of African & American Cancer Patients With Birkets Lymphoma, Associated With Eppstein Barr Virus Infections With Leukemia & Sarcomas"

It must be noted that Birkets- Lymphoma only existed in young children in Central Africa in West Uganda. And these cases were associated with a government lab; International Agency for research in Cancer. This is connected with the National Cancer Inst., both of which are Rockefeller funded. Eppstein-Barr Carcinoma never existed before 1984. Dr. Robert C. King stated that AIDS sarcoma patients left untreated used to "isolate a viral genome by co-cultivation, hybridization, viral recombination, mutation, and other techniques." Prior to such experimentation Sarcoma only existed in elderly Jewish men with compromised immune systems. These experiments indicate a precursor to genocide.

The Secretary of Defense 1962-1970 was Robert Strange McNamara. As early as 1966 McNamara considered the population explosion especially in Africa to be a great danger. He considered the population growing faster than the gross national product dangerous, and the World Bank had a dominant role to play in solving the problem. In

Population control, US bio-weapons research, McNamara, Rockefeller, and AIDS?

a speech at Notre Dame in 1969 McNamara stated "the children who were dying were fortunate, for the millions of those who lived languidly on were stunted in their bodies and crippled in their minds." Robert Strange McNamara, World Bank President, made a speech October 2, 1970 to international bankers in which he identified population growth as "the gravest issue that the world faces over the years ahead."

In his speech to the bankers McNamara argued that population growth was leading to instability, that a 10 billion world population would not be "controllable."

Said McNamara, "It is not a world that any of us would want to live in. Is such a world inevitable? It is not sure but there are two possible ways by which a world of 10 billion people can be averted. Either the current birth rates must come down more quickly or the current death rates must go up. There is no other way." In 1969 in a speech at Notre Dame he called the African population explosion "a mushrooming cloud of overpopulation". (Promise & Power Life & Times of Robert McNamara). In 1970 the US intensified its development of "ethnic weapons" (Military Review Nov., 1970) Let us not forget the funding issued by the Congress at the behest of Dr. MacArthur for budget year 1970 was approved by Secretary of Defense McNamara. McNamara became head of the World Bank and assured funding to the US Special Virus Cancer Program.

I have one last thing to ask. If some white man called a black man the "N" word we would hear about it and the ensuing fight for the next two weeks as a racist hate crime on all three major networks. Where then is the media, where is Jessie Jackson, where is the NAACP to cry as the voice for hundreds of millions dying through this genocide? (To be continued)

Man Made Plague 4 Conclusion

By Michael Treis

In understanding the AIDS/HIV issue it is best put as man made. The US Special Virus Cancer Program, which ran from 1962-1978 with 100 plus contractors. Some of who manufactured the virus. The Primary Contractor was Litton Bionetics. Before long it managed all contracts. One of the Chief Project Officers was the "discoverer" of

Population control, US bio-weapons research, McNamara, Rockefeller, and AIDS?

“AIDS” HTLV 1 & 2 was Dr. Robert Gallo. He also applied for a patent the method of continuous production of the virus in 1984 and was granted patent # 4,647,773 in 1987. The assignee was The United States of America as represented by the Department of Health (Washington, DC)

SVCP was originally a black budget program MK-NAOMI previously mentioned. In 1969 in the: June 9, 1969 MacArthur testimony at 121, Appropriations Hearings 91st US Congress, received on budget funding under bio-warfare. Dr. Robert Gallo was a consultant in those hearings.

By 1977 27,818 liters of oncogenic (cancer causing) virus material had been manufactured that year by Litton Bionetics under contract # (N01-CO-25423) initiated 1972. A portion of this complimented Merck Pharmaceutical experimental Hepatitis B vaccine batch 751 that was given to thousands of male homosexuals in Manhattan in 1978. It later complimented the “smallpox” vaccination given to over 93 million in Africa alone. (Previously sited part 1 The Times article May 11,1987 the front page of the London Times reported “smallpox vaccine triggers AIDS virus "WHO [the World Health Organization] murdered Africa".) This does not even touch the vaccinations in Haiti, Brazil and Southern Japan.

The manufacture of viral cancers combined with mycoplasma was the hallmark of the SVCP as well as the earliest bio-warfare. Mycoplasma was found in the late 1800 and crystallized from tobacco in 1935. 1942 President Franklin D. Roosevelt had appointed George W. Merck (Merck & Company Pharmaceutical founder) to direct the War Research Service with oversaw our biological weapons industry. As early as 1918 a flu virus modified with bird mycoplasma killed millions (associated with “Federation of the American Society for Experimental Biology”).

In reference to Merck’s batch 751; Between 1970-74 Robert H. Purcell of the National Institute for Allergies and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), along with Maurice Hillerman Merck’s Chief vaccine developer & tumor cell virologist, collaborated with hepatitis B vaccine pioneer Dr. Saul Krugman of New York University Medical Center developed and tested Hepatitis B seed virus. Purcell announced in 1974, although cell cultures had failed, they had successfully cultured them by use of “high risk individuals” I.E. mentally retarded children at Willowbrook State School Staten Island, NY as well as rhesus monkeys and chimpanzees. (See: Antiviral Mechanism: Perspectives in

Population control, US bio-weapons research, McNamara, Rockefeller, and AIDS?

Virology IX The Gustav Stern Symposium, New York Academic Press 1975 pp 49-76)
Putting children who are already tormented enough in life due to mental and other problems in the same class as test animals. How many did they infect? How many of those they infected died because they were termed "at risk" How sick is that? Remember they are still telling us AIDS just evolved. Can we trust anything they tell us ever again?

Yes these things are documented through government and medical records and other sources. I have no reason to lie about such things. Their records are damaging enough without any help from me. I have only sought the truth of these matters and to try to bring national attention to the subject demanding accountability. May God forgive US.

End Excerpt

Addendum3 to the above comment.

May 05, 2009.

Please watch the following [video](#) in the context of the spread of AIDS (albeit its overall topic is vaccinations, bird flu, swine flu, and deliberate pandemics as pretexts for inoculations and population-reduction wrt NSSM-200 protocols):

At [time 2:09](#), Dr. Ott states:

"The Times of London reports on its front page in 1987, that small pox vaccine administered by the WHO had in fact triggered HIV/AIDS ... The same article in 1987 showed that a 100 million small pox vaccinated Africans are at high risk for HIV and AIDS. The Times report said that the areas with the highest vaccination rates of small pox vaccines show the highest HIV/AIDS rates worldwide."

"Robert Gallow ... the man given credit for HIV/AIDS virus detection, firmly defended those figures in debate, and said: The problem we have that AID researchers will keep their mouths shut because they are paid to do so."

See my analysis of NSSM 200 mentioned in the above talk in "[The Enduring Capitalist Conspiracy For World Government](#)".

Dr. Ott's 12-part presentation is interesting. I know nothing about him, but his overarching analysis (if not all the specific details) is entirely consistent with my research. Therefore, [here](#) is a link for the entire talk. That presentation, dated August 2008, is very ominously pertinent today with the swine flu pandemic in progress even as I write this. That '[fourth horseman of the apocalypse](#)' is being tracked and dissected here:

<https://web.archive.org/web/20190203032811/http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/05/swine-flu-is-real-swine.html>



One final comment: In the video, Dr. Ott makes a very important statement of principle for the Machiavellian modus operandi. A statement that borrows from a similar statement made by David Icke in the 1990s. Who in turn, evidently was inspired by G. Edward Griffin's 1970 video documentary "The Capitalist Conspiracy". Griffin in turn borrowed the ideas from W. Cleon Skousen's 1970 work in "The Naked Capitalist", which is a commentary on Prof. Carroll Quigley's 1966 "Tragedy and Hope" – the master-shill historian of the international banksters who fund the United Nations, the World Health Organization, and are the key financiers of this impetus to one-world government, population reduction, etc. There are now several generations of researchers who have echoed the same overarching statement of principle, in one context or another, and yours truly has arrived at the same conclusion-space some 40 years later (rather pathetic that no mass movement was created in all these intervening years to counter this Machiavellian game which is

Population control, US bio-weapons research, McNamara, Rockefeller, and AIDS?

now perched at being a fait accompli).

This principal modus operandi needs to be highlighted prominently – if you are reading this, please reproduce this succinct statement (in bold) to your friends and neighbors. It explains all modern crises as connected [here](#) and [here](#).

At [time 4:30](#), Dr. Ott states:

“ ... there are many many instances where the World Health Organization – which is again largely funded by the Rockefeller Foundation, Ford Foundation, and the Rothschild group in London – to absolutely control populations for specific political purposes worldwide.

There is no doubt in my mind whatsoever, I can go on for the next half an hour, to show specific programs designed to kill large amounts of people, and it does this, in every single case, by means of vaccination introduction.

We can see a pattern, a modus operandi, in this: Introduce a vaccine to unsuspecting population centers, cause massive sickness, massive death, massive panic, massive chaos, and then they step in and have the agenda completed.

It's the same old problem-reaction scenario: They create the problem, they dictate the response, and then they have the prescribed action already made.”

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Source URL: <https://web.archive.org/web/20200325043808/http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/12/pop-control-mcnamara-rockefeller-aids.html>

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

The Plebeian Antidote to Hectoring Hegemons!

Chapter IX

Response to Financial Times Gideon Rachman's 'And now for a world government'?

December 11, 2008

'I have never believed that there is a secret United Nations plot to take over the US. I have never seen black helicopters hovering in the sky above Montana. **But, for the first time in my life, I think the formation of some sort of world government is plausible.** A “world government” would involve much more than co-operation between nations. It would be an entity with state-like characteristics, backed by a body of laws. The European Union has already set up a continental government for 27 countries, which could be a model. The EU has a supreme court, a currency, thousands of pages of law, a large civil service and the ability to deploy military force. So could the European model go global? There are three reasons for thinking that it might. First, it is increasingly clear that the most difficult issues facing national governments are international in nature: there is global warming, a global financial crisis and a “global war on terror”. ... **But – the third point – a change in the political atmosphere suggests that “global governance” could come much sooner than that. The financial crisis and climate change are pushing national governments towards global solutions,** even in countries such as China and the US that are traditionally fierce guardians of national sovereignty. --- [And now for a world government, Financial Times, December 8 2008.](#)

And there you have it, right from the mouthpiece of high finance, the shill for the New World Order,

the media asset of the intelligence apparatus, testing the water temperature.

This time, the FT's chief foreign affairs columnist, Gideon Rachman, lets the full caboodle out of the bag, saying exactly what Project Humanbeingsfirst has been warning about: that the most natural solution to global fictions and global manufactured crises will be presented as “world government”. As David Icke had pointed out over ten years ago, there has to come a point at which the devilish conspiracy for world government will need to break surface. But before that time, all references to it must be discredited as 'tin-hatted' conspiracy theories. That breaking of surface has been happening gradually in disjoint bits and fragments for the past few years. Even Congressman Ron Paul blatantly [talked about it](#) during the 2008 Republican Debates carried on CNN – something that would have been unheard of in mainstream coverage in the past. But this instance in the Financial Times editorial is the most egregious testing of the waters because it brings all the manufactured global boogiemens together, and exactly posits their solution-space as “world government”. It brings to full circle implementation these ominous words of G. Edward Griffin from '[The Capitalist Conspiracy](#)':

“Create conditions so frightful at home and abroad, that the abandonment of personal liberties and national sovereignty, will appear as a reasonable price for a return to domestic tranquility and world peace.”

A bit of high-school level few studious nights homework would reveal that all three items on Mr. Gideon Rachman's list are elaborately manufactured fictions.

To know that **a) global warming**, is a politically motivated global fiction, or at best, of a highly contentious nature among scientists themselves and therefore, hardly a scientific fact upon which such a monumental global policy as world-government can be advocated, begin at Steve Watson's short news story '[Over 650 Scientists Challenge Global Warming “Consensus”](#)', and [download PDF](#) of the full 231 page report released December 11, 2008, titled: '**U. S. Senate Minority Report: More Than 650 International Scientists Dissent Over Man-Made Global Warming Claims - Scientists Continue to Debunk “Consensus” in 2008**'. Or read its [Introduction Chapter here](#).

To learn that **b) global financial crisis**, is deliberately manufactured, the [Monetary Reform Bibliography](#) contains sufficient references and perspective which ties it all together.

To understand that **c) global war on terror**, is synthetic and fabricated, read the minuscule compilation of Project Humanbeingsfirst's reports which succinctly unravels it all: [The WAR on TERROR 2008 Omnibus Collection \(PDF\)](#).

Response to Financial Times Gideon Rachman's 'And now for a world government'?

After doing one's due diligence and all that homework, where does that leave a bewildered but commonsensical person? It at least leaves one to ponder that such deep intelligence propaganda programs spinning manufactured death in a perpetual war that is intended to last for lifetime, spinning manufactured global financial collapse as happenstance of overspending due to Wall Street shortsightedness, and spinning natural climate changes as manmade – all to create [global governance structures](#) (PDF) piece-meal and through *faits accomplis* – are being relentlessly seeded into peoples' consciousness, and not one in the worldwide mainstream news media is able to call on it?

Are all of them morons? Or are they all sell-outs? How can that be? How does the “[Mighty Wurlitzer](#)” accomplish this?

The answer to that can also be easily understood – for we know far too much from recent history, if only one is reminded of it. Notice how Rachman begins his editorial “***I have never believed that there is a secret United Nations plot to take over the US***”, such that in a single opening sentence, he puts to rest why he might now be saying the same thing that the so called [conspiracy-theorists](#) have been asserting for many years. He presents his version as a new emerging necessity to the global problems. What is this – other than a very sophisticated intelligence psy-op to now make it acceptable to take the conspiracy out of the previously discredited realm of 'tin-hatters' and start discussing it as the preferred solution-space? But coming from a respectable news media like the Financial Times(?), they are hardly a tabloid newspaper, one might ask. Such psyops and disinformation is the norm rather than the exception, as the following two articles disclose: Carl Bernstein's '[THE CIA AND THE MEDIA](#)', and Richard Keeble's '[Hacks And Spooks](#)'.

In addition, the following passage from the court testimony of expert witness Mr. William Schaap on psyops and disinformation, dated November 30, 1999, is very useful in acquiring a perspective that is denied to most Western peoples. Watch his hour long [video testimony](#) or read its [transcript](#). This is what he says on 'conspiracy':

***“The average American would hear something from the government or hear the news on television and assumes that what they're hearing is the truth unless they're shown otherwise. They assume that almost nothing is ever a conspiracy.*”**

In Europe it's very much the opposite. Anything happens. They tend to think it's a conspiracy unless you show them that it wasn't a conspiracy. I mean, after all, "conspiracy" just means, you know, more than one person being involved in

Response to Financial Times Gideon Rachman's 'And now for a world government'?

something. And if you stop and think about it, almost everything significant that happens anywhere involves more than one person.

Yet here there is a -- not a myth really, but there's just an underlying assumption that most things are not conspiracies. And when you have that, it enables a government which has a propaganda program, has a disinformation program, to be relatively successful in -- in having its disinformation accepted. ... But another reason it works is that disinformation is very, very effective over time. The longer that you, whoever you are, can control the spin on a story, the more that spin becomes accepted as the absolute truth. And in this country the government has a great deal of power and influence over that spin.”

The conclusion of this response [to Gideon Rachman's propagandistic pitch for world government as a panacea for all that ails mankind] therefore, is best expressed in the 1974 prescriptive words of the CFR author Richard N. Gardner, from his article in Foreign Affairs titled: '[The Hard Road To World Order](#)'. The former Deputy Assistant Secretary of State for International Organizations under Kennedy and Johnson, and a member of the Trilateral Commission, had accurately captured the [Zeitgeist](#) which was to exist in the near future – and that future is here today – in which, the import of Mr. Gideon Rachman's editorial becomes clear:

“In short, the ‘house of world order’ will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.”

(If the above link for the Financial Times news story doesn't work, access it through Mr. Rachman's [Financial Times blog](#). Also see his two followups after being bombarded by adverse comments [here](#) and [here](#).)

Please send your letter to editor to [Project Humanbeingsfirst](#), and to the [Financial Times](#), airing your opinion whether you agree, or acquiesce, to losing the independence of your nation-state to solve the problems outlined by the Financial Times and the [global ruling elite](#)!

Thank you.

Response to Financial Times Gideon Rachman's 'And now for a world government'?

NB. See On Global Warming: <https://web.archive.org/web/20161203221227/http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/12/nb-on-global-warming.html>

Source URL: <https://web.archive.org/web/20190929113555/http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/12/responseto-ft-gideon-rachman-worldgov.html>

Response to Financial Times Gideon Rachman's 'And now for a world government'?

This page is deliberately blank

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

The Plebeian Antidote to Hectoring Hegemons!

Chapter X

NB: On Global Warming – Concern for Environment is a ‘Hegelian Mind Fck’!?

Between Global Warming and Global Governance – Concern for Environment is a ‘Hegelian Mind Fck’!

December 12, 2008 | Footnotes last updated November 29, 2016

Question: 'Do you really believe that mankind doesn't have anything to do with the climate change? I've posted countless articles, videos on this topic. Pollution is NOT good for our planet and/or ourselves. Ice is melting. Droughts are occurring worldwide. There's going to be wars over water in the future. There is a limited amount of oil and we cannot keep using it as our only fuel source.'

Project Humanbeingsfirst Responds

Actually, if you look at the coefficients of contributions, things become manifest. Pollution isn't exactly the same thing as global warming, or global cooling, although it certainly impacts them both.

Yes, reducing pollution is very important, so is increasing sustainable living, and respecting the

power of the earth to create bounties which make our lives both comfortable and pleasurable. There is a self-sustaining and auto re-generation cycle in the eco-system which can withstand some harvesting, some abuse and some pollution, but crossing the threshold can destroy it, or make the replenishment cycle inordinately long. So we must live far below that threshold of tolerance of the environment. This is but a truism. Only a moron would deny any of it, or work against it. They can be safely ignored, if not outright consigned to the looney bin.

This isn't what is being talked about here however, although, the disinformationists would like one to think so. This is exactly the conclusion you have unfortunately jumped to as well, despite having read so much of my work and knowing that I am really not idiotic, nor unscientific, nor irrational. Of course, if one asked Mr. Paul Craig Roberts who apportioned the following epithet for me "*you are a completely stupid fool, a disgrace to humanity*", it might lead to a different conclusion. But assuming one does not share in that invective, why would one automatically jump to the conclusion that when a man of science challenges global warming, they are denying the obviousness inherent in the question that you posed?

I say this not to critique, but just to point out how powerful and successful the disinformation and psyops have been. It is the same Foundations who have seeded the sustainable living mantra as population planning. Care to guess who those might be? It is the same impetus that led to NSSM 200 in 1974 which made population control in poor countries a national security imperative for the United States – the country which excels in harvesting the poor nations of all their natural resources and foisting dictatorships upon them! Care to know who seeded it? I happen to know of the team who got the Nobel Prize on this climate issue last year – they are all imperialists, in on the con-game, just like Hillary Clinton and Al Gore. You can easily find the Pakistani on the team who shared in that Nobel prize amidst much hoopla in Pakistan. Visit his website, and try to determine his axioms in the space of world-government, war on terror, 911. They match the axioms of the state as far as I have been able to tell – and I looked at it last year to check-out what kind of people win a Nobel Prize in climate and environment. When the ruling-elite pushes a mantra, knowing what I know today, my first take begins with searching for their motivation. If one does, what on the surface appears to be a good deed, but with evil intentions and Machiavellian motivations, I have no use for such criminal 'good', and neither should you.

The following is the real fact of the matter. I only illustrate the principle. One can chase it down from then on. In order to keep things straight in the head in the obfuscating space of social sciences laden with deception and political motivations, I tend to rely a lot on thought processes borrowed from computer science and electrical engineering. You may have seen my description of the 'bit' for

example. Here is a passage from one of my recent essays on monetary stuff:

'It is also very convenient for the learned to mix up the 'highest order bit' with 'lower order bits' of a complex matter – irrespective of deliberately or inadvertently – for the plebes can hardly tell the difference. And that's just wonderful for creating clever red herrings when the latter are emphasized, and the former is ignored! Surely whatever one comes up with is always a solution to something, and that's just as undeniable as any pathetic tautology. But is it a solution to the 'most significant bit'? Has the problem itself been accurately diagnosed, and the systemic multi-lateral illness accurately mapped out to its very DNA? Not when the sacred-cow axioms remain untouchable! And this is indeed how one wins a Nobel Prize and lucrative appointments. [a30] In some cases, even stays alive.

To explain the commonsense concept of 'bit' drawn from electrical engineering, it's like having a "one" in the 7th decimal place, and also in the 2nd decimal place, to create the total amount One million and Ten dollars, \$1,000,010, and while auditing the books, focussing on the digit position which identifies the Ten dollars and not the one which identifies the Million! The significance of this is not lost to the banksters! --
[The Monetary Conspiracy for World Government](#)

Applying that prioritizing, or weightage if you will, principle to this topic of "Global Warming", one observes that the coefficient, or the bit position, or weightage occupied by the planetary level changes in the solar system due to sun's activity is actually a higher order bit position, than the contribution to the measurements from human activity.

And as is entirely obvious from Mr. Gideon Rachman's article why this is politically motivated, the reasons become clear why this confusion is deliberately being created. If you accept the Capitalist conspiracy for world government, as I have described it, and if you accept the NSSM-200 agenda for population reduction as I have also described it, tying in the hand of Rockefeller to the UN and their agenda for population reduction (citations for these statements are in my various essays), then you must realize why the ruling elite wants to control 'life activity', **and carbon-credit is their architecture of control!**

It is somewhat akin to acquiring control of a nation's money supply in the guise of managing the economy better. Few in the public understand why such a control is bad anyway, but those who do try to understand it are thrown layers upon layers of obfuscation. Something similar is happening here. Think of acquiring control of 'carbon-credits' almost equivalent to acquiring control of a nation's

money supply! This will control every aspect of sustaining life, just as control of money determines every aspect of sustaining the economy. You name it, between the two of them, it will control it in a world-government. And the first recipient of these controls, the carbon-credit specifically, is the developing world, the Global South, because that is where development must be arrested, and populations thinned out! Just as control of money was first exercised where there was a superfluity of industry and commerce, control of 'carbon-credit' is intended to be exercised where there is a superfluity of populations aspiring to grow their nascent economies!

Now, whether there is planetary-level (solar-system level) global warming, or global cooling, is also an entirely orthogonal issue from human contribution to despoiling its environment. Both the former two factors, if they are dominant, tend to occupy the higher order bit relative to human contribution. Wit the Ice-age, followed by the Holocene age. No factories and polluting industries were present then. Unless we explode 10 hydrogen bombs in geostrategic locations to usher in a manmade nuclear winter (and I exaggerate, a smaller number will surely do it), the contribution from coal and cow's emissions (the latter, believe it or not, is also apportioned carbon-credit as I have humorously read somewhere) remain in the lower order bits. They are surely non-zero, and if planetary-level climactic changes in the solar system become normal, as they do between their cyclic extremes, then these lower order bits will become the new higher order bits for management. That's just common sense.

So there are two real issues. First is the following scientific measurement – which can be fairly objective – what is the temperature activity in the solar system. For instance, is Mars cooling down or heating up in the past decades. Since there is no known life or industry on Mars, that can readily answer the question quite accurately for earth too. But better and longer running data is available for earth as well, which is why scientists are dissenting as noted in the Senate Minority Report that I have cited in my response to Mr. Gideon Rachman! I do not know of a single lay person who has actually read that report as yet, or its 2007 predecessor report from last year. Most arguments are religiously being fanned out of sheer ignorance, rather than simply asking the quantifiable questions: what is the empirical measurement data (instead of the sociological one)? How was it taken, where was it taken, what time span does it measure, and what is the conclusion?

The second real issue is the sociological one that you have alluded to, such as oil consumption, human activity, etc. Please apply those concerns to the Western world first, and specifically to the Americans, not to the entire world, as the affluent Global North is, and has been, the biggest pig. In the Global South, people can hardly make ends meet, they barely subsist on dollar a day wage. And 2/3rd of all humanity lives there. They are routinely harvested of not only what's under their soil, but

also what's above it, trees! Thus notice how Rachman has employed the mantra of Global Warming. Even if one assumes for the sake of making the following point that it is the man-made coefficient which is dominant – Gideon Rachman does not advocate that the Western world create a protocol to reduce their gluttonous consumption, but jumps straight to world government! And as everyone knows, the biggest violators of Kyoto, were indeed the Americans themselves. They refused to ratify it! And that, is indeed the second real issue.

I am a scientist. I look at data and reach conclusions. I further look at data forensically, and even look at forces that remain hidden, as well as those which are apparent. My writings are testimony of that. I have no reason to obfuscate or deny any of these factors. Whereas those who are pushing them, have a politically motivated agenda, as has already been shown. Just as the scientists at NIST fudged the reports on how the towers fell, and Popular Mechanics dished out disinformation on how it could have happened, it is already in ample evidence that science is permeated with politics, like every other human endeavor! So before looking at the scientists' results and reading their papers, look at their motivation. Whom do they skill for?

I would be happy to address further questions from anyone. This topic does require doing substantial due diligence before forming opinions. Remember that the subject matter is no less laden with deception, than any other topic which relates to world government, from 'war on terror' to 'money as debt' to the Federal Reserve System. You can't simply pick up a text-book (or 10 books) on any of these topics and assume what you are reading is entirely correct, as one normally does at a university in a typical science curriculum. There, the measurement of learning is often how accurately one has understood what the books are teaching, and one gets an 'A' for perfect recollection and/or solving problems based on the axioms in the books which are rarely if ever challenged. The axioms are taken on faith and assumed correct. One takes $F=MA$ for granted.

Here, you have to assume that the text-books/articles/literature/Nobel-Prizes could also be lying, telling half-truths through omissions and distortions, or spinning politically motivated mantras as axioms upon which all further discussions are being based. Just like 911 and the 'war on terror'. That is quite a difference in approach to studying! It requires one being a Sherlock Holmes trying to solve a complex puzzle laden with deliberate red herrings more than being a naïve grad-student!

Hope this fleshes out all the dimensions of the question. For the simple reason that Global Warming mantra is to be Machiavellianly employed to control humanity, and we have even seen a glimpse of that in the Financial Times editorial, I oppose it. If it turns out that the human emissions are the most significant bit, let the affluent nations bring themselves down to the level of poor nations before

demanding from them to do anything. After all, the ruling-elite are pitching that we are one ship of humanity and global control is necessary. Let not the upper-deck live in plunderous wealth while the lower decks are thrown to the sea! That is only fair for something as intimately shared as the environment!

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Footnotes

Select References from the original [Footnotes](#)

[0] Geo Engineering

In the dismantling of the core lie above of whether or not global warming is man-made and what really lies behind that boogeyman, we haven't even touched upon the subject of Geo Engineering. This is to deliberately engineer the earth's weather and artificially induce global climate change to precipitate the climate crisis in order to lend the mantra du jour some legitimacy – be it global warming, or global cooling, or some yet to be thought of even more frightening crisis such as global earthquakes, or other more imaginative earth-centric or earth-impacting catastrophes, which can give new lease on life to these mantras to continue pursuing the real agenda behind it all in the pretext of fighting these global crises. Just like the mantra of war on terror. We already observe how new global enemies are inexplicably birth-panged in the blood of the ordinary people to give this well-worn mantra a new lease on its life.

Whether the climate change boogeyman is crafted in mantras alone, or in mantras backed by manufactured crisis such as through [Geo Engineering](#) or [extra-terrestrial engineering](#), in order to fabricate the data to continually fuel that frightening narrative, the outcome is singular: the introduction of carbon credit by which to limit and control population growth. When you limit carbon emissions, you automatically limit production, and consequently control population growth. We already know without doubt that population reduction is a ruling agenda as per NSSM-200 in which Dr. Henry Kissinger stated, as the then Secretary of State, that rise of third world population was a threat to the National Security of the United States. We also know that all the news related to climate and weather is controlled and disseminated to the newsmedia from a private organization called

NB: On Global Warming – Concern for Environment is a ‘Hegelian Mind Fck’!?

[Weather Central](#) in which the House of Rothschild purchased a 70% majority stake in 2011. The Wall Street Journal headlined it:

Even the Rothschilds Are Weather Obsessed, *The couple’s private-investment company, E.L. Rothschild LLC, is slated to acquire 70% of Weather Central, which provides weather forecasting services and graphics to local television stations and TV programs such as ABC’s “Good Morning America.”* ([WSJ, January 31, 2011](#))

Why is the banking cabal so interested in providing that service to mankind? This is what Sir Evelyn Rothschild said:

“As a family, the Rothschilds have always been dedicated to the utmost quality of our products and services. With respect to our investments, we focus on entering into long-term partnerships with people who share our values. As weather becomes more extreme around the planet, with greater human and financial ramifications, we believe that Weather Central will play a major role in mitigating damage and improving lives. This is important to the Rothschilds, as it is to Weather Central. We are proud of our new partnership with them.” ([Businesswire, January 31, 2011](#))

The interconnection of common threads of interest of the same banking cabal is open for all to see. First they controlled the money supply via acquiring monopoly control over nations' central banks and the issuance of their own national currency as a debt secured by the taxation levied upon the peoples of these nations. The same cabal is now seeking to control all humanity via the global carbon credit scam which will give them control over all means of production, including of human beings. Thus it makes sense for the cabal to control the primary source of all news and propaganda dissemination on weather and climate, just as they already control other news dissemination sources and news agencies such as the Associated Press. There is an extensive bibliography on this subject, the pathetic unfamiliarity with which leads to the disbelief and confusion among the public as well as among their mild-mannered gallant stewards across the board, from science to politics, that there is a diabolical conspiracy afoot to control mankind. None dare call it conspiracy. Which is why, the popes and the laity alike are easily led to buy one control regime after another in the name of some higher purpose. Such as, securing their nation from this or that threat, danger, crisis, catastrophe or calamity.

To secure mankind from the threat of the terrorists, the public has been made to accept the

war on terror and the concomitant police-states that virtually all of mankind now live in. To secure mankind from climate change, all governments are now being goaded and directed to accept legal controls on carbon emissions. This will soon translate to the number of human beings and factories on the planet that can be sustained in eco-balance of the new manufactured reality of climate change!

This not so hidden motivation is in plain sight. It is not a classified state secret. And yet, incredibly, as in all matters of controlling the public mind by way of omission, the most effective form of propaganda, there is absolute silence on any mention of Geo Engineering in the calculus of climate change among the very officialdom who are leading the charge on limiting carbon emissions. No word in the press, or among the academics, or among the pundits and the pulpits. The powers that be had long purchased their own scientists, prostitutes and pressman, not to forget the politicians and the robes, to both spin the mantra of global warming, and to participate in the fruits and labors of Geo Engineering. And they have purchased the primary source of narrative distribution on this subject as well. To learn more about Geo Engineering, try searching for that term in [Google](#). The first item that crops up is in fact this Newspeak definition of Geo Engineering to make it appear benign: **Geoengineering is the artificial modification of Earths climate systems through two primary ideologies, Solar Radiation Management (SRM) and Carbon Dioxide Removal (CDR).**

The new propaganda lines for useful idiots to promulgate to the masses to get them to accept this whole scam appears to be by way of ***the technique of infamy, invent two or more lies instead of just one, and have the public vigorously debate which of them is true or the better alternative:*** the de-carbonization of environment for sustainable development by carbon credit vs. SRM and CDR ideologies to manage the earth's climate for the greater benefit of the public. All very scientifically couched of course. Weather modification and control techniques have countless patents published on the US patent website that are open for anyone to study. Global supremacy in weather modification techniques has openly been declared time and again as having military dimensions and its complete mastery a military objective. None of this is ever mentioned in the annual climate change conferences where the political leaders of the world legally sign away their grandchildren's future with great fanfare of saving the earth. The following two documentaries dive deeply into the subject of Geo Engineering for the lay person. The excellent website <http://geoengineeringwatch.org> is a stupendous resource for further due diligence.

"What in the World Are They Spraying?"

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xFQ2_0QNiks]

“Why in the World are They Spraying?”

[<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mEfJ00-cTis>]

[1] No Need to Panic About Global Warming – The Wall Street Journal, [op-ed January 27, 2012](#): *There's no compelling scientific argument for drastic action to 'decarbonize' the world's economy;* and **Concerned Scientists Reply on Global Warming – The Wall Street Journal, [op-ed February 21, 2012](#):** *The authors of the Jan. 27 Wall Street Journal op-ed, 'No Need to Panic about Global Warming,' respond to their critics.*

Zahir's Take: Full text from both these WSJ op-eds reproduced below – signed by 16 prominent scientists in the field, including the well known MIT Professor, Dr. Richard S. Lindzen, see footnote [15] below. What is missing in the two op-eds by these brilliant scientists despite their most perceptive insight: **“One reason to be on guard, as we explained in our original op-ed, is that motives other than objective science are at work in much of the scientific establishment.”**, is any explicit indication of the real motivation behind the fiction of Global Warming apart from **“but a good place to start is the old question "cui bono?" Or the modern update, "Follow the money.”** They inexplicably fail to see the elephant in the bedroom, that the exercise of so much state power behind fabrication of this pretext is primarily intended for ushering in the global carbon credit scam as a means of full spectrum control over human life.

Nevertheless, putting the non junk hard science of these dissenting scientists with the political science that drives the hard road to world order, also coherently explains why billionaire Bill Gates, the retired founder of Microsoft, is so altruistically pursuing his global vaccination program for reducing the earth's population in the Third World by drawing upon the fiction of Global Warming and the alarmist mantra of reducing CO2 emissions to save earth. Watch Bill Gates' presentation at TED talk, read these op-eds by the non junk scientists, and the ‘Hegelian Mind Fck’ behind the concern for environment, the concern for over population, and the concern for global health with its concomitant legally enforced vaccination regimens in the Third World nations, all begin to make sense. The entire house of cards of fear-mongering is built on the single fiction of Global Warming. Take away that fiction and what remains? **A new fiction will be invented to create a new pretext for the same outcome.**

Watch Bill Gates first speak at the [Feb 2010 TED Talk: Innovating to Zero](#). This is what the humanitarian says with the unmatched candor that often accompanies hubris:

“If you gave me only one wish for the next 50 years -- I could pick who's president, I could pick a vaccine, which is something I love, ...” The [video](#) shows Gates' infamous CO2 slide (at time 3:53): **“CO2 = P x S x E x C”** and he explains it: *“This equation has four factors, a little bit of multiplication: So, you've got a thing on the left, CO2, that you want to get to zero, and that's going to be based on the number of people, the services each person's using on average, the energy on average for each service, and the CO2 being put out per unit of energy. So, let's look at each one of these and see how we can get this down to zero. Probably, one of these numbers is going to have to get pretty near to zero. Now that's back from high school algebra, but let's take a look. **First, we've got population. The world today has 6.8 billion people. That's headed up to about nine billion. Now, if we do a really great job on new vaccines, health care, reproductive health services, we could lower that by, perhaps, 10 or 15 percent, but there we see an increase of about 1.3.”*** (transcript, http://www.ted.com/talks/bill_gates)

Now the WSJ Opeds have a context that lends a whole new perspective that is greater than the sum of what's stated in each one of these signed letters from prominent climate scientists.

[WSJ op-ed January 27, 2012]

WSJ Editor's Note: The following has been signed by the 16 scientists listed at the end of the article:

A candidate for public office in any contemporary democracy may have to consider what, if anything, to do about "global warming." Candidates should understand that the oft-repeated claim that nearly all scientists demand that something dramatic be done to stop global warming is not true. In fact, a large and growing number of distinguished scientists and engineers do not agree that drastic actions on global warming are needed.

In September, Nobel Prize-winning physicist Ivar Giaever, a supporter of President Obama in the last election, publicly resigned from the American Physical Society (APS) with a letter that begins: "I did not renew [my membership] because I cannot live with the [APS policy] statement: 'The evidence is incontrovertible: Global warming is occurring. If no mitigating actions are taken, significant disruptions in the Earth's physical and ecological systems, social systems, security and human health are likely to occur. We must reduce emissions of greenhouse gases beginning now.'" In the APS it is OK to discuss whether the mass of the proton changes over time and how a multi-universe behaves, but the evidence of global

warming is incontrovertible?"

In spite of a multidecade international campaign to enforce the message that increasing amounts of the "pollutant" carbon dioxide will destroy civilization, large numbers of scientists, many very prominent, share the opinions of Dr. Giaever. And the number of scientific "heretics" is growing with each passing year. The reason is a collection of stubborn scientific facts.

Perhaps the most inconvenient fact is the lack of global warming for well over 10 years now. This is known to the warming establishment, as one can see from the 2009 "Climategate" email of climate scientist Kevin Trenberth: "The fact is that we can't account for the lack of warming at the moment and it is a travesty that we can't." But the warming is only missing if one believes computer models where so-called feedbacks involving water vapor and clouds greatly amplify the small effect of CO₂.

The lack of warming for more than a decade—indeed, the smaller-than-predicted warming over the 22 years since the U.N.'s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) began issuing projections—suggests that computer models have greatly exaggerated how much warming additional CO₂ can cause. Faced with this embarrassment, those promoting alarm have shifted their drumbeat from warming to weather extremes, to enable anything unusual that happens in our chaotic climate to be ascribed to CO₂.

The fact is that CO₂ is not a pollutant. CO₂ is a colorless and odorless gas, exhaled at high concentrations by each of us, and a key component of the biosphere's life cycle. Plants do so much better with more CO₂ that greenhouse operators often increase the CO₂ concentrations by factors of three or four to get better growth. This is no surprise since plants and animals evolved when CO₂ concentrations were about 10 times larger than they are today. Better plant varieties, chemical fertilizers and agricultural management contributed to the great increase in agricultural yields of the past century, but part of the increase almost certainly came from additional CO₂ in the atmosphere.

Although the number of publicly dissenting scientists is growing, many young scientists furtively say that while they also have serious doubts about the global-warming message, they are afraid to speak up for fear of not being promoted—or worse. They have good reason to worry. In 2003, Dr. Chris de Freitas, the editor of the journal *Climate Research*, dared to publish a peer-reviewed article with the politically incorrect (but factually correct) conclusion that the recent warming is not unusual in the context of climate changes over the past

thousand years. The international warming establishment quickly mounted a determined campaign to have Dr. de Freitas removed from his editorial job and fired from his university position. Fortunately, Dr. de Freitas was able to keep his university job.

This is not the way science is supposed to work, but we have seen it before—for example, in the frightening period when Trofim Lysenko hijacked biology in the Soviet Union. Soviet biologists who revealed that they believed in genes, which Lysenko maintained were a bourgeois fiction, were fired from their jobs. Many were sent to the gulag and some were condemned to death.

Why is there so much passion about global warming, and why has the issue become so vexing that the American Physical Society, from which Dr. Giaever resigned a few months ago, refused the seemingly reasonable request by many of its members to remove the word "incontrovertible" from its description of a scientific issue? There are several reasons, but a good place to start is the old question "cui bono?" Or the modern update, "Follow the money."

Alarmism over climate is of great benefit to many, providing government funding for academic research and a reason for government bureaucracies to grow. Alarmism also offers an excuse for governments to raise taxes, taxpayer-funded subsidies for businesses that understand how to work the political system, and a lure for big donations to charitable foundations promising to save the planet. Lysenko and his team lived very well, and they fiercely defended their dogma and the privileges it brought them.

Speaking for many scientists and engineers who have looked carefully and independently at the science of climate, we have a message to any candidate for public office: There is no compelling scientific argument for drastic action to "decarbonize" the world's economy. Even if one accepts the inflated climate forecasts of the IPCC, aggressive greenhouse-gas control policies are not justified economically.

A recent study of a wide variety of policy options by Yale economist William Nordhaus showed that nearly the highest benefit-to-cost ratio is achieved for a policy that allows 50 more years of economic growth unimpeded by greenhouse gas controls. This would be especially beneficial to the less-developed parts of the world that would like to share some of the same advantages of material well-being, health and life expectancy that the fully developed parts of the world enjoy now. Many other policy responses would have a negative return on investment. And it is likely that more CO₂ and the modest warming that may come

NB: On Global Warming – Concern for Environment is a ‘Hegelian Mind Fck’!?

with it will be an overall benefit to the planet.

If elected officials feel compelled to "do something" about climate, we recommend supporting the excellent scientists who are increasing our understanding of climate with well-designed instruments on satellites, in the oceans and on land, and in the analysis of observational data. The better we understand climate, the better we can cope with its ever-changing nature, which has complicated human life throughout history. However, much of the huge private and government investment in climate is badly in need of critical review.

Every candidate should support rational measures to protect and improve our environment, but it makes no sense at all to back expensive programs that divert resources from real needs and are based on alarming but untenable claims of "incontrovertible" evidence.

[Signed by]

Claude Allegre, former director of the Institute for the Study of the Earth, University of Paris; J. Scott Armstrong, cofounder of the Journal of Forecasting and the International Journal of Forecasting; Jan Breslow, head of the Laboratory of Biochemical Genetics and Metabolism, Rockefeller University; Roger Cohen, fellow, American Physical Society; Edward David, member, National Academy of Engineering and National Academy of Sciences; William Happer, professor of physics, Princeton; Michael Kelly, professor of technology, University of Cambridge, U.K.; William Kininmonth, former head of climate research at the Australian Bureau of Meteorology; Richard Lindzen, professor of atmospheric sciences, MIT; James McGrath, professor of chemistry, Virginia Technical University; Rodney Nichols, former president and CEO of the New York Academy of Sciences; Burt Rutan, aerospace engineer, designer of Voyager and SpaceShipOne; Harrison H. Schmitt, Apollo 17 astronaut and former U.S. senator; Nir Shaviv, professor of astrophysics, Hebrew University, Jerusalem; Henk Tennekes, former director, Royal Dutch Meteorological Service; Antonio Zichichi, president of the World Federation of Scientists, Geneva.

[WSJ op-ed February 21, 2012]

Editor's Note: The authors of the following letter, listed below, are also the signatories of "No Need to Panic About Global Warming," an op-ed that appeared in the Journal on January 27. This letter responds to criticisms of the op-ed made by Kevin Trenberth and 37 others in a

NB: On Global Warming – Concern for Environment is a ‘Hegelian Mind Fck’!?


letter published Feb. 1, and by Robert Byer of the American Physical Society in a letter published Feb. 6.

The interest generated by our Wall Street Journal op-ed of Jan. 27, "No Need to Panic about Global Warming," is gratifying but so extensive that we will limit our response to the letter to the editor the Journal published on Feb. 1, 2012 by Kevin Trenberth and 37 other signatories, and to the Feb. 6 letter by Robert Byer, President of the American Physical Society. (We, of course, thank the writers of supportive letters.)

We agree with Mr. Trenberth et al. that expertise is important in medical care, as it is in any matter of importance to humans or our environment. Consider then that by eliminating fossil fuels, the recipient of medical care (all of us) is being asked to submit to what amounts to an economic heart transplant. According to most patient bills of rights, the patient has a strong say in the treatment decision. Natural questions from the patient are whether a heart transplant is really needed, and how successful the diagnostic team has been in the past.

In this respect, an important gauge of scientific expertise is the ability to make successful predictions. When predictions fail, we say the theory is "falsified" and we should look for the reasons for the failure. Shown in the nearby graph is the measured annual temperature of the earth since 1989, just before the first report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Also shown are the projections of the likely increase of temperature, as published in the Summaries of each of the four IPCC reports, the first in the year 1990 and the last in the year 2007.

These projections were based on IPCC computer models of how increased atmospheric CO₂ should warm the earth. Some of the models predict higher or lower rates of warming, but the projections shown in the graph and their extensions into the distant future are the basis of most studies of environmental effects and mitigation policy options. Year-to-year fluctuations and discrepancies are unimportant; longer-term trends are significant.



From the graph it appears that the projections exaggerate, substantially, the response of the earth's temperature to CO₂ which increased by about 11% from 1989 through 2011. Furthermore, when one examines the historical temperature record throughout the 20th century and into the 21st, the data strongly suggest a much lower CO₂ effect than almost all models calculate.

The Trenberth letter tells us that "computer models have recently shown that during periods when there is a smaller increase of surface temperatures, warming is occurring elsewhere in the climate system, typically in the deep ocean." The ARGO system of diving buoys is providing increasingly reliable data on the temperature of the upper layers of the ocean, where much of any heat from global warming must reside. But much like the surface temperature shown in the graph, the heat content of the upper layers of the world's oceans is not increasing nearly as fast as IPCC models predict, perhaps not increasing at all. Why should we now believe exaggerating IPCC models that tell us of "missing heat" hiding in the one place where it cannot yet be reliably measured—the deep ocean?

Given this dubious track record of prediction, it is entirely reasonable to ask for a second opinion. We have offered ours. With apologies for any immodesty, we all have enjoyed distinguished careers in climate science or in key science and engineering disciplines (such as physics, aeronautics, geology, biology, forecasting) on which climate science is based.

Trenberth et al. tell us that the managements of major national academies of science have said that "the science is clear, the world is heating up and humans are primarily responsible." Apparently every generation of humanity needs to relearn that Mother Nature tells us what the science is, not authoritarian academy bureaucrats or computer models.

One reason to be on guard, as we explained in our original op-ed, is that motives other than objective science are at work in much of the scientific establishment. All of us are members of major academies and scientific societies, but we urge Journal readers not to depend on pompous academy pronouncements—on what we say—but to follow the motto of the Royal Society of Great Britain, one of the oldest learned societies in the world: nullius in verba—take nobody's word for it. As we said in our op-ed, everyone should look at certain stubborn facts that don't fit the theory espoused in the Trenberth letter, for example—the graph of surface temperature above, and similar data for the temperature of the lower atmosphere and the upper oceans.

What are we to make of the letter's claim: "Climate experts know that the long-term warming trend has not abated in the past decade. In fact, it was the warmest decade on record." We don't see any warming trend after the year 2000 in the graph. It is true that the years 2000-2010 were perhaps 0.2 C warmer than the preceding 10 years. But the record indicates that long before CO₂ concentrations of the atmosphere began to increase, the earth began to warm in fits and starts at the end of the Little Ice Age—hundreds of years ago. This long term-trend is quite likely to produce several warm years in a row. The question is how much of the warming comes from CO₂ and how much is due to other, both natural and anthropogenic, factors?

There have been many times in the past when there were warmer decades. It may have been warmer in medieval times, when the Vikings settled Greenland, and when wine was exported from England. Many proxy indicators show that the Medieval Warming was global in extent. And there were even warmer periods a few thousand years ago during the Holocene Climate Optimum. The fact is that there are very powerful influences on the earth's climate that have nothing to do with human-generated CO₂. The graph strongly suggests that the IPCC has greatly underestimated the natural sources of warming (and cooling) and has greatly exaggerated the warming from CO₂.

The Trenberth letter states: "Research shows that more than 97% of scientists actively publishing in the field agree that climate change is real and human caused." However, the

NB: On Global Warming – Concern for Environment is a ‘Hegelian Mind Fck’!?

claim of 97% support is deceptive. The surveys contained trivial polling questions that even we would agree with. Thus, these surveys find that large majorities agree that temperatures have increased since 1800 and that human activities have some impact.

But what is being disputed is the size and nature of the human contribution to global warming. To claim, as the Trenberth letter apparently does, that disputing this constitutes "extreme views that are out of step with nearly every other climate expert" is peculiar indeed.

One might infer from the Trenberth letter that scientific facts are determined by majority vote. Some postmodern philosophers have made such claims. But scientific facts come from observations, experiments and careful analysis, not from the near-unanimous vote of some group of people.

The continued efforts of the climate establishment to eliminate "extreme views" can acquire a seriously threatening nature when efforts are directed at silencing scientific opposition. In our op-ed we mentioned the campaign circa 2003 to have Dr. Chris de Freitas removed not only from his position as editor of the journal *Climate Research*, but from his university job as well. Much of that campaign is documented in Climategate emails, where one of the signatories of the Trenberth et al. letter writes: "I believe that a boycott against publishing, reviewing for, or even citing articles from *Climate Research* [then edited by Dr. de Freitas] is certainly warranted, but perhaps the minimum action that should be taken."

Or consider the resignation last year of Wolfgang Wagner, editor-in-chief of the journal *Remote Sensing*. In a fulsome resignation editorial eerily reminiscent of past recantations by political and religious heretics, Mr. Wagner confessed to his "sin" of publishing a properly peer-reviewed paper by University of Alabama scientists Roy Spencer and William Braswell containing the finding that IPCC models exaggerate the warming caused by increasing CO₂.

The Trenberth letter tells us that decarbonization of the world's economy would "drive decades of economic growth." This is not a scientific statement nor is there evidence it is true. A premature global-scale transition from hydrocarbon fuels would require massive government intervention to support the deployment of more expensive energy technology. If there were economic advantages to investing in technology that depends on taxpayer support, companies like Beacon Power, Evergreen Solar, Solar Millenium, SpectraWatt, Solyndra, Ener1 and the Renewable Energy Development Corporation would be prospering instead of filing for bankruptcy in only the past few months.

The European experience with green technologies has also been discouraging. A study found that every new "green job" in Spain destroyed more than two existing jobs and diverted capital that would have created new jobs elsewhere in the economy. More recently, European governments have been cutting subsidies for expensive CO₂-emissionless energy technologies, not what one would expect if such subsidies were stimulating otherwise languid economies. And as we pointed out in our op-ed, it is unlikely that there will be any environmental benefit from the reduced CO₂ emissions associated with green technologies, which are based on the demonization of CO₂.

Turning to the letter of the president of the American Physical Society (APS), Robert Byer, we read, "The statement [on climate] does not declare, as the signatories of the letter [our op-ed] suggest, that the human contribution to climate change is incontrovertible." This seems to suggest that APS does not in fact consider the science on this key question to be settled.

Yet here is the critical paragraph from the statement that caused the resignation of Nobel laureate Ivar Giaever and many other long-time members of the APS: "The evidence is incontrovertible: Global warming is occurring. If no mitigating actions are taken, significant disruptions in the Earth's physical and ecological systems, social systems, security and human health are likely to occur. We must reduce emissions of greenhouse gases beginning now." No reasonable person can read this and avoid the conclusion that APS is declaring the human impact "incontrovertible." Otherwise there would be no logical link from "global warming" to the shrill call for mitigation.

The APS response to the concerns of its membership was better than that of any other scientific society, but it was not democratic. The management of APS took months to review the statement quoted above, and it eventually declared that not a word needed to be changed, though some 750 words were added to try to explain what the original 157 words really meant. APS members were permitted to send in comments but the comments were never made public.

In spite of the obstinacy of some in APS management, APS members of good will are supporting the establishment of a politics-free, climate physics study group within the Society. If successful, it will facilitate much needed discussion, debate, and independent research in the physics of climate.

In summary, science progresses by testing predictions against real world data obtained from

NB: On Global Warming – Concern for Environment is a ‘Hegelian Mind Fck’!?

direct observations and rigorous experiments. The stakes in the global-warming debate are much too high to ignore this observational evidence and declare the science settled. Though there are many more scientists who are extremely well qualified and have reached the same conclusions we have, we stress again that science is not a democratic exercise and our conclusions must be based on observational evidence.

The computer-model predictions of alarming global warming have seriously exaggerated the warming by CO2 and have underestimated other causes. Since CO2 is not a pollutant but a substantial benefit to agriculture, and since its warming potential has been greatly exaggerated, it is time for the world to rethink its frenzied pursuit of decarbonization at any cost.

[Signed by]

Claude Allegre, former director of the Institute for the Study of the Earth, University of Paris; J. Scott Armstrong, cofounder of the Journal of Forecasting and the International Journal of Forecasting; Jan Breslow, head of the Laboratory of Biochemical Genetics and Metabolism, Rockefeller University; Roger Cohen, fellow, American Physical Society; Edward David, member, National Academy of Engineering and National Academy of Sciences; William Happer, professor of physics, Princeton; Michael Kelly, professor of technology, University of Cambridge, U.K.; William Kininmonth, former head of climate research at the Australian Bureau of Meteorology; Richard Lindzen, professor of atmospheric sciences, MIT; James McGrath, professor of chemistry, Virginia Technical University; Rodney Nichols, former president and CEO of the New York Academy of Sciences; Burt Rutan, aerospace engineer, designer of Voyager and SpaceShipOne; Harrison H. Schmitt, Apollo 17 astronaut and former U.S. senator; Nir Shaviv, professor of astrophysics, Hebrew University, Jerusalem; Henk Tennekes, former director, Royal Dutch Meteorological Service; Antonio Zichichi, president of the World Federation of Scientists, Geneva.

[0d] The Hockey Stick: Propaganda System In Play

A dissecting note on the sophisticated propaganda system deployed to make the public mind is necessary in order to situate the mechanistic context of how Global Warming scam is technically being carried out by well-known establishmentarian scientists using *pseudo science* and *deep social engineering* in cahoots with the political establishment – putting [science in the service of empire](#). It is borrowed from [The ‘Hockey Stick’: A New Low in Climate Science](#) and is courtesy of the late [John L.](#)

NB: On Global Warming – Concern for Environment is a ‘Hegelian Mind Fck’!?

[Daly](#). In his meticulous dissection of aspects of the Climate Change / Global Warming propaganda system, John Daly stated in his detailed deconstruction of the infamous 'Hockey Stick' graph which was concocted by a young scientist named Michael Mann who, as good fortunes would have it, also saw a meteoric rise in his career as well as in his public presence in *synchronicity* with his 'Hockey Stick':

Begin Excerpt from [The 'Hockey Stick': A New Low in Climate Science](#)

The 'Hockey Stick'

Dr Michael Mann of the Department of Geosciences, University of Massachusetts was the primary author of the GRL paper, and in one scientific coup overturned the whole of climate history [16].




Fig.4 - The 'Hockey Stick'

Using tree rings as a basis for assessing past temperature changes back to the year 1,000 AD, supplemented by other proxies from more recent centuries, Mann completely redrew the

NB: On Global Warming – Concern for Environment is a 'Hegelian Mind Fck'!?

history, turning the Medieval Warm Period and Little Ice Age into non-events, consigned to a kind of Orwellian 'memory hole' [22]. Fig.4 shows Mann's revision of the climatic history of the last millennium.

From the diagram, the Medieval Warm Period and Little Ice Age have disappeared, to be replaced by a largely benign and slightly cooling linear trend in climate - until 1900 AD.

At that point, Mann completed the coup and crudely grafted the surface temperature record of the 20th century (shown in red and itself largely the product of urban heat islands) onto the pre-1900 tree ring record. The effect was visually dramatic as the 20th century was portrayed as a climate rocketing out of control. The red line extends all the way to 1998 (Mann's 'warmest year of the millennium'), a year warmed by the big El Niño of that year. It should be noted that the surface record is completely at variance with the satellite temperature record [20]. Had the latter been used to represent the last 20 years, the effect would have been to make the 20th century much less significant when compared with earlier centuries.

As a piece of science and statistics it was seriously flawed as two data series representing such different variables as temperature and tree rings simply cannot be credibly grafted together into a single series.

In every other science when such a drastic revision of previously accepted knowledge is promulgated, there is considerable debate and initial scepticism, the new theory facing a gauntlet of criticism and intense review. Only if a new idea survives that process does it become broadly accepted by the scientific peer group and the public at large.

This never happened with Mann's 'Hockey Stick'. The coup was total, bloodless, and swift as Mann's paper was greeted with a chorus of uncritical approval from the greenhouse industry. Within the space of only 12 months, the theory had become entrenched as a new orthodoxy.

The ultimate consummation of the new theory came with the release of the draft of the Third Assessment Report (TAR-2000) [11] of the IPCC. Overturning its own previous view in the 1995 report, the IPCC presented the 'Hockey Stick' as the new orthodoxy with hardly an apology or explanation for the abrupt U-turn since its 1995 report. They could not even offer any scientific justification for their new line.

Within months of the IPCC draft release, the long-awaited draft U.S. 'National Assessment' Overview document featured the 'Hockey Stick' as the first of many climatic graphs and

NB: On Global Warming – Concern for Environment is a 'Hegelian Mind Fck'!?

charts in its report, affirming the crucial importance placed in it by the authors and by the industry at large. This is not an esoteric theory about the distant past, marginal to the global warming debate, but rather is a core foundation upon which a new publicity offensive on global warming is being mounted.

Two issues are raised by Mann's 'Hockey Stick'.

- 1) Why did the climate community fail to critically review the validity of the new theory, indeed to uncritically embrace it in its entirety?
- 2) Is any of it true? Or is it a means of disposing of the inconvenient Medieval Warm Period and Little Ice Age, and thus avoid the problem of the role of the sun in climate history?

The Origins of the 'Hockey Stick'

Tree rings are the primary proxy behind the 'Hockey Stick', particularly the earlier part of the millennium. Tree rings are only laid during the growing season, not the whole year, and so they tell us little or nothing about annual climate. For example, this year (2000) there was a warm winter and early spring in the north-eastern USA, followed by an unusually cool summer and fall. Since the two events are largely self-cancelling, the year may finish as fairly average, but the tree rings would only record the cool summer and thus give a completely false impression of the full-year temperature. Tree rings do not even record night temperatures since photosynthesis only occurs in the daytime. Yet winter and night temperatures are an essential component of what we understand by the concept 'annual mean temperature'.

All a tree ring can tell us is whether the combined micro-environmental conditions during the growing season were favourable to tree growth or not. This is because tree rings are influenced by numerous factors other than temperature, such as rainfall, sunlight, cloudiness, pests, competition, forest fires, soil nutrients, frosts and snow duration. Thus they are not even a good daytime temperature proxy for the few months of the growing season. Other proxies such as isotopes in coral, ice, minerals and sediments are vastly superior.

Trees only grow on land. Since 71% of the planet is covered by oceans, seas and lakes, tree rings can tell us nothing about the maritime climate, even though the oceans are known to be the prime determinants of climate conditions throughout the world.

In other words, historical climate simply cannot be described without taking into account the winter and adjacent months temperatures, night-time temperatures, and ocean sea surface temperatures. Tree rings, no matter how carefully they are measured and examined, cannot provide information on any of these key parameters, and are a doubtful proxy even for daytime temperatures on land in summer.

A final weakness arises when calibrating the tree rings against temperature. When measuring the width or density of a tree ring, exactly what temperature is represented by that measurement? This can only be determined by calibrating recently laid rings against known temperatures that existed at the time. Even this is problematic as the 'known temperatures' can mean using a temperature series seriously contaminated by heat island and other local errors. If the calibrating temperatures are wrong, the whole tree ring temperature reconstruction for the distant past is also compromised.

There are many sub-specialties within the greenhouse sciences, 'dendrochronology' (study of tree rings) being one of them. That particular sub-branch has both prospered and been highly successful in projecting itself to the broader climatic community on the basis of what is a very weak proxy.

In respect of Europe and Greenland, the IPCC and 'National Assessment' do not challenge the existence of the Medieval Warm Period and Little Ice Age as they are too well recorded in other proxy indicators and historical accounts of the time. Instead, these events are now presented as being purely local to Europe and Greenland, but completely absent elsewhere in the world.

In general, the greenhouse industry disregards historical evidence, claiming them to be merely 'anecdotes'. However, the idea that historical evidence can be easily dismissed as 'anecdotes' in favor of questionable proxies like tree rings is to suggest that professional historians cannot be trusted to be objective.

Objectivity comes from how the evidence is treated, not the nature of the evidence itself. Historians can be just as objective as any scientist. Indeed most of them regard their work as science. As a prominent Finnish scientist remarked about a historical military event in his country's distant history, "if 'anecdotal' ice is thick enough to carry a whole army, we can infer the ice was both thick and durable as an objective conclusion based on a documented historical fact."

NB: On Global Warming – Concern for Environment is a 'Hegelian Mind Fck'!?

Similar inferences can be made elsewhere in the world. For example, if whole populations suffered from drought-induced famine, we can infer a reduced rainfall. We don't need the proxies to tell us - indeed they might even mislead us. When a society is ravaged by great floods, we can infer increased precipitation. When the Polynesians were able to populate the Pacific Islands by outrigger boats, we can make climate inferences there too.

The fact that the greenhouse sciences were reluctant to declare the Medieval Warm Period and Little Ice Age as non-events in Europe suggests that the historical evidence was too overwhelming to make selected proxies believable. Such a claim for Europe would have been met with derision. While greenhouse science may regard proxies as being more objective than historical 'anecdotes', that viewpoint is only shared among that peer group. The wider academic community, governments, and public opinion (the most important peer group of all) will give much more credibility to well-researched historical evidence.

If the IPCC were genuine about the need for full information about millennial climate, they would involve historians everywhere to research their resources to determine past climates as observed and experienced by human societies. The fear of some global warming proponents is that the historians would indeed find the Medieval Warm Period and Little Ice Age all over the world and that governments and public opinion would accept the historical accounts over tree rings.

In Mann's original formulation, the 'Hockey Stick' only applied to the Northern Hemisphere. However, the U.S. National Assessment treated it as if it were a global history by reproducing Mann's original graph with a new title implying it has global rather than hemispheric application [19]. This revised version of Mann's graph is shown in Fig.5.

Apart from the subtle 'globalisation' of the graph in the title, this version omits the wide error margins that were included with the original Mann graph (Fig.3), shown in yellow. These error margins were the only indication by Mann that his formulation could be wrong, conceding in his paper that the pre-1400 data was uncertain. **The idea that global temperature 1,000 years ago could be calculated to an accuracy of 0.1°F based on a limited number of tree rings is simply not credible by any reasonable standard.** [emphasis added]

NB: On Global Warming – Concern for Environment is a ‘Hegelian Mind Fck’!?




Fig.5 - The 'Hockey Stick' according to the U.S. 'National Assessment'

The 'National Assessment' disregarded these issues and threw its full weight behind the new theory with this stark assertion.

"New studies indicate that temperatures in recent decades are higher than at any time in at least the past 1,000 years." - (NACC Overview p.11)

Mann himself made a similar conclusion in his original paper with these concluding remarks in the abstract to his paper -

"Our results suggest that the latter 20th century is anomalous in the context of at least the past millennium. The 1990's was the warmest decade, and 1998 the warmest year, at moderately high levels of confidence".

The statement was dramatic and uncompromising, with barely a hint of the uncertainties inherent in his whole analysis. There was no dissent - except from those scientists who were already on record as being sceptical of global warming anyway. The final claim, that '1998

NB: On Global Warming – Concern for Environment is a 'Hegelian Mind Fck'!?

was the warmest year of the millennium' was exactly what the climate change industry wanted to hear in the run-up to the next round of conferences on the Kyoto Protocol.

The Science that Lost its Way

It is now clear that the climate history of the northern hemisphere and the globe as a whole bears no similarity whatever to that portrayed by Mann's 'Hockey Stick'. It is inconceivable that two major climatic events of the last millennium, the Medieval Warm Period and Little Ice Age, could be observed at the same points in time in such varied locations and with such a variety of proxies, around the world and yet be missed by Mann's study. One possible explanation for this discrepancy is that tree rings are inappropriate as temperature proxies, something most dendrochronologists are reluctant to acknowledge.

The question must then be asked, why do people who claim scientific credentials in the field cling so tenaciously to a characterization of past climate that is so patently false? Why was there so little challenge to the Mann theory among his peers? Why is there collective denial about the role of the sun when published and peer-reviewed evidence from solar scientists demonstrates a clear relationship between solar change and climate change?

A booklet titled "**On Being a Scientist: Responsible Conduct in Research**" [18], published by the National Academy of Science in 1995, provides us with a well-presented set of criteria to guide the conduct of scientists as they navigate their way through the difficult choices they have to make in the way they conduct themselves ethically.

"The fallibility of methods is a valuable reminder of the importance of skepticism in science. Scientific knowledge and scientific methods, whether old or new, must be continually scrutinized for possible errors. Such skepticism can conflict with other important features of science, such as the need for creativity and for conviction in arguing a given position. But organized and searching skepticism as well as an openness to new ideas are essential to guard against the intrusion of dogma or collective bias into scientific results."

Here, scepticism is held up as a virtue, in contrast with the hostile treatment afforded to sceptics in the climate sciences. But we also have this cogent warning against dogma and collective bias intruding into a science. This caution is directly applicable to those involved in climate change research as they have demonstrated numerous times a collective bias in their

work, a bias that must inevitably contaminate the peer review process itself.

A common failing of scientists, particularly those engaged in research which may have impacts upon the public, is to reject any input from the public in the conduct of their work. The peer review process provides an effective barrier to public scrutiny of a science, as is the tendency to regard the public as people to ‘be educated’ instead of being learned from. The resulting intellectual arrogance has the effect of making scientists into a sort of medieval priesthood, keepers of secret and exclusive knowledge, and to be kept away from prying public eyes. Such an attitude, common with many scientists, is unpardonable given that most research is paid for by public money. This however, does not prevent such scientists from adopting a proprietorial view of their research results. The NAS booklet cautions -

"In fulfilling these responsibilities scientists must take the time to relate scientific knowledge to society in such a way that members of the public can make an informed decision about the relevance of research. Sometimes researchers reserve this right to themselves, considering non-experts unqualified to make such judgments. But science offers only one window on human experience. While upholding the honor of their profession, scientists must seek to avoid putting scientific knowledge on a pedestal above knowledge obtained through other means."

This is a direct criticism of '**scientism**', a belief held by many scientists that knowledge not acquired by professional scientists is knowledge not worth having. Scientism is an affront to free people everywhere as it denies the right of the public to judge the work of science, even where this work is funded from taxpayer's money. It is a formula that holds scientists above criticism, and unaccountable to anyone but their own peers. It is an anti-democratic view of the world and is clearly opposed by the National Academy.

Yet in the climate sciences, we have numerous examples of public criticism and concern being dismissed with gratuitous statistics and spurious appeals to academic authority. [emphasis added]

'Michael Mann

At the time he published his ‘Hockey Stick’ paper, Michael Mann held an adjunct faculty position at the University of Massachusetts, in the Department of Geosciences. He received his PhD in 1998, and a year later was promoted to Assistant Professor at the University of

NB: On Global Warming – Concern for Environment is a 'Hegelian Mind Fck'!?

Virginia, in the Department of Environmental Sciences, at the age of 34.

[**Zahir's note 2016:** At present, Dr. Michael Mann is Professor of Meteorology, Director, Earth System Science Center, Pennsylvania State University. He wrote a glowing review of the 2016 handbook of climate change by Elizabeth Woodworth & David Ray Griffin, [*Unprecedented Climate Mobilization: A Handbook for Citizens and Their Governments.*](#)]

He is now the Lead Author of the 'Observed Climate Variability and Change' chapter of the IPCC Third Assessment Report (TAR-2000), and a contributing author on several other chapters of that report. The Technical Summary of the report, echoing Mann's paper, said: "The 1990s are likely to have been the warmest decade of the millennium, and 1998 is likely to have been the warmest year."

Mann is also now on the editorial board of the 'Journal of Climate' and was a guest editor for a special issue of 'Climatic Change'. He is also a 'referee' for the journals Nature, Science, Climatic Change, Geophysical Research Letters, Journal of Climate, JGR-Oceans, JGR-Atmospheres, Paleo oceanography, Eos, International Journal of Climatology, and NSF, NOAA, and DOE grant programs. (In the 'peer review' system of science, the role of anonymous referee confers the power to reject papers that are deemed, in the opinion of the referee, not to meet scientific standards).

He was appointed as a 'Scientific Adviser' to the U.S. Government (White House OSTP) on climate change issues.

Mann lists his 'popular media exposure' as including - "CBS, NBC, ABC, CNN, CNN headline news, BBC, NPR, PBS (NOVA/FROTLINE), WCBS, Time, Newsweek, Life, US News & World Report, Economist, Scientific American, Science News, Science, Rolling Stone, Popular Science, USA Today, New York Times, New York Times (Science Times), Washington Post, Boston Globe, London Times, Irish Times, AP, UPI, Reuters, and numerous other television/print media" [17].

Mann's career highlights a serious problem with the modern climate sciences, namely the 'star' system where high-profile scientists are promoted swiftly to influential positions in the industry. Such a star system reduces a science to the level of Hollywood.' [emphasis added]

End excerpt John Daly

[5] IPCC officials admit mistake over melting Himalayan glaciers, guardian.co.uk, Wednesday 20 January 2010

“The UN's climate science body has admitted that a claim made in its 2007 report - that Himalayan [glaciers](#) could melt away by 2035 - was unfounded.

The admission today followed a [New Scientist article last week](#) that revealed the source of the claim made in the 2007 report by the [Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change](#) (IPCC) was not peer-reviewed scientific literature – but a media interview with a scientist conducted in 1999. Several senior scientists have now said the claim was unrealistic and that the large Himalayan glaciers could not melt in a few decades.”

[8] The hockey stick is wrong and result of bad science

Canadian Professors Ross McKittrick and Christopher Essex deconstructed that 'hockey-stick science' along with their notable non-conformist collaborator and businessman from Toronto, Steve McIntyre, in their 2003 book *Taken by Storm*, long before climategate. But Prof. Ross too, circumspectly, only called it **“bad science”**, judiciously refraining from calling it pseudo **Science in the Service of Empire** which it is (see [Reflections on Modernity, Climategate, Pandemic, Peer Review, and Science in the Service of Empire](#) for expansion upon that *Baconian* phrase in bold).

The hockey stick is wrong and result of bad science



[<https://youtube.com/watch?v=-1k4mFZr-gE>]

'Michael Coren: **“What's all this about a hockey-stick?”**

Ross McKittrick: “Well, the hockey-stick graph. This was back in 2001, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [IPCC], it's a UN body that every five years puts out a big assessment of the science. And they are especially alert to any evidence that really promotes the Global Warming story and they give it lots of promotion. And in 2001, they latched onto this result that was fairly fresh in the literature, that had to do with what's called paleoclimatology. The study of the behavior of the climate from way back before we had thermometers.

Now, for decades the standard view has been that over the past thousand years, there is a Medieval era, which is very warm compared to the present. You know, the Greenland, the Vikings were able to have farms in Greenland. All over the world there is evidence that it was warmer, and basically better for people. And then things got cold for about 500 years, up to the 1800s, and then we were in a warming phase coming out of the little ice-age as it was called.

In the 2001 IPCC report, they changed all that and presented a graph that looks like a hockey stick lying on its side. So the mean state of the climate is almost constant, up until the year 1900, and then suddenly the temperatures started rising rapidly.

And this was very dramatic. It was an extremely effective graphics for getting people worried about global warming.

And it featured prominently in the debates over Kyoto, the government of Canada had it on its website. Actually the government of Canada quoted from it in a pamphlet they sent out to households across the country, and governments around the world did the same thing. Al Gore features it in his movie.” -- Minutes 0:23 to 2:20

Michael Coren: “... **Hold on, you are being very generous here.** The hockey stick was used time and time again, and in fact, it became almost iconic within the Global Warming movement. As you say, movies, and pamphlets sent out to people across Canada. **And you are not saying to me, it was never genuine, either because there was weak research, or even dishonest research, this is kafkaesque.**”

Ross McKittrick: “Well, what we found along the way was there was statistical errors, but one of the big problems was they'd used a contaminated dataset. They had about 400 input data series of these temperature proxies, but the way they were analyzing them was most of the data was thrown out, and there is one little segment of the dataset that all the results

NB: On Global Warming – Concern for Environment is a ‘Hegelian Mind Fck’!?

depended on, and they are called bristle cone pine series. It's a funny looking tree that grows mostly in Western United States and they grown very old. Thousand years old.

But, people have long known, and the National Academy of Science has repeated this warning: you shouldn't use them for temperature reconstructions, because they have this hockey-stick shape that's got nothing to do with temperature.

Well, it turned out that the hockey-stick graph was formed by taking these bristle cone pines and just putting all the weight on them.

And the original author had redone his analysis taking this small number of bristle cone pines out, and the whole shape changes. The graph just loses its shape, it just becomes sort of noisy and nothing. So, they knew when they published this study.”

Michael Coren: **“They lied!”**


Ross McKittrick: **“I wouldn't say they lied. I think what they did was they didn't disclose the fundamental weakness of the original result.”** ' -- Minute 4:42 to 6:20, conversation with Professor Ross McKittrick, The hockey stick is wrong and result of bad science, on Michael Coren Show

[15] MIT Alfred P. Sloan Professor of Atmospheric Sciences, Department of Earth, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences, Dr. Richard S. Lindzen, in on the Conspiracy Theory (?) – Conspiracy Theory with Jesse Ventura S01E03 Global Warming, Minute 50:20

“I personally think that Global Warming will turn out to be a disgrace to the scientific community and the environmental movement. ... You have the environmental movement with this flagship. You have Carbon Trading which is a trillion, multi-trillion dollar business. You have the science funding. By now it's estimated the US alone has spent something on the order of 70 billion dollars on research. The last thing in the world anyone would want to do is solve the problem. Why shake this gravy-train. ... Good or bad, the temperature stopped increasing, so the projections of disaster have basically been folding. **The agendas essentially are: raising money, raising taxes, gaining control of peoples' lives**, and the fact that the consumer and the tax-payer will not benefit from it. Well, we'll satisfy them by telling them they are saving the world. And that they should get a high from that.”

NB: On Global Warming – Concern for Environment is a ‘Hegelian Mind Fck’!?

See tepid press coverage of Ventura's Global Warming investigation in [Jesse Ventura's Conspiracy Theory TV show investigates global warming](#) ; [MotherJones](#) was more sympathetic in its coverage ; and Professor Lindzen's bio at <http://www-eaps.mit.edu/faculty/lindzen.htm>. Watch S01E03 – Global Warming [here](#), [here](#), or [here](#). The following graph is from Dr. Richard Lindzen who shared it with [WUWT](#) stating: **“There has been no warming since 1997 and no statistically significant warming since 1995”**



Caption “No warming since 1997 and no statistically significant warming since 1995 (the red fuzz represents the error ‘bars’)” Richard Lindzen (Image source [WUWT](#))

[16] U.S. Senate Minority Report

More Than 700 (Previously 650) International Scientists Dissent Over Man-Made Global Warming Claims - Scientists Continue to Debunk “Consensus” in 2008, [Link to Press Release on epw.senate.gov](#) , Download PDF [Link to Full Printable 255-Page December 11, 2008 PDF U. S. Senate Minority Report](#) ; Update March 16, 2009: Now [More Than 700 International Scientists Dissent Over Man-Made Global Warming Claims](#) ; Update December 8, 2010: [More Than 1000 International Scientists Dissent Over Man-Made Global Warming Claims - Challenge UN IPCC & Gore](#) , Download PDF [Link to Complete 321-Page December 8, 2010 PDF Climate Depot Special Report](#).

Zahir's Take: Evidently none among the pro global warming crowd, scientists and laity alike, have read these dissenting consensus among climate scientists far greater in number than those who participated in preparing the consensus 2007 UN IPCC Summary for Policymakers. See for yourself in the lengthy excerpt below what is kept hidden in the mainstream media even when it is available on the Floor of the House in the US Senate! The “United We Stand” crowd of simpletons and the credulous do not even bother to read and comprehend what is publicly available from the same sources of officialdom that create the *mantras du jour* in the first place.

So, is there, or isn't there, Global Warming? What a Hegelian Mind-fck!

My shrewd take on this question is that so long as ushering carbon credit is the principal underlying agenda of Global Governance, so long as global warming menace is the means employed by the United Nations Agenda 21 to forcibly induce changes in attitude and behavior that give preference to the natural processes of “Gaia” over human existence and human experience, so long as political will and its legalisms continue to be enacted on the core premise laid out in the Club of Rome report

“In searching for a common enemy against whom we can unite, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine and the like, would fit the bill. In their totality and their interactions these phenomena do constitute a common threat which must be confronted by everyone together. ... All these dangers are caused by human intervention in natural processes, and it is only through changed attitudes and behavior that they can be overcome. The real enemy then is humanity itself.”,

the principal focus of the public's, as well as the scientists', and all the national and international political pied pipers' attention should not be on this red herring question of is there or isn't there

Global Warming.

Climate change due to sun's activity is a natural and cyclic phenomenon. To overload that phenomenon with the Machiavellian motivation to search

“for a common enemy against whom we can unite, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine and the like,”

is a cunning misdirection that is sucking up the productive energies of well-intentioned and concerned people, while enlisting useful idiots at the grass-roots level to the cause of UN Agenda 21.

The common public attention should instead be focussed on the Carbon Credit scam and the Global Governance agenda under UN Agenda 21 which is being diabolically legalized using a multiplicity of propaganda covers including the fear of Climate Change in order to lend that exercise “legal” and political legitimacy.

The subversion by misdirection is worldwide --- no statesman who has any standing, is standing up to this Big Lie. This grotesque reality of universal co-option was most perceptively captured in 1970 by a former FBI agent after reading Carroll Quigley's 1966 book *Tragedy & Hope: A History of the World in Our Time*, with these portentous words:

'The real value of *Tragedy and Hope* ... [is the] bold and boastful admission by Dr. Quigley that there actually exists a relatively small but powerful group which has succeeded in acquiring a choke-hold on the affairs of practically the entire human race. Of course we should be quick to recognize that no small group could wield such gigantic power unless millions of people in all walks of life were “in on the take” and were willing to knuckle down to the iron-clad regimentation of the ruthless bosses behind the scenes. As we shall see, the network has succeeded in building its power structure by using tremendous quantities of money (together with the vast influence it buys) to manipulate, intimidate, or corrupt millions of men and women and their institutions on a world-wide basis.' (W. Cleon Skousen, *The Naked Capitalist*, pg. 6)

The tortuous reality of global co-option, as stated by the powers that be themselves, is that:

“The few who understand the system will either be so interested in its profits or

be so dependent upon its favours that there will be no opposition from that class, while on the other hand, the great body of people, mentally incapable of comprehending [the system], will bear its burdens without complaint, and perhaps without even suspecting that the system is inimical to their interests.”

Today, in the latter part of the second decade of the twenty-first century, the empirical reality around me just tells me that it is only a matter of time before carbon credit is a done deal, a *fait accompli*. The red herring question whether or not there is Global Warming or Climate Change due to man's activity would soon become a moot point as global masses come to accept and live in growth chains under the United Nations Agenda 21. Unlike in nuclear fission reaction which requires compression pressure to increase to the point of critical mass to set off the nuclear chain reaction to make a nuclear explosion, mankind does not appear to have such a critical mass of compression. We have demonstrated throughout our short history on earth how much we are able to be oppressed with ease and still get used to it --- mankind's innumerable prophets' lofty platitudes of boldly casting aside the chains of servitude notwithstanding.

This is what the powers that be are banking on --- our infinite capacity to not just voluntarily accept servitude, but under the right set of perception management / psychologically persuasive / pharmacological cocktails, even come to love it. Aldous Huxley had called this latter control the “ultimate in malevolent revolution”. That is the path which has been ordained for humanity by the powers that be and it is not obvious how *hoi polloi* can effectively counter it before it is *fait accompli*. Platitudes abound, including [my own two cents worth](#). These look rather nice on paper, or from pulpits and podiums, even appear self-evident, but, in the history of civilizations that is recorded, has never come to pass en masse. In our modernity which is characterized by universal deceit, when just speaking the plain truth is deemed to be a “revolutionary act”, when false hopes and false prophets shepherd the herd to this and that form of “awakening” and run them in circles, the real ray of hope perhaps comes from witnessing the daily courage of resistance of the common man in places like Palestine.

To percolate that courage upwards, from oppression by visible bayonet that is resisted through the fight or flight natural response, to oppression by the more intangible mind-behavior control methods when one is made to love one's servitude and consequently disarmed of all courage to resist ab initio, is the hard challenge. **A challenge for those few who do understand the system and are neither interested in its profits and nor so dependent upon its favours that it can stop them without killing them.**

NB: On Global Warming – Concern for Environment is a ‘Hegelian Mind Fck’!?

The Big Lie of “consensus” is further dismantled in the latest version of **U.S. Senate Minority Report** of [December 8, 2010](http://cfact.org/pdf/2010_Senate_Minority_Report.pdf) (http://cfact.org/pdf/2010_Senate_Minority_Report.pdf) in which *More Than 1000 International Scientists Dissent Over Man-Made Global Warming Claims to Debunk Fading “Consensus”*. This begs the question why newsmedia, politicians, scholars, pulpits, governments, just ignore it. The self-evident answer – those who know are already playing in the shell game. And those who don't know? At least some among them refuse to know but think they know enough to write a whole handbook on it: [*Unprecedented Climate Mobilization: A Handbook for Citizens and Their Governments*](#). The rest --- well, efforts like these is for their sake.

Source URL: <https://web.archive.org/web/20161203221227/http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/12/nb-on-global-warming.html>

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

The Plebeian Antidote to Hectoring Hegemons!

Chapter XI

Reflections on the Coming of Imam Mahdi and Jewish Messiah to Save Us

Divine Reality or Controlling Human Myths Transcending Civilizations?

Thoughts and Questions on the Coming or Return of Imam Mahdi brought on by the Israeli Rabbi asserting that his Jewish Messiah is about to return to bring cure for the raging pandemic and to return the Jews to their rightful place in the Universe (so that the Zionists may justify the start of rebuilding their Third Temple by finally destroying Masjid-ul-Aqsa and put into motion the finishing touches to their becoming the new ruling state of the world)

March 25, 2020 | Last updated April 02, 2020

Announcing the “Done Writing” Project Humanbeingsfirst.org – with thanks to Google Deleting all its works

On the morning of March 26, 2020, within 15 hours of publishing this article on Google's Blogger platform and emailing it out to my distribution list, our indispensable Big Brother policing our Thought Crimes, Doktor Google, wiped out all Project Humanbeingsfirst.org websites (about 20 of them) including

email account: humanbeingsfirst@gmail.com. Much of Project Humanbeingsfirst's analytical work spanning April 2003 to March 25, 2020, may still be accessible through the Internet Archive's Wayback Machine using this article as the starting point. The URLs on this page are hand-patched to reference to Project Humanbeingsfirst's URLs via archive.org. I may be reached via zahir @ alum.mit.edu but I won't be replying to letters except from state security apparatuses – I urge legaldom to contact me so that I may show them the unmasked faces of real terrorists in suits and ties hiding in plain-sight among us under legal cover, while it chases down the deliberately fabricated pirates at sea. A new beginning, both of world order, and adjustment to it, surely awaits.

AOA (AsSalaam O Alekum), Hello, to Muslims and to all theists in the Abrahamic fold, the Christians and Jews, and to all who believe in the return of the Messiah to establish the Kingdom of God on earth (in case there are others besides the Abrahamic fold) --- because, well, as the empirical argument presents itself, man failed to do it himself despite all the Prophets and Messengers and Divine Texts and other wisdom traditions bequeathed to mankind from time immemorial, therefore, the innocent belief goes, God must intervene to do it Himself.

While I address the Muslim Scriptural Text and Muslim version of it specifically, all are invited to correct this common man whose only focus in life is to be able to add two plus two to make four, and not any other number, regardless of what arithmetic altering forces in the universe compel one to believe.

Is Imam Mahdi as the *Divine Savior of mankind in the End Times* in the Holy Qur'an?

If so, I can't find it. Here is my deconstruction of what is claimed by the clergy class throughout history as being about Imam Mahdi... the formulation in the Holy Qur'an of mutashabihaat verses, allegorical and metaphorical ones whose meaning is open to interpretation, as distinct from the muhakamaat ones whose meaning is unambiguous, categorical and clear, is like an algebraic variable in an equation ---- in order to solve the system of equations correctly, you need as many independent equations as there are independent variables in them... and because this is not the case that an ordinary fellow like me has ascertained for the theology of End Times, much of it being outside the pages of the Holy Qur'an, one can read pretty much anything into these mutashabihaat verses that is consistent with one's own socialization biases, presuppositions, prejudices, proclivities,

psychological inclinations, bent of mind, state of spiritual communion, and what have you.

I have not found any clear presence of any of the theology of the Coming or Return of Imam Mahdi as the Divine Savior or the dogma of End Times in the System of Guidance of the Holy Qur'an, neither the Shia version which is admittedly more advanced and sophisticated and has been kept alive by the faithful in daily namaz (Imam Mahdi is already born but in Divinely Sanctioned Disappearance), nor the Sunni version (Imam Mahdi will be born) which is lagging behind but not by much. All recourse in this domain is made to sacred works penned by the hand of man and which are outside the pages of the Holy Qur'an with its concomitant epistemological and signal to noise ratio problems.

The Divine Text -- Divinity claimed by the Holy Qur'an and by believing Muslims as the Revelation of Almighty God and His Own Divine Word unadulterated by the hand of man -- makes no categorical reference to any of the theology of the coming or return of Imam Mahdi that I have been able to ascertain as a laity.

Now, the following development is significant in the geopolitical sense and not just the theological sense, because, and get this, Israelis are waiting for their Promised Jewish Messiah to come shortly to cure this raging pandemic as proclaimed by Israeli officialdom's Rabbi! It was reported in the Israeli press just this past week. The headline news even made it to Pakistan and one of its news anchors opened his daily news analysis program a few days ago with that report from the Jewish press.

Muslims appear to be quite excited by this Jewish news, especially those in Pakistan, because they, like all Muslims, fervently believe, and I may be the only odd ball exception here, that upon the heels of the false messiah of the Jews who shall sink the world into such tyranny as has never been witnessed in the history of mankind, the real Imam Mahdi shall descend from the heavens *beiznillah* (by Allah's command, respectively to be born for the Sunni Muslims based on their holy books and sacred theology, and to return from *ghaibat* for Shia Muslims based on their holy books and sacred theology) to solve the world's existential and pressing problems, and to wage victorious battles against all tyrants and all falsehoods, easily defeating the Jews, the Christians, the Hindus, the atheists, and all non Muslims who shall all become Muslims, to finally usher in the long awaited system of universal justice and universal peace for all mankind, before the world, nay, space-time itself, shall end.

Unless I am grotesquely mistaken, this idea is not in the Holy Qur'an, that a Divinely sanctioned Imam Mahdi shall come forth at the End of Times to bring justice and peace and equity to mankind,

and to free it from all its afflictions, both natural and man-made. This material exists in the books of dogmatic literature of the Jews, the Christians, and the Muslims penned by their respective human hands. As also of other peoples and nations long dead, who, I am told by anthropologists, also had similar beliefs in a Savior-Messiah to come solve their earthly existential problems and free them from the bondage to fellow man.

Rather, the Holy Qur'an empowers man to alter his / her own condition and free himself and herself from the bondage of fellow man... or, else, as the mind attuned to adding two plus two equal to four ponders incessantly, what would be the point of Divine Guidance if it was to grotesquely fail mankind in reforming itself, necessitating Divine Intervention once again (after ending the succession of all the Prophets and Messengers sent to mankind at Prophet Muhammad, peace be upon him) in the Prophet of Islam's progeny, Imam Mahdi (alaihissalaam), who shall arrive at End of Times alongside the return of Prophet Jesus to put matters aright?

I mean this creates an astounding absurdity, that God's Divine Guidance failed to reform mankind, requiring Him to intervene once again. If God can fail in His empowerment of mankind, then how is this entity God? It defies the very definition of God. By the force of logic of the method known as *reductio ad absurdum*, reducing to the absurd, the proposition stands rejected. Man has no other tools to separate myth from reality other than his ability to reason based on his chosen axioms.

Therefore, unless you learned scholars can guide me to protect me from misguiding myself, all this Mahdi talk is really the diabolical self-fulfilling prophecy of Mephistopheles.... It is the work of the Mighty Wurlitzer to make the public mind. It is being put into game-theorized play for engineering consent, to create justifications as well as neutralization of public resistance against what's happening to them in the name of God, since, arguably, if it is all divinely ordained, then how can it be altered --- so just give in, do not resist, and wait for Divine force to fulfill its own Prophecy!

My God, what a Devil's gambit this will turn out to be if I am right --- because my approach is to fight-back, to mobilize resistance, and take on this universal big-lie that is intended to get the public Waiting for Allah while one-world government is cemented on the backs of world religions, all of which to soon be replaced by Secular Humanism to usher in the age of reason of universal dystopia – the zenith of servitude of man to fellow man. Then, we will really need a miraculous Divine Intervention... hmmm... why does none of this Divine Intervention to save mankind from itself after all the Divine Guidance and Prophets and Messengers were dispatched to mankind, make any sense as the world descends more and more into Alice in Wonderland?

Here is my layman's much flawed study and incomplete analysis of the pertinent verses of the Holy

Qur'an: Fixing Qur'anic Beatitudes, pgs 243-253:

- <https://web.archive.org/web/20200325/https://sites.google.com/site/humanbeingsfirst/download-pdf/book-hijacking-quran-islam-2nd-edition-2015-zahirebrahim.pdf>

Here is my analysis of *Waiting for Allah*:

- <https://web.archive.org/web/20200325/https://faith-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2019/01/critique-of-imran-hosein-gog-and-magog.html>
- <https://web.archive.org/web/20200325/https://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/04/god-is-running-theworld-let-him-run-it.html>

I actually grew up with the belief in Imam Mahdi (as) and to this day, whenever I do offer my ritual prayers, and also otherwise, albeit I am not a very religious guy, I send my salutations and salaam to the Prophet of Islam, his Ahlul Bayt, and to his progeny Imams which include Imam Mahdi. And I do this because the Qur'anic verse informs me that Allah SWT and His Angels also greet the Messenger of Allah in this way (as in verse 33:56). And I am also informed in the Holy Qur'an that I should love the kith and kin of the Prophet of Islam (as in verse 42:23). And I certainly know from history simply by judging the documented hostile attitude of the Abbasside rulers towards the Ahlul Bayt Imams, and especially at the time Imam Mahdi's birth was predicted, that Imam Mahdi existed. And so, greeting the Prophet and his progeny is my socialization which is clearly anchored in the axiom of my faith, the Holy Qur'an.

This socialization into my faith (see [Why I am a Muslim](#), December 11, 2019) is no different than, say, the Christian's, or the Jew's, or the Hindu's socialization that begets the love of their own religious figures and symbols and values. Victor Hugo's depiction of Jean Valjean's redemption and consequent love for Jesus Christ and its anchoring in the Christian faith that gave him strength to withstand the incessant pursuit by the misplaced convictions of Inspector Javert, is an example of the purpose as well as the expression of such love which in the case of the religion of Islam is mandated in the Holy Qur'an. (I gave an example from Christianity and Victor Hugo's fabled expression of its strength and beauty in his iconic novel *Les Misérables* only to demonstrate the universality of such feelings of emotional attachment for one's faith and its instruments.)

However, I would like to know if this socialization that extends to the theological dogma of Imam Mahdi (as) for the majority of Muslims as the End Times Savior of mankind, is also based on the Holy Qur'an, and if so, where, and if not, then what reason should compel me to believe in such

antithetical dogma when logic applied to the axioms and teachings of the Holy Qur'an to extract its overarching guidance easily leads me to a different conclusion...

What should I believe, my mind, or books written by other people's minds that contradict the axioms of faith derived from the Primary Scripture? Will I be buried with my own mind, my own aqal, my own decisions and choices, or will I be buried with others minds, others beliefs, and others deeds which include my forced (brain-washed) socialization into a prevalent belief system?

Has the Holy Qur'an condoned socialization and inheritance of the belief system? If so, then how can it condemn the kuffars and polytheists of Mecca who made the same claims against the Prophet of Islam and his claims to Divine Revelations? They too brought forth their own elders, their own ancient books, and their own dogmas as evidence of the veracity of their beliefs... and what did the Holy Qur'an do to all of that? Obviously a rhetorical question, but nevertheless, it begs a cognitive answer from every Muslim because from it, all else follows.

Some will bring up hadiths, and obedience to the Prophet of Islam being commanded by the Holy Qur'an, as in verse 4:59, etc., and that all this End Times is actually the teachings of the Prophet of Islam.... Read my book to know my analysis of both the omissions, as well as the commissions, in the Holy Qur'an if you care to know what a common man, bearing only a common mind, can understand from the Scripture when he applies his own analytical mind to it by attempting to rise beyond his socialization biases and its presuppositions.

If a belief is not in the Holy Qur'an, why should a believing Muslim accept it? This is a separate question from why one should believe in God or Divine Revelations at all. I mean it goes against the known Laws of Nature which are based on material construct of the universe. The laws of nature hypothesize no spiritual existence. All existence, including space-time, is based on matter and forces that operate on matter. Since I do believe in non material existence, and furthermore, I do believe in Islam's God, I am at the next node of the decision tree trying to understand the entire tree of theism that is rooted in the religion of Islam as expounded in its sole Scripture, the Holy Qur'an. Atheists and the New Age avant-garde in intellectual thought who posit Annunakis and ETs fighting it out like the Athenian gods, please don't waste my time or yours.

The Prophet of Islam added no beliefs by himself to the religion of Islam that is only in his hadiths and not in the text of the Holy Qur'an, or did he? The Holy Qur'an itself avers, unequivocally, categorically and forcefully, that this Messenger only expounds upon, and exemplifies, and adjudicates and explains, what's in the Holy Qur'an, and that his speech is nothing but Revelation Revealed. That Revelation, is the Holy Qur'an. And verse fragment 5:3 of the Holy Qur'an

categorically avers that Allah's Divine Guidance System is Completed and Perfected, which means, there is no room for additions and subtractions to the Holy Qur'an, theological and otherwise, including commandments and dogmas.

All dogmas of the religion of Islam are contained in the pages and verses of the Holy Qur'an, and these are hard enough to understand as it is, such as Heaven, Hell, Resurrection, Day of Judgment, etc. But a Muslim takes these Qur'anic dogmas as axioms of faith, as part of the meta axiom of Divine Revelation and the Holy Qur'an being the Word of God. There is no categorical construct in the Holy Qur'an that might suggest that the Prophet of Islam has added additional dogmas to the religion of Islam that are not in the Holy Qur'an and are only in the Prophetic Hadiths (compilations of the purported Sayings of the Prophet of Islam as its Exemplar more than two centuries after the fact).

So, where is the Divine Dogma of the Return (as the Shia theology pontificates), or the First Coming (as the Sunni theology pontificates), of Imam Mahdi as the Final Savior of mankind in the Holy Qur'an before we seek the Prophet of Islam's explanations of this non sequiturish dogma in his Hadiths?

Imam Mahdi is obviously a historical figure in the progeny of Imam Ali and Hazrat Fatima (the Prophet of Islam's beloved daughter), both also historical figures, may peace and blessings be upon them and their children and grandchildren down the line. How can one apply theological dogmas to them that are not in the Holy Qur'an? That would be against the verse of Completion and Perfection of the Holy Qur'an, would it not?

If the Holy Qur'an is taken as an axiom of faith as being the untampered and unadulterated Word of God, as Muslim do, then all reasoning must follow from it without contradictions, without creating absurdities, and without visiting Alice in Wonderland.

This is even more important in our misanthropic epoch of primacy and world order than ever before. The belief in this absurd dogma of a Divine Savior coming to free mankind from bondage inevitably leads to the acceptance of the dogma of "Dajjal" and of Israel's diabolical messiah narrative now in the making as News headlines in the Israeli press, which the Muslim mind perceives as the long presaged false messiah, the "Dajjal". This naturally leads to predictable mass behaviors: no national and public effort to interdict this macro social evil being perpetrated in the name of god to create world order because everyone is now eagerly waiting for Imam Mahdi and the real Messiah to take care of this global evil, leaving it free and clear to accomplish its diabolical agenda --- a self-fulfilling prophecy if there ever was one!

The last laugh is surely with the devils orchestrating world order using the masses' own idiocies against themselves. I can see them chuckling scornfully at the fools and useful idiots while sitting around mahogany tables in the inner sanctums of their gold lined temples smoking Cuban cigars... the modern day incarnation of the Golden Calf and its priesthood.

The magicians of world order are just as indomitable today as at the time of Prophet Moses – and God's Edicts just as ineffectively platitudinous today as when Prophet Haroon (Aaron) was left in charge of the flock which quickly reverted back to false gods within that short span that Prophet Musa had gone to visit with Allah to bring back the Ten Commandments. The Holy Qur'an devotes more space to this making of the God's Chosen Peoples as warning to Muslims than perhaps to any other warning template.

Please, oh please, learned scholars of Islam, learned elders of mankind brimming with wisdom of primacy and predators, and the likkha-parrha jahils of modernity with degrees and turbans a-plenty, offer this lowly moron a correction from the Holy Qur'an --- lest I, this lowly moron who happens to believe in the self-empowerment of man by the Divine Guidance of Islam, mislead myself, and others who are stupid enough to believe anything a common man of no remarkable genius writes on the internet. I advise all, whether or not they seek my advice: follow your own first imam, your aqal, your mind --- for without it, you cannot even recognize Divine Revelation when you see it. And if the aqal teaches you otherwise from your socialization, following your aqal or your socialization is indeed your choice, for, fortunately, you ain't going into my grave nor I into yours.

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Published March 25, 2020 4:00 pm 2642 | Removed by Google from Blogger along with all Project Humanbeingsfirst websites on March 26, 2020 morning | Last updated April 02, 2020 9:00 pm 3435

Source URL (removed by Google before it was archived in the Wayback Machine): <https://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2020/03/reflections-mahdi-divine-reality-or-myth.html>

Archived by serendipity.li: <https://www.serendipity.li/zionism/reflections-mahdi-divine-reality-or-myth-by-zahirebrahim.pdf>

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

The Plebeian Antidote to Hectoring Hegemons!

About

About the author

He is just an ordinary fellow, a common man. But one who suffers no fools, takes no prisoners, bows before no authority figures as bearers of divine truths, and remains just as unimpressed by the metaphysics of the *turban* as by the scholarship of the *gown*. There is not much else to say about him. He was quite imperfectly educated in the elite secular universities of both the United States of America and Pakistan, which might explain how he managed to escape from these factories of *jahiliya* with his mind still intact and his brain still firing on all cylinders. It is only because of the imperfection of his education, and because of the failure of the system to obedience train him to *United We Stand* with absurdities, that his deconstruction of modernity is able to capture reality the way it actually is, minus all of *truth's protective layers*. At least me thinks so. My name is Zahir Ebrahim, and I am the archetype *plebeian antidote to hectoring hegemons*.

My contribution to making *America great again* can be gleaned at the United States Patent Office (<https://tinyurl.com/Zahir-Patents>).

My contribution to making her almost human can be read at what used to be my hobby website: [Project Humanbeingsfirst.org](http://ProjectHumanbeingsfirst.org) (taken down by Google on March 26, 2020 without intimation).

More [About Me and My Little Jihad](#) may be gleaned from the pages of my 2015 *magnum opus* on current affairs: [The Poor Man's Guide To Modernity - Oligarchic Primacy for World Government](#) ; from my 2015 *magnum opus* on social engineering using religion: [Hijacking The Holy Qur'an And Its Religion Islam – Muslims and Imperial Mobilization](#) ; and from my 2003 *maiden treatise* on America's Imperial Mobilization disguised as “War on Terror”, written amidst running tears during the night-time civilian bombings and military invasion of Iraq by the United States: [Prisoners of the Cave](#). My most recent mega contribution to understanding current affairs with emphasis on Pakistan, is the two

volume [Pakistan Decapitation Papers 2019](#).

This latest compendium of essays on medical and climate pretexts for constructing world order: *The Useful Idiot's Guide to Pandemic 2020*, is my last. I don't know where it will be hosted. My "writing activism", spanning the years 2003 to 2020, was kicked off when the FBI visited my home searching for terrorists in April 2003 as my young family and myself were attending anti-war protest marches in California. It ended when *the powers that be* shut down all my Project Humanbeingsfirst.org websites hosted by Google Blogger, on March 26, 2020. The home page on March 25, 2020 looked like this: <https://web.archive.org/web/20200325/http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/>.

In most cases, the product of my "writing activism" may be accessible in Archive.org through the Project Humanbeingsfirst document links indexed in [Table of Contents](#).

Should you like my books or find my writings pertinent, download what you want before it is all wiped off the face of the planet by Orwellian Newspeak.

I graduated from MIT in EECS. I also studied graduate school at Stanford University through its SITN program in the 1980s. Before that I attended UET Lahore in the mid to late 1970s. While I have lived in the United States for the better part of my life, I left my heart behind in Pakistan. I now belong to two worlds, markedly different from each other, and yet exactly the same --- deceived and controlled by their rulers like Plato depicted in his Simile of the Cave in the Republic.

I belong to that generation that is not only caught between two cultures, but also caught between two ages. I borrow from Zbigniew Brzezinski's lede from his seminal 1970 book: *Between Two Ages*, quoting Hermann Hesse from *Steppenwolf*. It captures a bit of my own unsettlement:

"Human life is reduced to real suffering, to hell, only when two ages, two cultures and religions overlap. . . . There are times when a whole generation is caught in this way between two ages, two modes of life, with the consequence that it loses all power to understand itself and has no standard, no security, no simple acquiescence."

Except, I do have standard. I know why this *transition age* that we are living through is deliberately made to exist, and what's to come after. I did try to prevent it... Some hubris huh!

Backcover

Current Affairs / Medicine / Environment / World Order

The Useful Idiot's Guide To Pandemic 2020

This latest compendium of essays on medical and climate pretexts for constructing world order: *The Useful Idiot's Guide to Pandemic 2020*, is my last. Vaccines for coronavirus Covid-19 plaguing mankind at this moment, when most nations on earth have some level of lockdown with *stay at home* orders, shall soon become the medical establishment's next big sales pitch. The fear-mongering coupled to the deadly man-made contagion would have been a most successful marketing exercise in history in global demand creation for vaccines.

Vaccination will not be voluntary either, but mandatory, and sanctioned by law. You make up your own damn mind after reading this book whether you wish to take that vaccine and enter the brave new world that it shall herald, or, resist taking it and end up belonging to the new undesirable-untouchable underworld class without the digital vaccination certificate built into your body.

Either way, the world order that shall enslave mankind beckons --- unless the public worldwide awakens to its dangers now and resists it forcefully --- it is virtually already a fait accompli. The sheep are of course never known to resist the butcher's knife nor protest the habit of mutton eating.